Exhibit B Part 1

1 If you discover that a juror has violated my wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered was a 2 instructions, report it to my clerk. police officer performing his duties at the time. 3 You may take notes during the trial if you wish, 3 Third, that the police officer's actions were 4 but, of course, you don't have to. If you do take notes, 4 lawful. you should be careful that it does not distract you from 5 I'll give you more detailed instruction in final 6 paying attention to all of the evidence. When you go to the instructions and define the term lawful for you. But at 7 jury room to decide your verdict, you may use your notes to this time I need to see what evidence comes in, and then I help you remember what happened in the courtroom. If you will give you a final instruction on lawful that fits the 9 take notes, do not let anyone except the other jurors see 9 trial. 10 10 Fourth, that such assaulting, battering, wounding, 11 Your notes will not be examined by anyone, and 11 resisting, obstructing, opposing or endangering caused a 12 when your jury service concludes, your notes will be 12 bodily injury requiring medical attention or medical care to 13 collected and destroyed. 13 the officer. 14 You can see that we have chosen a jury of 14 And just so we're clear here, Count 1 involves 15 fourteen. After you've heard all the evidence and my Grand Rapids Police Officer Todd Allen. 15 instructions, we'll draw lots to decide which two of you 16 Count 2: The defendant is charged with the crime 17 will be dismissed in order to form a jury of twelve. 17 of assault with a dangerous weapon, felonious assault. To 18 Possible penalty should not influence your 18 prove this charge, the prosecutor must prove each of the 19 decision. It is the duty of the judge to fix the penalty 19 following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 20 within the limits provided by law. 20 First, that the defendant either attempted to 21 I may give you more instructions during the trial, 21 commit a battery on Todd Allen or did an act that would 22 and at the end of the trial I will give you detailed 22 cause a reasonable person to fear or apprehend an immediate 23 instructions about the law in this case. You should 23 battery. A battery is a forceful or violent touching of the consider all of my instructions as a connected series. 24 person or something closely connected with the person. Taken all together, they are the law you must follow. 25 15 1 After all of the evidence has been presented and 1 Second, that the defendant intended either to 2 the lawyers have given their arguments, I will give you 2 injure Todd Allen or to make Todd Allen reasonably fear an detailed instructions about the rules of law that apply in immediate battery. 4 this case. Then you will go to the jury room to decide on 4 Third, that at the time the defendant had the 5 your verdict. A verdict must be unanimous. That means that 5 ability to commit the battery, appeared to have the ability, 6 every juror must agree on it, and it must reflect the 6 or thought he had the ability. 7 individual decision of each juror. 7 Fourth, that the defendant committed the assault 8 It is important for you to keep an open mind and 8 with a dangerous weapon, handcuffs. A dangerous weapon is 9 not make a decision about anything in the case until you go any object that is used in a way that is likely to cause 10 to the jury room to decide the case. 10 serious physical injury or death. Some objects, such as 11 Count 1: The defendant is charged with the crime 11 guns or bombs, are dangerous because they are specifically 12 of assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, 12 designed to be dangerous. Other objects are designed for 13 opposing or endangering a police officer who was performing peaceful purposes but may be used as dangerous weapons. The 13 14 his duties, and causing a bodily injury requiring medical 14 way an object is used or intended to be used in an assault attention. To prove this charge, the prosecutor must prove 15 15 determines whether or not it is a dangerous weapon. If an 16 each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 16 object is used in a way that is likely to cause serious 17 First, that the defendant assaulted, battered, 17 physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon. You 18 wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered a 18 must decide from all the facts and circumstances whether the 19 police officer. Obstruct includes the use or threatened use evidence shows that the pair of handcuffs in question here 19 20 of physical interference or force, or a knowing failure to 20 was a dangerous weapon. 21 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have 21 Again, Count 2 involves Todd Allen, a Grand Rapids 22 actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical 22 police officer. 23 violence is not necessary. 23 Court 3, which I'll read now, involves Federal 24 Second, that the defendant knew or had reason to 24 Bureau of Investigation Officer Douglas Brownback. 25 know that the person the defendant assaulted, battered, 25

14

16

22

The defendant is charged with the crime of
assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing,
opposing, endanger a peace officer of the Federal Bureau of
Investigation, who was performing his duties. To prove this
charge, the prosecutor must prove each of the following
elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, that the defendant assaulted, battered

First, that the defendant assaulted, battered, wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered a police officer. *Obstruct* includes the use or threatened use of physical interference or force or a knowing failure to comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical violence is not necessary.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Second, that the defendant knew or had reason to know that the person the defendant assaulted, battered, wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered was a peace officer of the Federal Bureau of Investigation performing his duties at the time.

Third, that the officer's actions were lawful.

Again, I'll define lawful in final instructions.

Mr. Kuiper, your opening statement, please.

MR. KUIPER: Thank you. I believe that the evidence in this case is going to show that Officer Todd

Department -- he's worked there 17 years -- was assigned to

the Fugitive Task Force, along the with Special Agent Douglas Brownback, who works for the Federal Burden of Investigation.

Allen, who's an officer with the Grand Rapids Police

The Fugitive Task is designed to look for violent criminals who have warrants and who know they have warrants. They are unable to be apprehended by the plain detectives that are working those cases or road patrol officers.

They were working the west side on or about

July 18, 2014, looking for a gentleman named Aaron Davidson.

They had received information that Mr. Davidson was in the neighborhood of Alpine and Leonard a couple days prior to July 18th.

They had a description of what he looked like, which was a white male with short hair, approximately 6 feet tall, slender build, with prescription glasses. They received this information from a number of different people.

They checked with employees at Ralph's, which is a grocery store near the intersection of Alpine and Leonard. At that time, the same general description was given.

They checked, also, with employees at a Shell gas station that is also located at the corner of Alpine and Leonard. They give similar information that Mr. Davidson was seen there on the 17th of July, 2014.

Mr. Davidson had an outstanding warrant for home
 invasion, and the police hadn't been able to locate him at

1 all. The time that he was at both Ralph's and the Shell

2 station, the days preceding the 18th, was between 2:00 and

3 3:00 in the afternoon.

4 So, Officer Allen and Special Agent Brownback are

5 dressed in plain clothes. You're going to see some

6 photographs and a couple videos from the date in question.

7 They are dressed like normal civilians. However, they do

8 have a lanyard on with a badge on it, open carrying a

9 firearm, as well.

You're also going to see that the 18th is aFriday. Leonard is very busy at this time, and the time

12 that they were surveilling the area of Leonard and Alpine

13 was between two and three o'clock in the afternoon,

14 consistent with the information they'd received that this

15 Aaron Davidson character was in that neighborhood.

On that date, they saw someone walking eastbound from Tamarack on Leonard, and that is in the direction of

18 the intersection of Alpine and Leonard. That gentleman

19 happened to be the defendant. He was a white male,

20 approximately 6 feet tall, prescription glasses on, thin

21 build, and he had his shirt off.

The officers, with the information they had said,

23 That looks like the guy that we're looking for. They have

24 photographs. They have photographs. They had an old

5 photograph they were relying on, a 2007 photograph of

19

1 Mr. Davidson, and also a more recent photograph of

2 Mr. Davidson, to indicate he had short hair, glasses. It's

3 a picture of him playing a guitar. And you will see those

A shekamaka that the selies dilities to the selies of

4 photographs that the police utilized to try to ascertain the

5 identity of Mr. Davidson.

6 Obviously, he's on the run. They don't have

7 immediate access to what he exactly looked like,

8 Mr. Davidson, that is, as of July 18th, 2014. They had a

general description.

They see the defendant walking down the street.

11 It looks like Mr. Davidson, headed in the direction of

12 Alpine and Leonard. So, they decide they're going to make

13 contact with him and ascertain his identity to see if he is

14 Mr. Davidson.

15 Officer Allen gets out and holds his badge up and 16 says, I'm with the Grand Rapids Police Department. I'm 17 Officer Todd Allen. We're looking for someone that matches 18 your description who has a warrant. We need to ascertain

19 your identity.

20 He asks whether or not the defendant has any
21 identification on him. He says, No, I don't. Said, Well,
22 we're going to need to ascertain your identity before we let
23 you go. The defendant had a cell phone in his hand at that

you go. The defendant had a cell phone in his hand at thattime and Officer Allen says, We need to take your phone

25 because -- obviously, they're looking for violent criminals

18

1	James is still yelling to the people who are	1		the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so
2	starting to form a crowd, Call the police. Call the police.	2		help you God?
3	These guys are mugging me. These guys are mugging me.	3		THE WITNESS: Yes, I do, sir.
4	Somebody call the police.	4		THE COURT: Please be seated.
5	While this is going on, James is then the two	5		TODD ALLEN,
6	very large officers and you'll get to see them are on	6	calle	d by the People at 9:46 a.m., sworn by the Court, testified:
7	top of him. And James is going to tell you that, yes, he	7		DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	bit one of the officers. And he will explain to you in	8	BYM	MR. KUIPER:
9	great detail why he did. He'll tell you why. And when you	9	Q.	Good morning, Officer Allen.
0	hear that, you will understand.	10	A.	Good morning.
11	Eventually, James hears the sirens and the police	111	Q.	Where are you currently employed?
2	arrive. James will tell you that he knows they are the	12	A.	City of Grand Rapids Police Department.
3	police because they arrive in marked cruiser. He knows they	13	Q.	How long have you held a position there?
4	are police because they are wearing uniforms.	14	A.	Approximately 17 years.
5	The officer walks up to him and said, What's going	15	Q.	What's your current assignment?
6	on, and James says, They're mugging me, they're mugging me.	16	A.	Currently assigned to our Detective Unit, to the Violent
7	You will hear that. You'll hear him say it in his very	17		Crime Fugitive Task Force, working with the FBI.
8	labored and painful voice, They're mugging me.	18	Q.	How long have you held a position in that capacity?
9	You'll also find out that James is not the person	19	A.	About a year-and-a-half.
.0	these guys are looking for. You'll get a chance to see the	20	Q.	Would you explain to the jury what the Fugitive Task Force
1	picture of the alleged person they're looking for. And you	21		is?
2	will also see that he doesn't even look like the person they	22	A.	Sure. The Fugitive Task Force is a joint project set up by
3	are looking for. He was just a young man who moved out here	23		the FBI, working with local jurisdictions to help apprehend
4	from Alpena, who had come here to go to school, Grand Valley	24		violent criminals in our area.
5	State University, who was studying computer science, who	25		
	29			31
1	wants to study cyber security, who wants to be employed for	1		There are two Grand Rapids detectives assigned to
2	the government to help bring about cyber security, which is	2		the team, there's two FBI agents directly assigned to the
3	a major concern right now, who was minding his business, and	3		team, and there is also currently a part-time Ionia County
4	these two gentlemen cause this whole situation.	4		Sheriff Detective that's assigned to the team.
5	So, after you've heard all the evidence, after you	5		Our task, again, is working on strictly violent
6	have seen the exhibits, after you've had a chance to put	6		crime. So, it includes home invasions, felonious assaults,
7	together all of the pieces, the only verdict you'll be able	7		shootings, stabbings, murders. We respond to every bank
8	to reach is not guilty. There is nothing whatsoever that	8		robbery in West Michigan. Our direct task, I guess, as the
9	would be able to convince you beyond a reasonable doubt that	9		task force, is to try to apprehend the violent criminals
0	James knowingly and willfully was assaulting a police	10		that committed the crimes.
1	officer.	11		So, for us to be able to go after someone, a
2	And, if you listen to the instruction, one of the	12		felony warrant has to have been issued by the local
3	instructions that he read was that the officers had to be	13		jurisdiction. And then we have to submit paperwork to the
4	acting lawfully. The Judge will define that. You will also	14		FBI office, I guess, to grant the team permission to go
5	come to the conclusion that what they were doing was not	15		after the subject.
6	lawful.	16		And then we track the subject, try and apprehend
7	So, after you've heard all the evidence, the only	17		the subjects. Once they are caught, whether we catch them
8	verdict you'll be able to reach is not guilty.	18		or somebody else catches them, once they're arrested, then
9	Thank you for your time.	19		we pick up a new case, whether it's from the ones I'm
0	THE COURT: Mr. Kuiper, your first witness,	20		specifically helping out with are Grand Rapids criminals,
1	please.	21		but we might pick one up from Holland, or Ottawa County o
2	State your full name, please.	22		Ionia County, and we have the Ionia County detective there
3	MR. ALLEN: Todd Allen.	23		So, it's kind of a joint team that works to
	THE COURT: Do you solemnly swear or affirm that	24		strictly focus on catching violent criminals that have an
4				
4 5	the testimony you're about to give in this matter will be	25		active felony warrant.

1	Q.	Are you generally the first line of law enforcement that	1	Ŧ	Then we'll typically go out and try and canvass
2		attempts to arrest these individuals with violent criminal	2		the area. I'll try and make contact with family members,
3		warrants?	3		I'll try and make contact with landlords. We'll check
4	A.	No. Typically the way the process works in our detective	4		local area businesses to see if the person has been
5		unit, is the detective, once they're assigned a case, they	5		frequenting the area, and we'll try and develop a pattern
6		will try and interview the suspect, try to get a statement	6		of where they're likely to show up or appear so that then
7		from the suspect. They'll typically have had contact with	7		we can apprehend them.
8		the suspect or a witness, or boyfriend or girlfriend, or	8	Q.	Oftentimes, or is it fair to say almost all the time, you
9		family member of the suspect.	9		have not had direct physical contact with the suspect you're
10		Then whether they interview the person or not,	10		looking for?
11		once a warrant is obtained for the suspect, typically the	11	A.	Yeah. Almost never, unless it's someone that, from my
12		detective will attempt contact again to try and get the	12		years of being at the police department, maybe I have had
13		suspect to turn themselves in. Or, the detective will go	13		contact with them, you know, several years prior on a
14		out and, himself or herself, with a partner, they'll try	14		different case, or something of that nature. But typically
15		and arrest the suspect. Or, they'll send a message out to	15		we've never had contact with them before we attempt to
6		patrol officers or community officers that, Hey, a warrant	16		catch them. Correct.
17		has been issued for this person. Can you try and arrest	17	Q.	To your recollection, have you ever had contact with
18		the suspect on this warrant?	18		Aaron Davidson prior to the 18th of July of last year?
19		So, typically the suspect or the fugitive that we	19	A.	Never met him, never even heard of his name before.
20		would get is past the detective trying to make contact,	20	Q.	
21		past the patrol officer trying to make contact, past the	21	4.	as People's Proposed Exhibits 1 and 2.
22		community officers trying to make contact. And the reason	22		(Exhibits 1 & 2 marked)
23		why typically they're coming to our task force, is because	23	BY	MR. KUIPER:
4		they are a flight risk, or they haven't been caught, or	24	Q.	
25		they're unable to be caught, or they're refusing to	25	4.	microphone so Mr. Poelman can hear me.
		33			35
1		cooperate. So, that's why it's specifically assigned to us	1		Do you recognize what's depicted in Proposed
2		to try to locate them.	2		Exhibit Number 1?
3	Q.	Hence, the title fugitive?	3	A.	Yes. It's we call it a bio sheet. So, I actually
4	A.	Correct.	4		created this, and it's for our team.
5	Q.	Do you know the name Aaron Davidson?	5		Again, we have two Grand Rapids detectives, two
6	A.	Yes.	6		FBI detectives. At this time, we also had a third FBI
7	Q.	And were you working on apprehending him on July 18th of	7		agent that was assisting us on partial days. And then we
8		20147	8		have an Ionia County detective on our team.
9	A.	Yes,	9		So, we make a general rundown sheet of basic
0	Q.	Did you obtain any information about Mr. Davidson and his	10		information we have on the person that we're trying to
1		potential whereabouts?	11		apprehend. If further information develops, you know,
2	A.	Yes. So, the way our process typically works is, perhaps a	12		we'll add to the sheet or take away from the sheet, or if
3		week or two weeks will have past since the warrant was	13		we learn of a new address for them or a new girlfriend tha
4		issued for the suspect. They haven't been located, they	14		the person is with, we'll add that to the sheet as as
5		haven't turned themselves in, or maybe a detective has	15		the kind of the case file builds.
6		information that the subject is attempting to flee the area	16	Q.	Did you did you obtain or create that document, Exhibit
7		or just avoid the police. We'll pick up the case.	17		Number 1?
8		I'll dig through every report that I can find	18	A.	I did.
9		that has them on it, a relative on it, an ex-girlfriend on	19	Q.	Okay.
0		it. We'll look at their driving record to see what	20		MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, I'll move for admission
1		addresses they have registered to. We have other media and	21		of Proposed Exhibit Number 1.
		Informational data bases that the FBI has, of course, that	22		MR. GREENE: No objection.
			23		THE COURT: Admitted.
2		so, we'll develop some information about them,			
2		so, we'll develop some information about them, specifically where they may be located or who they	24		(Exhibit 1 admitted)
2 3 4 5			000		(Exhibit 1 admitted)

1	PV	Case 1.10-cv-00343-JTN-ESC ECF NO	7. 75		
2	Q.	MR. KUIPER: Now that exhibit, underneath the photograph there, someone	1		, and a promisely thick the original and the time to
3	_	listed as Aaron Davidson, there's the year 2007?	2		which he had a warrant occurred?
4	A.		3		. Sure. I can tell you. I have a copy of the original
5	Q.		4		police report here.
6	120	, as the mark photograph was from:	5		MR. KUIPER: Your Honor
	A.	Yes. This is his Secretary of State photo.	6		THE WITNESS: It happened
7	Q.	the seven years	7		MR. KUIPER: I just ask, is it all right if
8		prior to the time you were looking for him?	8		Officer Allen refers to his file to refresh his
9	A.	the most made this sheet, that was the most	9		recollection?
10		recent photo that I could find of him. So, we knew that it	10		THE COURT: Sure.
11		was an older photo, but there's a likeness that we at least	11		MR. GREENE: (Nods affirmatively)
12		could have.	12		THE COURT: Go ahead.
13	Q.	Do you know approximately how old Mr. Davidson is or was in	13		THE WITNESS: The original incident happened
14		2014?	14		June 17, 2014.
15	A.	Twenty-six.	15	BY	MR. KUIPER:
16	Q.	Okay. So that photograph, in 2007, would have been when he	16	Q.	The photograph that's depicted in Exhibit Number 2, where
17		was a late teen?	17		did you obtain that?
8	A.	Correct.	18	A.	
9	Q.	I'm going to show you what's marked as People's Proposed	19	Q.	1
20		Exhibit Number 2 now.	20	ч.	The same and the s
1		MR. GREENE: (Reviewing)	1.00		Facebook page?
2	BY	MR. KUIPER:	21	Α.	
3	Q.	Do you recognize what's depicted in that photograph,	22	Q.	to get the best
4	۷.	Officer Allen or Detective Allen?	23		description, the best photograph you can, to enable you to
5	A.		24		positively identify who this person is if you ever cross
	~	Yes. It's a second bio sheet that I created. 37	25		paths with him?
1	Q.	Okay. And you you created that document?	1	_	39
2	A.	Correct.	1	A.	Yes. We're looking for the most accurate, current,
3	Q.		2		up-to-date photo image of the suspect so that we know
		And that's in reference to Aaron Davidson?	3		we're going to encounter. And, of course, there's severa
4	A.	Correct.	4		reasons, obviously, why we want to get the correct person
5		MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, at this point I move for	5		Obviously, there is significant amount of
6		admission of Exhibit Number 2.	6		citizens and officer safety issues with the nature of our
7		MR. GREENE: Without objection.	7		task force, trying to apprehend aggressive, violent
8		THE COURT: Admitted.	8		criminals.
9		(Exhibit 2 admitted)	9	Q.	Okay. Other than photographs that you already testified
0	BY N	IR. KUIPER:	10		about, the information that's contained there in
1	Q.	Would you describe for the jurors what's on that document?	11		Exhibit Number 2, what pieces of information are contained
2	A.	Sure. Have they seen this yet?	12		in that document and how did you obtain them?
3	Q.	No.	13	A.	
1	A.	Okay. So, this was just updated information that I had	14		is a broader height description that says 5'10 to 6', and
5		from my original document that I created for our team.	15		then it also says that it's possible he's 6' to 6'3.
6		That is an updated, more recent current photo of	16		That information was obtained because there w
7		the suspect that we're looking for. It has additional	17		two witnesses. When the original incident occurred in
3		physical descriptors based on information that I had	18		June, two witnesses that described the suspect as being
)		developed from talking to area business owners and area	19		그렇게 하는 사람들이 되었다. 그는 사람들이 가는 사람들이 가는 사람들이 되었다. 그 사람들이 가는 사람들이 가지 않는 것이 없는데 그렇게 되었다. 그리는 그 사람들이 가지 않는데 그렇게 되었다.
)		residents. It also has on it some information about his	20		about approximately 5'10, and then I had also talked to
		travel patterns and a different slightly different	133		information on the sheet — I had talked to the clerks at
			21		Ralph's supermarket on the west side on Leonard Street.
		height description that I had to obtain from talking to	22		They had seen the suspect we were looking for.
		various people. And that also came from the incident	23		They also described him as significantly shorter
		ronart fram witnesses that all			
1		report from witnesses that observed the original suspect at the original time that the crime occurred.	24		than 6'3. I had also talked to the Shell gas station owner at Alpine and Leonard. The Shell gas station owner said

1		that our suspect comes in there almost everyday, around	1		We also have plain cars that are unmarked cars
2		two to four o'clock, to buy a pop. And he said he had seen	2		that we use, again, just for surveillance purposes so that
3		him just the day prior. And he also described him as being	3		we don't stick out like a sore thumb, because obviously the
4		significantly shorter than 6'3.	4		people we're looking for, their intention is to not be
5		There was also a group of people that we had	5		caught. They've already shown that pattern that they do
6		talked to that knew the suspect specifically. They said	6		not want to be caught, so we have to use different
7		they know him specifically by name, and they also said that	7		different aspects, I guess, of being able to conduct
8		he's definitely not 6'3, that he's closer to 6' tall.	8		surveillance without giving our position away.
9		So, for my team, for our purposes of trying to	9	Q.	Okay. So, to summarize, can you tell the jurors let me
10		identify the suspect that we're looking for, Mr. Davidson,	10		ask you this first. Were you working July 18, 2014?
11		I had put a broader description of him based on the	11	A.	Yes. Yes, I was.
12		intelligence that we received.	12	Q.	And, were you looking for Aaron Davidson on that day?
13	Q.	Why is 6'3 on that document?	13	A.	Yes, I was.
14	A.	Because which is oftentimes or sometimes inaccurate, but	14	Q.	Were you near the intersection of Alpine and Leonard in
15		that's the height that was taken from the Secretary of	15		Grand Rapids, Michigan?
16		State from his driver's license status, which, as everyone	16	A.	Yes, I was.
17		knows you can go into the Secretary of State and you just	17	Q.	Was anybody assigned to work with you that day?
18		fill out a form and say, I'm 6'3, 210 pounds. They don't	18	A.	Agent Brownback from the FBI.
19		measure you. They don't weigh you there. They just take	19	Q.	Okay. What, if any, identifying features did you have to
20		down your information.	20		identify the fact that you were a police officer?
21		So 6'3 is what Aaron Davidson had put on his	21	A.	I have a neck badge, which I'm wearing if you want me to
22		Secretary of State document.	22		show them.
23	Q.	So, you're trying to combine all the information you have	23	Q.	Please.
24	_	from people that know him, that have witnessed him, that	24	A.	Sure. I wear it every day (displaying badge). My daughter
25		have seen him recently, and the information that you've	25	7.	asks to put it on every night when I come home. She likes
		41	25		43
1	-	obtained from the Secretary of State's database?	1	-	to play with my bad guy badge, as she calls it. You don't
2	Α.	Correct.	2		
3	Q.		3		mind if I stand up? THE COURT: Fine.
4	Q.	Okay,	4		
5		When you're out in the field attempting to look	5		THE WITNESS: I have a neck badge. Any time we
100		for these fugitives, what type of attire do you wear?	6		exit our vehicle, I take it out if we're going to make
6	A.	We are assigned a plain-clothes position. Based on the	1 -		contact with someone. If I'm knocking on your door saying
1		nature of the job description that I gave you earlier, our	1	nv i	I'm looking for a neighbor two doors down.
8		job is very surveillance intensive. So, we obtained	8		MR. KUIPER:
9		information on a suspect's possible whereabouts: a	9	Q.	Can I stop you just a second there?
10		girlfriend's address, a parent's address, where they	10	A.	Sure.
11		possibly might be working, sometimes a temporary job	11	Q.	The gentleman in the back there, Mr. Poelman, I don't know
12		status. So, we'll have to conduct surveillance to see if	12		if he can pick you up. We need to make sure that everything
13		we can see the suspect in the area, or at the business, or	13		goes into the mike, Officer or Detective Allen, I'm
14		at the residence.	14	1	sоггу.
15		We're assigned a plain-clothes assignment.	15	A.	I want to make sure that, whoever I come in contact with,
16		Typically, day-to-day I'll wear a pair of jeans and a	16		obviously they know exactly who I am because I'm wearing
17		sweatshirt. I have my Grand Rapids Police Department	17		pair of jeans and a sweatshirt, typically. And I have a
18		issued gun, badge, our extra bullet magazines or bullet	18		vest-type over that, that has various pockets in it, a
19		pouches. I have a flashlight, a set of handcuffs.	19		tactical vest, we call it. But it has various pockets,
20		Sometimes I wear a hat if it's a sunny day. Sometimes I	20		just to put cleaning solvent and pens and paper, notes or
21		wear sunglasses if it's a sunny day,	21		whatever, with us.
22		In the wintertime, I wear heavier boots just	22		So, we'll have a neck badge that I wear everyday.
23		because sometimes we're walking, trying to make contact	23		And this is a personal preference of mine, just based on
- 7.71		with businesses, and we might be doing surveillance in a	24		things that have happened across the country in law
24					
25		back aliey. 42	25		enforcement, for target focus. It's called target focus.

1 It's when someone gets into a deadly encounter. If someone much shorter than 6'3. And they also described him as very 2 sees a gun, your natural instinct as a human is to look 2 thin, wearing prescription-type glasses. 3 3 directly at the gun, not see what's going on elsewhere. So So, the Ralph's clerk also verified he was in the 4 it's a personal preference of mine. It's not required, but 4 area the day prior. And then we had spoke with the Shell 5 I carry a second badge and I wear it everyday that I wear 5 gas station clerk/owner on about two or three subsequent 6 - I wear it next to my gun. And that's just so that, if 6 days, and he also said that the suspect comes in everyday 7 I'm -- I'm knocking on someone's door saying, Hey, I'm 7 between 2:00 and 4:00 and buys a pop. He also said that 8 Detective Allen, and you see the gun, you're going to be 8 the suspect leaves, going out the door, and he pointed 9 9 looking at the gun, not looking at me, or listening to what westbound. 10 I'm saying. 10 So, we were driving the area, just attempting to 11 11 You're just going to be probably looking at the locate the suspect. As all of our intelligence indicated, 12 12 gun, so I have a badge here, as well, so that everyone he would be on foot in the area, probably coming towards 13 knows, law enforcement included. Because sometimes we work 13 Ralph's supermarket or the gas station, to buy a pop. 14 14 with outside agencies. If we're working with Ottawa County MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, before I ask him anymore 15 on a bank robbery or Muskegon on a bank robbery, which 15 questions, I just ask if it's permissible at this point to 16 16 happened very recently, I don't know their officers and publish Exhibits 1 and 2 to the jurors. 17 they don't know me, and here comes a guy out of a plain-17 THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead. 18 18 clothes vehicle doing surveillance. They know we are MR. KUIPER: Thank you. 19 there, but they don't know who we are. 19 (Publishing Exhibits 1 and 2 on overhead) 20 20 So. I want to make sure that, if another officer BY MR KUTPER: 21 21 sees me coming out, they know exactly who I am, that I'm Now, this - I'm showing you Proposed Exhibit Number 1. 22 very clearly law enforcement. 22 That's the 2007 photograph you have from the Secretary of 23 23 Okay. So they're not pulling their guns on you, thinking State? 24 you might be involved in some criminal activity? 24 Correct. 25 A. Correct. Correct. 25 Q. And that's where you got the height of 6'3 from that -- from 45 1 Q. Due to the fact you have the firearm? 1 the database? 2 The firearm, and I have my extra magazines here 2 Correct. That's the initial information that I had 3 (indicating). I carry a flashlight here (indicating) 3 obtained from the Secretary of State. 4 4 everyday, so it's very obviously in plain view. Q. Can everyone see that? 5 Q. 5 Obviously you've testified you were wearing different THE JURY: (Nods affirmatively) 6 clothing on the 18th of July of last year, but did you have BY MR. KUIPER: 7 all those identifying features that you've shown the jury, Q. Now, showing Exhibit Number 2. That's the photograph -- the 8 on, on the 18th of July? best photograph you could get from Mr. Davidson's Facebook 9 9 A. Yes, I did. account? 10 10 Q. Okay. At some point in your 2:30 in the afternoon, do you Most current, correct. 11 remember what location you were on the 18th of July of last 11 Q. Okay. 12 12 vear? Most current and best photo of any facial shot we had of 13 13 A. Yes. We were - Agent Brownback and I were doing him, yes. 14 14 surveillance in the area, driving the area of Leonard and Q. That's not a very good facial shot, is it? 15 15 Alpine, because Mr. Davidson, who we were looking for, was It's not, but it gives a more accurate description of what 16 16 again seen by citizens that knew him personally. They said we felt he would look like, based on the information we had 17 that they last saw him walking westbound from that 17 obtained from all the other people we spoke to. You know, 18 intersection, from Leonard and Alpine. They said they saw 18 short dark hair, still wearing prescription glasses. Yes. 19 him walking with a Ralph's bag, westbound. 19 Did you see someone who you thought might be Aaron Davidson 20 20 So, naturally, as any person would, we went to at about 2:30 in the afternoon on the 18th of July? 21 Ralph's to see if they had also seen him. That's when the 21 Yes, I did. 22 clerks identified him, showed them both photos that we 22 Q. And were you positive that person was Aaron Davidson? 23 have, and they said, Yes. Everyone that we spoke to said, 23 No, we're not. We're never 100-percent positive until we 24 Yes, he looks more like the current photo you have, the one 24 make contact with someone and verify their I.D., if they 25 with him with the guitar. He has short dark hair. He's 25 have an I.D., or verify them through our computer database. 46

-	2			
1	Q.	You saw someone who you thought might be him?	1	at all. He had blue jeans on, which was the description w
2	Α.	Correct.	2	had got from everybody that we had spoken to. They had
3	Q.	Do you see that person in the courtroom today?	3	said that the suspect, the day prior, was wearing blue
4	Α.	Yes, I do.	4	jeans and a thin white tank top — often street lingo refer
5	Q.	Would you point him out for the jurors, please?	5	to a wife-beater tank top and that he also has
6	A.	Sitting on the far right side of the table in the grey	6	prescription glasses.
7		blazer.	7	Mr. King, again, was walking towards the
В		MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, can the record reflect	8	intersection that we were doing specific surveillance on,
9		that Detective Allen has identified the defendant?	9	looking for our target. He was wearing blue jeans, no
)		THE COURT: It may.	10	shirt. He had prescription glasses. He had short dark
1		MR. KUIPER: Thank you.	11	hair.
2		MR. KUIPER:	12	I looked at him as we do in our surveillance
3	Q.	Was he in a little bit different clothing when you saw him?	13	mode, I looked at him through our binoculars for a
	A.	Yes, he was. He was wearing blue jeans and he had no shirt	14	significant amount of time, handed the binoculars to my
5		on.	15	partner, Agent Brownback. He looked at him for a
6	Q.	And, did he have glasses on?	16	significant amount of time. We both felt strongly that
		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I'm going object to the	17	there was a very good possibility.
		leading questions. I've been very patient, but now we're	18	MR. GREENE: Objection, your Honor. He cannot
		getting into the crux of the matter.	19	testify as to what Agent Brownback thought.
)		THE COURT: Well, the question, Did he have	20	MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, I will only offer what
1		glasses on, you are objecting to that?	21	Agent Brownback said to show the actions that they jointly
2		MR. GREENE: Yes. Suggesting that. He can ask	22	took. And Agent Brownback will be available for
		him, What was he wearing.	23	cross-examination.
1		THE COURT: Overruled. I'll allow the question to	24	THE COURT: Well, just speak of what your feelings
,		that.	25	were, and Agent Brownback can testify as to what his were.
		49		51
1	10	MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, if I'd ask, Isn't it true	1	THE WITNESS: Sure. I felt strongly that there
2		that he had glasses on, that would be leading. But, Did he	2	was a possibility that this was our our suspect that we
		have glasses on, is not.	3	were looking for.
1		THE COURT: Go ahead.	4	From our standpoint, again, when we're doing
	BY M	AR. KUIPER:	5	surveillance, we typically don't get out unless it's someone
,	Q.	Did he have glasses on?	6	that we know or we feel strongly is our suspect, because we
	A.	Yes. He was wearing prescription-type glasses.	7	don't want to give up our position, I guess you would say.
1	Q.	Okay. Did you attempt to make contact with him?	8	The negative things that could happen in our
	A.	Yes, we did.	9	typical surveillance mode, is the person we're looking for
	Q.	Do you remember where that was in on Leonard? Was it	10	sees us, jumps out on someone else to make contact with
		near the intersection of Tamarack?	11	them. They obviously know there's some plain-clothes
	A.	Yes, it was.	12	surveillance officers in the area. Now they're probably not
	Q.	Okay. Would you describe to the jurors how you attempted to	13	going to come back to the area.
		a make contact with him?	14	So, unless we're pretty confident or feel strongly
	A.	Sure. Agent Brownback and I again were doing surveillance	15	that there is a good possibility that this is the person
		in the area, driving the area. We saw the defendant,	16	we're looking for, we typically won't make contact with
		Mr. King, walking on foot. He was walking eastbound on	17	someone.
		Leonard, west of Tamarack, and he was walking towards the	18	Another standard way we would do it, is we would
		intersection, which is only about a block-and-a-half away.	19	ask patrol officers to assist us with the contact. In this
		He was walking towards the intersection of Leonard and	20	instance, at the time that we were watching what turned out
		Alpine, which is where all of our information was that the	21	마시 아름이 있는데 얼마를 다 되었다. 그렇게 하지 않아 하게 하게 하다 때문에
		suspect would be going.	22	to be Mr. King walking towards us, we felt it was our
			23	suspect. I felt it was our suspect.
		He matched the physical description of what we		At this exact time, our patrol officers were
		were looking for. He was 5'10 to 6'3. We could easily see	24	working on a person that they had thought or that witnesses

			-		
1 2		of our patrol officers were tied up. So, we had no one to	1		down the street could tell he was an officer or a special
3		assist us making the contact.	2		an agent with the FBI?
4		So, we decided to make contact with him to verify	3	A.	Yes, he wears a similar -
5		who he was, again, just to make sure that he was or was not	4		MR. GREENE: Your Honor.
- 2	_	the suspect that we were looking for.	5		THE WITNESS: gun belt array that I use. They
6	Q.	Okay. So, you got out of your, your vehicle?	6		have different weapons assigned to them for the FBI, because
320	A.	Correct. So, as the subject approached us on foot, we're	7		that's their department. That's their department's choice.
8		watching him walk up the sidewalk. He was on the south	8		But he has a different gun belt, but he also has a neck
9		side of the sidewalk, walking east towards Alpine and	9		badge, which is a slightly different FBI neck badge. And at
10		Leonard. I drove we actually drove I drove, because	10		the time of making contact, he also opened up his FBI
11		I was driving. We drove around I drove around the block	111		credentials. That it's just a billfold that opens up and it
12		several times, passing by, trying to get a better glimpse	12		has FBI Agent and a picture of him and some various
13		of his face. So, I passed him several times.	13		information about him, so.
14		I pulled into a parking lot, perpendicular parked	14	BY	MR. KUIPER:
15		to the sidewalk so that my engine block is right up on the	15	Q.	Okay. So, after you identify who you are and why you're
16		sidewalk so that the person that we're watching would walk	16		contacting the defendant, what happens after that?
17		right up on us, very typical of the surveillance that I	17	A.	Well, again, I identified myself. He asked again who I
18		have to conduct on a daily basis. So, the subject walked	18		was. So, I again said, I'm Detective Allen with the Grand
19		basically right up to our car. I got out, Agent Brownback	19		Rapids Police Department, and this is Agent Brownback. We
20		got out, and I made contact with the person. I identified	20		work on an FBI Fugitive Task Force together. And I again
21		myself, said, I'm Detective Allen with the Grand Rapids	21		said, We're looking for a specific person who is wanted on
22		Police Department.	22		a felony warrant for home invasion, and that we need to
23		Being that I'm the initial person making contact,	23		verify who he was.
24		the way our police tactics work, is we try not to confuse a	24	Q.	Did you ask whether or not he had identification?
25		person by having multiple people speak at once. So, I	25	A.	I did, and he said he did not have any identification on
		53			55
1		identified myself, showed him my badge.	1		him,
2		I'm Detective Allen with the Grand Rapids Police	2		At that point, I said, Well, if you don't have
3		Department. This is Agent Brownback with the FBI. We're	3		any I.D. on you, we're going to have to verify who you are
4		оп a Fugitive Task Force. We're looking for a specific	4		somehow to make sure you're not the person that we're
5		person who is wanted on a felony warrant for a home	5		looking for.
			1100		
6		invasion. You look like our person. Do you have any I.D.	6		We asked him what his name was. He said, James.
6 7		invasion. You look like our person. Do you have any I.D. on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the	6 7		We asked him what his name was. He said, James. And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and h
7			11000		이 등 보고 있는 그리면 살아지는 아들이 하지 않는데 하는 것이 없는 것이 없어야 한다.
7 8 9	Q.	on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the	7		And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and h
7 8 9	Q.	on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the contact began.	7 8 9 10		And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and h said James. Then asked him, Well, what is your last name,
7 8 9 10	Q. A.	on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the contact began. Before you go on, obviously you have a blazer on for court.	7 8 9 10 11	Q.	And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and h said James. Then asked him, Well, what is your last name, James? He said, I'm not gonna tell you what my last name
7 8 9 10 11		on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the contact began. Before you go on, obviously you have a blazer on for court. You were in plain clothes at that time?	7 8 9 10	Q. A.	And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and he said James. Then asked him, Well, what is your last name, James? He said, I'm not gonna tell you what my last name is.
7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the contact began. Before you go on, obviously you have a blazer on for court. You were in plain clothes at that time? Correct.	7 8 9 10 11		And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and he said James. Then asked him, Well, what is your last name, James? He said, I'm not gonna tell you what my last name is. What did you do at that point?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the contact began. Before you go on, obviously you have a blazer on for court. You were in plain clothes at that time? Correct. And did you have the identifying features that you've	7 8 9 10 11 12		And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and he said James. Then asked him, Well, what is your last name, James? He said, I'm not gonna tell you what my last name is. What did you do at that point? At that point, because he wasn't producing any
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A.	on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the contact began. Before you go on, obviously you have a blazer on for court. You were in plain clothes at that time? Correct. And did you have the identifying features that you've already shown the jury on at the time that you made contact	7 8 9 10 11 12 13		And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and he said James. Then asked him, Well, what is your last name, James? He said, I'm not gonna tell you what my last name is. What did you do at that point? At that point, because he wasn't producing any identification, because now he's refusing to tell us who he
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q.	on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the contact began. Before you go on, obviously you have a blazer on for court. You were in plain clothes at that time? Correct. And did you have the identifying features that you've already shown the jury on at the time that you made contact with the defendant?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and he said James. Then asked him, Well, what is your last name, James? He said, I'm not gonna tell you what my last name is. What did you do at that point? At that point, because he wasn't producing any identification, because now he's refusing to tell us who he was, based on my experience in 17 years of law enforcement,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q.	on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the contact began. Before you go on, obviously you have a blazer on for court. You were in plain clothes at that time? Correct. And did you have the identifying features that you've already shown the jury on at the time that you made contact with the defendant? Yep. The time I made contact with the defendant, again, I	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and he said James. Then asked him, Well, what is your last name, James? He said, I'm not gonna tell you what my last name is. What did you do at that point? At that point, because he wasn't producing any identification, because now he's refusing to tell us who he was, based on my experience in 17 years of law enforcement, typically you would think that this is probably our guy.
7 8 9 10 111 112 113 114 115 116 117	A. Q.	on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the contact began. Before you go on, obviously you have a blazer on for court. You were in plain clothes at that time? Correct. And did you have the identifying features that you've already shown the jury on at the time that you made contact with the defendant? Yep. The time I made contact with the defendant, again, I had my badge, my neck badge. I pulled it out to make sure	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and he said James. Then asked him, Well, what is your last name, James? He said, I'm not gonna tell you what my last name is. What did you do at that point? At that point, because he wasn't producing any identification, because now he's refusing to tell us who he was, based on my experience in 17 years of law enforcement, typically you would think that this is probably our guy. He's not cooperating with us, he's unwilling to show us his
7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117	A. Q.	on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the contact began. Before you go on, obviously you have a blazer on for court. You were in plain clothes at that time? Correct. And did you have the identifying features that you've already shown the jury on at the time that you made contact with the defendant? Yep. The time I made contact with the defendant, again, I had my badge, my neck badge. I pulled it out to make sure that it's easily seen.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and he said James. Then asked him, Well, what is your last name, James? He said, I'm not gonna tell you what my last name is. What did you do at that point? At that point, because he wasn't producing any identification, because now he's refusing to tell us who he was, based on my experience in 17 years of law enforcement, typically you would think that this is probably our guy. He's not cooperating with us, he's unwilling to show us his I.D., and he's not — he's refusing to tell us what his
7 8 9 10 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119	A. Q.	on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the contact began. Before you go on, obviously you have a blazer on for court. You were in plain clothes at that time? Correct. And did you have the identifying features that you've already shown the jury on at the time that you made contact with the defendant? Yep. The time I made contact with the defendant, again, I had my badge, my neck badge. I pulled it out to make sure that it's easily seen. So, I had my neck badge out, I had a long-sleeved	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and he said James. Then asked him, Well, what is your last name, James? He said, I'm not gonna tell you what my last name is. What did you do at that point? At that point, because he wasn't producing any identification, because now he's refusing to tell us who he was, based on my experience in 17 years of law enforcement, typically you would think that this is probably our guy. He's not cooperating with us, he's unwilling to show us his I.D., and he's not — he's refusing to tell us what his name is. So, I asked him to step towards my car so that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the contact began. Before you go on, obviously you have a blazer on for court. You were in plain clothes at that time? Correct. And did you have the identifying features that you've already shown the jury on at the time that you made contact with the defendant? Yep. The time I made contact with the defendant, again, I had my badge, my neck badge. I pulled it out to make sure that it's easily seen. So, I had my neck badge out, I had a long-sleeved black undershirt on, and then I had a black — my black	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and he said James. Then asked him, Well, what is your last name, James? He said, I'm not gonna tell you what my last name is. What did you do at that point? At that point, because he wasn't producing any identification, because now he's refusing to tell us who he was, based on my experience in 17 years of law enforcement, typically you would think that this is probably our guy. He's not cooperating with us, he's unwilling to show us his I.D., and he's not — he's refusing to tell us what his name is. So, I asked him to step towards my car so that we're off of the sidewalk and away from the roadway. I
7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120	A. Q.	on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the contact began. Before you go on, obviously you have a blazer on for court. You were in plain clothes at that time? Correct. And did you have the identifying features that you've already shown the jury on at the time that you made contact with the defendant? Yep. The time I made contact with the defendant, again, I had my badge, my neck badge. I pulled it out to make sure that it's easily seen. So, I had my neck badge out, I had a long-sleeved black undershirt on, and then I had a black — my black tactical vest on, which is just a black sleeveless vest	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and he said James. Then asked him, Well, what is your last name, James? He said, I'm not gonna tell you what my last name is. What did you do at that point? At that point, because he wasn't producing any identification, because now he's refusing to tell us who he was, based on my experience in 17 years of law enforcement, typically you would think that this is probably our guy. He's not cooperating with us, he's unwilling to show us his I.D., and he's not — he's refusing to tell us what his name is. So, I asked him to step towards my car so that we're off of the sidewalk and away from the roadway. I said, Hey, we're just — again we're just going to have to
7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 20 21	A. Q.	on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the contact began. Before you go on, obviously you have a blazer on for court. You were in plain clothes at that time? Correct. And did you have the identifying features that you've already shown the jury on at the time that you made contact with the defendant? Yep. The time I made contact with the defendant, again, I had my badge, my neck badge. I pulled it out to make sure that it's easily seen. So, I had my neck badge out, I had a long-sleeved black undershirt on, and then I had a black — my black tactical vest on, which is just a black sleeveless vest with pockets on it, over. And I had a pair of blue jeans	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and he said James. Then asked him, Well, what is your last name, James? He said, I'm not gonna tell you what my last name is. What did you do at that point? At that point, because he wasn't producing any identification, because now he's refusing to tell us who he was, based on my experience in 17 years of law enforcement, typically you would think that this is probably our guy. He's not cooperating with us, he's unwilling to show us his I.D., and he's not — he's refusing to tell us what his name is. So, I asked him to step towards my car so that we're off of the sidewalk and away from the roadway. I said, Hey, we're just — again we're just going to have to verify who you are. I asked him if he had any weapons on
7 8 9 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123	A. Q.	on you where we can verify who you are? And that's how the contact began. Before you go on, obviously you have a blazer on for court. You were in plain clothes at that time? Correct. And did you have the identifying features that you've already shown the jury on at the time that you made contact with the defendant? Yep. The time I made contact with the defendant, again, I had my badge, my neck badge. I pulled it out to make sure that it's easily seen. So, I had my neck badge out, I had a long-sleeved black undershirt on, and then I had a black — my black tactical vest on, which is just a black sleeveless vest with pockets on it, over. And I had a pair of blue jeans on, and then I had my belt badge and my gun, magazine	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		And Agent Brownback then asked him again who he was, and he said James. Then asked him, Well, what is your last name, James? He said, I'm not gonna tell you what my last name is. What did you do at that point? At that point, because he wasn't producing any identification, because now he's refusing to tell us who he was, based on my experience in 17 years of law enforcement, typically you would think that this is probably our guy. He's not cooperating with us, he's unwilling to show us his I.D., and he's not — he's refusing to tell us what his name is. So, I asked him to step towards my car so that we're off of the sidewalk and away from the roadway. I said, Hey, we're just — again we're just going to have to verify who you are. I asked him if he had any weapons on him, and he said, Yes, I do.

16 17		Certainly, we don't want someone getting on their phone right away calling for other people to come, and then we have to deal with a massive crowd, because there's	15	A.	you show the jurors what you did. Sure.
		we have to deal with a massive crowd, because there's	17	Q.	Okay.
18		obviously a safety issue there. Second reason is — It's	18	A.	(Demonstrating)
20		happened to me before in my experience, where whatever object	19	Q.	Let's say the front of the jury panel is your hood right
21		MR. GREENE: Objection, your Honor. Your Honor,	20	A.	here (indicating). Okay. So, I had him step to the front quarter panel, where
22		objection. Whatever happened to him before is totally	22	٠.	the tire is at; asked him to place his hands on his head.
23		irrelevant here.	23		I gripped his hands in this manner here (indicating), that
24		MR. KUIPER: It absolutely is relevant.	24		way we have control of the subject. Should he try and pull
25		THE COURT: Overruled. It provides context.	25		away, it gives us an advantage in terms of being able to
		57			59
1		Go ahead.	1		counter whatever aggressive move that he's going to make.
2		THE WITNESS: So I asked him to again hand me his	2		I've got control of his hands. We'll typically grab ahold
3		cell phone, because I didn't want him to use it as an impact	3		of his belt or his waistband, ask him to spread his legs a
4		weapon of some sort to strike myself or my partner with.	4		little bit so we can have a better view and better stance,
5		He handed it to me, and I handed it over to Agent Brownback. I asked him to put his hands on his head.	5		as you will, for us to a sturdier stance to hold him
7		He did. He put his hands on his head. I told him I was	7		upright. We don't want him falling over, or anything like that.
8		going to pat him down for weapons.	8		Then, again, he doesn't have a shirt on. He's
9		As I did, or as I began to, I had one hand on his	9		got jeans on. And then he had a knife pouch right here
10		hands to keep them sturdy, then I felt a little knife pouch	10		(indicates), which I felt the knife pouch and I removed the
11		on him. I removed the knife, asked him if this was the	11		knife. I said, Is this the weapon you're talking about?
12		weapon he was talking about, and he said, Yes.	12		He said, Yes. Handed it to Agent Brownback, who is
13		I handed that to Agent Brownback. I continued to	13		actually on this side of me (indicates), handed it to him.
14		search him or not search him, I continued to pat him down	14		And then as I continued to pat him down, look for
15		for weapons. Again, not knowing. Originally, he never said	15		weapons
16		what weapon he had, and certainly you don't know if someone	16	Q.	Let me stop you there, Officer Allen. When you say, this
17		has more than one weapon on him.	17		side, everything here is being recorded. What side are you
18		So, as I started to do that, Agent Brownback	18		indicating, your left or your right?
19		was	19	A.	The knife pouch is on his right side. Agent Brownback is
20		MR. KUIPER:	20		standing on my left side. So, I took the knife out of my
21	Q.	Can I	21		right hand, handed it to Agent Brownback, who is on my left
22	A.	Go ahead.	22		side.
23		Can I interrupt you just	23		As I was coming around to check for anything
		Sure.	24		stuffed behind his back, because now this is the first time
24 25	A. Q.	When you said that you grabbed his hands, they were on top	25		I'm seeing the subject's back, I notice that he had a large

_				
1		wallet in his back pocket. I tapped his wallet, I said,	1	because this person has a felony warrant. There's probably
2		You're telling me that you don't have an I.D. on you when	2	patrol officers and community officers, and other detectives
3		you have this big wallet in your back pocket? I was	3	probably still looking for this person. Just make sure you
4		thinking, of course, this is probably our our subject	4	have your I.D. on you so the next time you get stopped you
5		that we're looking for because, A, he was saying he didn't	5	can show them, and then off you go.
6		have I.D. from the very get-go, then he's refusing to say	6	Our contact would last maybe a minute, unless you
7		his name.	7	wanted to talk to me further. You might say, Oh, yeah, I
8		Now I can clearly see a wallet in his back pocket	8	recognize that person. Then, of course, I'll say, Hey, you
9		and, as most people would, they carry their I.D. in their	9	know, would you like to step to the side so that we're not
0		wallet.	10	in public view or we're not next to the street. Would you
11	Q.	Do you up to there, other than not giving the last name,	11	like to help me out? Would you like to give me some more
2		was it fair to say that the defendant was pretty cooperative	12	information, or what do you know about the person or have
3		with you?	13	you seen the person, or anything like that?
4	A.	Yes.	14	So, we're very targeted specific. I mean, I have
5	Q.	Okay. Did things change at that point?	15	a rotation of about 10 people at any given time, unless some
6	A.	At that point he, and just slightly prior to that point,	16	emergency situation happens. But those are the type of
7		when I removed the knife from his belt and said, Is this	17	people that I'm going to be looking for.
8		the weapon you're talking about, he began to get a little	18	MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
9		bit verbally combative.	19	the narrative. It's impossible to cross-examine him on his
0		Agent Brownback was again saying, in loose terms,	20	narratives if they go on and on and on. Totally
1		Hey, man, why don't you just tell us your name, or, you	21	unresponsive to the questions.
2		know, help us figure this out.	22	THE COURT: Well, I think we've probably heard
3		Very typically, in our contacts that we have on a	23	enough on this. Let's move on.
4		daily basis, if I was making contact with you, thinking	24	BY MR. KUIPER:
5		that you're someone that I'm looking for, and -	25	Q. We'll move on. We have a good understanding,
		61		63
1		MR. GREENE: Objection, your Honor. It's totally	1	Detective Allen. Thank you for that description.
2		inappropriate to be using a juror as an object of his	2	A. Okay.
3		example.	3	Q. Now, did the defendant become combative at about the time
4		MR. KUIPER: Use me, Detective Allen.	4	MR. GREENE: Objection, your Honor. That's a
5		THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.	5	leading question.
6		THE WITNESS: I would say, Ma'am, do you have an	6	THE COURT: Yes.
7		I.D. on you? I'm looking for a very specific person. I	7	MR. KUIPER: It's a foundational question, your
8		just need to verify who you are to make sure you're not the	8	Honor.
9		person we're looking for. You say, Yes, I do have an I.D.	9	THE COURT: Just ask him what happened what
0		on me. I would just ask you, Can you show me your I.D.? As	10	happened next.
1		soon as you show me your I.D. and your name is a legitimate	11	BY MR. KUIPER:
2		driver's license, or an Op's card or some sort of legitimate	12	Q. What happened next?
3		health card, or anything that has a photo with an actual	13	A. Sure. So, when I tapped Mr. King's wallet and said, You're
4		name on it, and I can say that, I'm sorry. Sorry for the	14	telling me you don't have an I.D. when you have this walle
5		disruption in your day. You're clearly not the person we're	15	in your back pocket, at that point he, Agent Brownback,
6		looking for.	16	reached for his left hand Agent Brownback is on my left
7		At that point, because they're in the area,	17	side reached for his left hand to gain control of him.
3		because we're conducting surveillance in the area, because	18	At this point, when he reached for his hand, the
9		we're most likely going to continue to conduct surveillance	19	suspect pulled his left hand — Mr. King pulled his left
)		in the area, I'll show you the photo of the person I'm	20	hand out of my grip, punched at Agent Brownback's face;
1		looking for. And also, just to give you confidence that I'm	21	then he spun on us, squared up on us.
		doing my job correctly, I'll say, Here's the photo of the	22	At that point in time, Agent Brownback tried to
2		and the second of the second o	23	
		person we're looking for. She looks a lot like you. And		
3		person we're looking for. She looks a lot like you. And oftentimes they'll say. Oh. yeah. You're right. And I'll	100	grab his other hand. I grabbed a part of our defensive
2 3 4 5		person we're looking for. She looks a lot like you. And oftentimes they'll say, Oh, yeah. You're right. And I'll tell you, Make sure you keep an I.D. on you at all times	24	tactic, how we're trained, I tried to grab ahold of his neck to control his head, because we were in close

proximity to each other. At that point in time, he began 1 ripping my arm apart with his mouth. punching in all different directions at myself and at 2 I was yelling. I yelled at him very Agent Brownback. I grabbed his neck. 3 specifically, because I couldn't actually believe that it Part of our defensive tactics that we're taught 4 was happening. I've been in several fights in my career is, if you can control a subject's head, you can typically 5 with suspects, but I've never had one actually bite me or control their body. At that point, he had tried to punch 6 try and eat me. And I just very specifically told him, Agent Brownback in the face. He had tried to punch me. I 7 Stop biting me. Stop biting me. You're under arrest. grabbed his neck, I pushed him to the ground. I tried to We're the police. Stop biting me. maintain control of, again, his head, to control the rest 9 At that point of your body. 10 Q. Well, let me ask you this: Did you do anything to try to As we got to the ground - it's July, it was hot, 11 get him off of -- his -- his bite off of your bicep? it was sunny -- the subject was sweating. He had no shirt 12 Yeah. I -- I reached with my right hand -- I had to take on. He was acting aggressive again - still. Agent 13 my hand off of his left hand -- I reached with my right Brownback was trying to take him into custody. Again, at 14 hand to try and grab his mouth area to pull him off from this point we felt that this was very highly our person 15 me. But he was biting so hard that, when I was pulling, it that we were looking for, or someone who committed a 16 was actually further ripping the flesh off my arm, and it different crime that just didn't want to be identified, 17 was -- it was -- it was furthering the bite. And he perhaps had warrants or maybe he did a different home 18 continued to bite harder. invasion, we don't know, but he was clearly not complying 19 Q. Did you do anything else to try to get him off? at this point. 20 A. I yelled several times. And then I had no choice at that We went to the ground -21 point. I started punching him as hard as I could, as fast What happened when you went to the ground? 22 as I could, and as many times as I could, in his face, to At that point at the ground, we're again just trying to put 23 get him to stop biting me. handcuffs on him to take him into custody. 24 At that point in time, as I was punching him in Agent Brownback told him to stop punching, told 25 the face, I had now taken my hand off of his left hand, and him he was under arrest. I told him to stop fighting. I 1 told him, you know, We're the police. Stop fighting. Put 2 your hands behind your back. He was, again, sweaty, he was 3 rolling around on the ground. We were in the grass now, 4 just off the parking lot, just off the sidewalk. I would 5 biting me like (indicating). say we were probably about 10 to 15 feet off the roadway, 6 At that point in time, I felt some very specific, 7

so there's some concern. There were cars passing by.

This was a Friday afternoon in the middle of July, you know, about 2:30. There's a lot of traffic. So, I was worried that he's going to run into the road or roll in the road. I'm trying to take him into custody. I had had my arm -- he had rolled onto his back, and I had my hands on his head. Then I had my arm wrapped around his head, and I was trying to grab -- he's facing me now on the ground. I was trying to grab his left arm now to pull it behind his back so that Agent Brownback can put handcuffs on him.

As he's doing that, he immediately bit down on my left bicep. He began growling, grunting. There was spit coming out of his mouth. He - he bit down aggressively on my arm. It happened -- it lasted for well over a minute, maybe going into two minutes. I could feel the flesh of my bicep, I could actually feel it - if you think about when you bite into a steak - I could feel it tearing. I thought he was eating my bicep off. He was completely

66

at that point in time we were kind of tucked together like this (indicating). He's biting my arm, I'm yelling at him to stop biting me, I'm punchin' him in the face. I'm punchin' him right in the left side of his face, as he's

very sharp blunt blows on the back of my head, and I had no idea where they were coming from. I stopped punching him, and I looked up and he had somehow obtained a pair of handcuffs. I could very clearly see a pair of silver handcuffs, which I thought were either mine that had fallen off my belt or that he had gotten Agent Brownback's, and he was punching me, smashing the back of my head with the handcuffs, which were the sharp blows.

I grabbed his hand. So I stopped punching him. He is still biting me. So the struggle, again, was going on for several minutes. I tried to pin his left hand that he had the handcuffs with, down, and I - I yelled at him to stop hitting me with the handcuffs. Drop the handcuffs. Stop hitting me with the handcuffs.

At that point in time I -

22 Q. Let me stop you there, Detective Allen.

If you were to see photographs of -- did you sustain any injuries as a result of the bite?

24

I did. I had a large open bite wound. He had - he had

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

-		1	_	
0		100	Q.	And the bottom right-hand photograph?
	그 경기에 가장하는 사람이 되었다. 이 경기를 하는 것이 되었다. 그 생각이 하고 있다고 있다면 하지 않는 것이다.			and and an area of a second an area of a s
۸.		1	Q.	
		1 :		At the bottom left-hand photograph?
	그는 그렇게요. 그렇게 하는데 살아서 하면 아버지 친구들이 빠져서 해가지?	100	77.7	Yep. Same injury, just a different angle.
		100	Q.	You see the tape there with the Numbers 1 and 2 on it. Do
Q.				you know what that is?
			A.	It's just it's a measurement tape that our forensics
	(Exhibits 3 & 4 marked)	9		uses so you can get a better visual image and depth
BY	MR. KUIPER:	100		perception of the actual size of whatever they're measuring
Q.	There is 3 and there's 4. Do you recognize what's depicted	1		and taking photos of. In this case, it's the bite mark on
	in those seven photographs?	12		my arm.
A.	Yes. There's a photo of me. There's four photos	13	Q.	The top left?
	specifically of my bicep where the bite wound was. This	14	A.	That is my right hand that you can see swelling to the back
	was taken the next day by our forensics person. There's	15		of my hand, my fingers, and just a few abrasions on my
	two photos of my hand. There was some swelling and some	16		knuckle area.
	abrasions on my hand that I had sustained from the fight.	17	Q.	The bottom right-hand photograph?
Q.	Okay. From from punching?	18	A.	Same hand, just a slightly different angle.
A.	Correct.	19	Q.	Other than the injuries that we see, the discoloration and
Q.	And those fairly and accurately represent the injuries that	20		the bite, what physically did you feel on the arm as a
	you suffered?	21		result of this bite?
A.	Yes. This was taken the day after the incident, so it	22	A.	I had lost feeling in my arm for two days. There was some
	didn't fully it doesn't fully I mean, my entire	23		slight nerve damage from the bite mark. I had a concussion
	bicep, from my elbow to my shoulder, all the way around was	24		from getting hit in the hand with the handcuffs. I
		1		experienced that for probably I've never experienced a
	69			71
Q.	Okay.	1		concussion before but I experienced that for about a
A.	But this was taken the day after.	2		week-and-a-half, which the doctors told me was pretty
	MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, I move for admission of	3		typical of a
	People's Proposed Exhibits 3 and 4.	4		MR. GREENE: Objection, objection, your Honor.
	MR. GREENE: No objection.	5		MR. KUIPER: Don't testify as to what the doctors
	THE COURT: Admitted.	6		told you.
	(Exhibits 3 & 4 admitted)	7		THE COURT: Sustained.
		100		THE WITNESS: Okay. I had part of the symptoms
				of the concussion, I had fallen down the stairs at my house
		1		
		DESC.		a couple days after the incident had happened. I just got
DV N		100		dizzy and fell down the stairs. I had a bowl of rice I was
200		1550		taking downstairs to my daughter and my son, and thee rice
u.		155		spilled all over the stairs.
		1		MR. KUIPER:
		200	-3.7	
111		2.7	A.	I've never had a balance issue before, no, or fainting
	No. of the second secon	100		episodes.
A.		100		There's another incident where I was driving dow
_	And you can see black and blue around there?	19		the road with my family and a couple days after the
Q.				incident - and I started cattle - di-
A.	Yes.	20		incident and I started getting dizzy and woozy. I had
A.	Yes. And then these other photographs, the top left is a	21		to pull over and ask my wife to drive. I also had swelling
A. Q.	Yes. And then these other photographs, the top left is a close-up?	21 22		나는 아이들은 살아 있다면 하는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다면 없다.
A. Q.	Yes. And then these other photographs, the top left is a close-up? Yes.	21		to pull over and ask my wife to drive. I also had swelling
A. Q.	Yes. And then these other photographs, the top left is a close-up?	21 22	Q.	to pull over and ask my wife to drive. I also had swelling and inflammation to my right knee, and just overall pain
	Q. BY! Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	A. Yes. I had our forensics person take a photo of the injuries. MR. KUIPER: (Furnishing to defense counsel) BY MR. KUIPER: Q. I'm going to have these marked as People's Exhibits Number 3 and 4. (Exhibits 3 & 4 marked) BY MR. KUIPER: Q. There is 3 and there's 4. Do you recognize what's depicted in those seven photographs? A. Yes. There's a photo of me. There's four photos specifically of my bicep where the bite wound was. This was taken the next day by our forensics person. There's two photos of my hand. There was some swelling and some abrasions on my hand that I had sustained from the fight. Q. Okay. From — from punching? A. Correct. Q. And those fairly and accurately represent the injuries that you suffered? A. Yes. This was taken the day after the incident, so it didn't fully — it doesn't fully — I mean, my entire bicep, from my elbow to my shoulder, all the way around was swollen and black and blue and purple for two weeks. 69 Q. Okay. A. But this was taken the day after. MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, I move for admission of People's Proposed Exhibits 3 and 4. MR. GREENE: No objection. THE COURT: Admitted. (Exhibits 3 & 4 admitted) MR. KUIPER: And I move to publish them to the jury, as well. THE COURT: Go ahead, please. (Exhibits 3 & 4 published) BY MR. KUIPER: Q. This is Exhibit Number 3. This is the bottom left-hand photograph. That's your bicep? A. Yes. Q. And that's your bicep?	Q. If you saw photographs of them, would you recognize A. Yes. I had our forensics person take a photo of the injuries. MR. KUIPER: (Furnishing to defense counsel) BY MR. KUIPER: (Furnishing to defense counsel) BY MR. KUIPER: (6 Q. Tim going to have these marked as People's Exhibits Number 3 and 4. (Exhibits 3 & 4 marked) BY MR. KUIPER: 10 Q. There is 3 and there's 4. Do you recognize what's depicted in those seven photographs? A. Yes. There's a photo of me. There's four photos specifically of my bicep where the bite wound was. This was taken the next day by our forensics person. There's two photos of my hand. There was some swelling and some abrasions on my hand that I had sustained from the fight. Q. Okay. From from punching? A. Correct. 19 A. Correct. 20 And those fairly and accurately represent the injuries that you suffered? 21 A. Yes. This was taken the day after the incident, so it didn't fully it doesn't fully I mean, my entire bicep, from my elbow to my shoulder, all the way around was swollen and black and blue and purple for two weeks. 69 Q. Okay. A. But this was taken the day after. MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, I move for admission of People's Proposed Exhibits 3 and 4. MR. GREENE: No objection. THE COURT: Admitted. (Exhibits 3 & 4 admitted) MR. KUIPER: And I move to publish them to the jury, as well. THE COURT: Go ahead, please. (Exhibits 3 & 4 published) BY MR. KUIPER: Q. This is Exhibit Number 3. This is the bottom left-hand photograph. That's you the day after this incident happened? A. Yes. Q. And that's your bicep?	Q. If you saw photographs of them, would you recognize A. Yes. I had our forensics person take a photo of the injuries. MR. KUIPER: (Furnishing to defense counsel) BY MR. KUIPER: (Furnishing to defense counsel) BY MR. KUIPER: (Furnishing to defense counsel) BY MR. KUIPER: (Exhibits 3 & 4 marked) BY MR. KUIPER: (Exhibits 3 & 4 marked) BY MR. KUIPER: 10 Q. There is 3 and there's 4. Do you recognize what's depicted in those seven photographs? A. Yes. There's a photo of me. There's four photos specifically of my bicep where the bite wound was. This was taken the next day by our forensics person. There's two photos of my hand. There was some swelling and some abrasions on my hand that I had sustained from the fight. Q. Okay. From — from punching? A. Correct. 19 Q. And those fairly and accurately represent the injuries that you suffered? 21 A. Yes. This was taken the day after the incident, so it didn't fully — it doesn't fully — I mean, my entire bicep, from my elbow to my shoulder, all the way around was swollen and black and blue and purple for two weeks. 69 Q. Okay. A. But this was taken the day after. MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, I move for admission of People's Proposed Exhibits 3 and 4. MR. GREENE: No objection. THE COURT: Admitted. (Exhibits 3 & 4 admitted) MR. KUIPER: And I move to publish them to the jury, as well. THE COURT: Go ahead, please. (Exhibits 3 & 4 published) BY MR. KUIPER: Q. This is Exhibit Number 3. This is the bottom left-hand photograph. That's you the day after this incident happened? A. Yes. Q. And that's your bicep?

1 defendant's hand down with the handcuffs in them, would you 1 accessible to my firearm, and at this point I felt like I 2 pick up and tell us what happened next? 2 was losing the fight. I couldn't gain control of him 3 Sure. At that point the suspect is still biting my arm. I A. 3 because he was all sweaty. 4 was able to rip the handcuffs out of his hand, threw the -He was still growling and snarling in a very odd 5 threw the handcuffs on the side. I had -- I was looking 5 manner. I felt like he was literally trying to eat me. 6 around. I had his hand pinned down now, and he's still I looked again and I saw another subject coming, 7 biting my arm. 7 a white male. I yelled at him to help. At this point we 8 I had looked around, and Agent Brownback was were not gaining control of him. This was going to 9 struggling, trying to gain control of his other arm, which 9 continue. I yelled at him to help. The person said, What 10 would be his right arm, because he's still facing me. He 10 can I do? I said, Hey, grab my radio. 11 was laying on his back on the ground, still slippery. He's 11 At that point I didn't know where my radio was. 12 kicking. I can visually see him trying to kick 12 Agent Brownback wasn't able to call on his radio. I didn't 13 Agent Brownback. 13 know if the people in the van were calling for help. 14 At that point in time a van had pulled up on 14 The guy looked around, he handed me a portable 15 Leonard and stopped. There was a lady and another person 15 radio - I don't know if it was mine or if it was Agent 16 leaning out the passenger side of the vehicle. I yelled 16 Brownback's. As he's still biting on me, I grabbed my 17 for the lady, Call 911. Call the 911. We're the police. 17 portable radio and I used our department 10 code. It's 18 Tell them the police need the police. Call 911. She said, 18 just a series of code numbers to call for help, and I 19 I'm actually on the phone. I said, Well, tell them to 19 yelled, 1039, which is officer in trouble, send help 20 hurry up and get here. Tell them we're detectives, that we 20 immediately, emergency response. But it's -- it's the I'm 21 21 need help, to send cars immediately. in trouble, it's an emergency, come right away. 22 Suspect is -- or Mr. King is still biting me. I 22 So, I yelled my call sign, which is -- 1450 is my 23 still had control of his arm. At that point in time 23 call sign number, to identify who I was. I said, 1039 24 another lady came walking across the street. I yelled at 24 Leonard and Tamarack, and I said it twice, I believe. 25 her because, to me, it didn't seem like the people in the 25 1 van were actually calling. They just kept -- from what it 1 Then the radio got knocked out of my hand, or I 2 appeared to me, they just kept watching what was going on. 2 tossed the radio - I'm not sure, but the radio came out of 3 So, I yelled at the lady, Hey, can you call police? She 3 my hand. 4 4 said, I don't have a phone. Then she actually yelled at Q. Did that -- did that white male that assisted you in getting 5 5 Mr. King, Hey the radio, did he provide any further assistance? 6 6 MR. GREENE: Objection. Objection, your Honor. Yes. Then he asked, What can I do next? We asked him to 7 MR. KUIPER: Excited utterance, your Honor. 7 help gain control of him. Agent Brownback asked him to 8 8 Present sense impression and excited utterance. help grab his legs, because he was still kicking 9 9 THE COURT: I'll allow it. Go ahead. Agent Brownback and throwing knees. That person actually 10 10 THE WITNESS: She actually yelled at Mr. King, helped control his legs so that now Agent Brownback didn't 11 Hey, stop fighting. Can't you see they're the police? 11 have to deal with his legs; all he had to do was hold onto 12 12 Can't you see their badges? I mean, our badges were still his arm. 13 13 hanging around our neck. At that point, Agent Brownback was able to pull 14 14 At that point she came over. I was still looking his arm behind his back. I'm still being bitten. I 15 15 around. We weren't gaining control of him. He was still grabbed Mr. King's other arm, pulled it behind his head. 16 16 biting on my arm. It was ripping -- it was literally Agent Brownback was able to pull it behind his back. And 17 ripping the flesh out of my arm. It was excruciating pain. 17 at that point, with the assistance of the citizen 18 We were getting very -- I was getting very tired. I was 18 controlling his legs, at that point we were able to put the 19 getting dizzy from being hit in the head with the handcuffs. 19 handcuffs on him, and then at that point it ended. 20 I honestly felt at that point that I was losing the fight. 20 I rolled over, completely out of energy, out of 21 21 BY MR. KUIPER: breath. He was in -- he was in handcuffs and under control 22 22 Q. Do you have -- did you have -- you had your firearm on your 23 right hip at that time? 23 Okay. And approximately how long after this did it take for 24 I had my firearm on my right hip. I'm still trying to 24 any uniform police officers to arrive? 25 maintain control of his left arm because it's easily 25 Umm, when I called for the help, within a few seconds, 74 19 of 63 sheets Page 73 to 76 of 252

1		probably within 15 seconds or 20 seconds, I heard lights	1		
2		and sirens, so I knew there were cars coming.	1 2		MR. GREENE: (Viewing photos)
3		The emergency vehicles it was Friday afternoon	3		No objection.
4		traffic. They were probably there within a	4		MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, I move for the admission
5		minute-and-a-half at that point.	5		of Exhibits 5, 6 and 7.
6	Q.		6		THE COURT: Admitted.
7		MR. KUIPER: Can I have a few seconds, your Honor?	7		(Exhibits 5, 6 & 7 admitted)
8		THE COURT: Sure.			MR. KUIPER: Ask to publish them to the jury.
9	BY	MR. KUIPER:	8		THE COURT: Go ahead, please.
10	Q.	And the second	9)	(Publishing Exhibits 5, 6 & 7)
11	u.	Did you get a chance to open the wallet that you saw on the	10		MR. KUIPER:
12	Α.	defendant at all before that struggle?	11	Q.	This is Exhibit Number 5, the top photograph. Do you know
13	7.7	I did not.	12		who's depicted in that photo?
	Q.	Did you get a chance to after the struggle?	13	A.	That's Mr. King. There's his glasses on the ground just
14	A.	I did not. Someone else the other officers that	14		above and to the left of his head, and a few other items.
15		responded to the scene took control of the scene. There	15	Q.	Then the bottom photograph?
16		was a supervisor on-scene, as well. There were actually	16	A.	It's just a frontal shot of Mr. King as he's laying on the
17		multiple supervisors on-scene.	17		ground.
18		At that point I just sat on the side until the	18	Q.	That's the bottom photograph in Exhibit Number 5?
19		ambulance came. The ambulance actually the medical	19	A.	Yep. That's just a frontal photo of Mr. King handcuffed on
20		personnel. I asked them to address him first, Mr. King	20		the ground.
21		first, because of the struggle and the mannerisms. We	21	Q.	And the top photograph, Exhibit Number 6?
22		wanted to make sure he was safe and not having any medical	22	A.	Just a different angle with Mr. King on the ground, again,
23		issues, and then they attended to me right away, took	23		still handcuffed.
24		took my shirt off and addressed my injury there, and they	24	Q.	Middle photograph of Exhibit Number 6?
25		were checking my vital signs and things of that nature.	25	A.	Photo of me with my shirt pulled back. There was I had
		77			79
1		But someone else was able to ascertain his I.D.	1		a long-sleeved shirt underneath that t-shirt, and they're
2		from his wallet.	2		both pulled back to show the bite mark so they can take a
3	Q.	I'm going to show you what I'm going to have marked as	3		photograph of the bite mark.
4		People's Proposed Exhibits 5, 6 and 7. There are three	4	Q.	Do you know where your lanyard is at this particular time?
5		sheets containing eight photos. I want you to look at those	5	A.	I had taken it off with the long sleeve, and my tactical
6		and see if you can identify what's depicted in those photos.	6		vest. I had taken them off so they could get any
7	A.	Sure.	7		obstructions around my neck away so that they could chec
8		(Exhibits 5, 6 & 7 marked)	8		my vitals, and then, of course, to take my clothing off to
9	BY N	MR. KUIPER:	9		take a photo of the injury to my arm.
0	Q.	Do you recognize what's depicted in those photographs?	10	Q.	The bottom photograph in Exhibit Number 6?
1	A.	Yes, I do. It's Mr. King laying on the ground handcuffed	11	A.	That's just a closer imagine of the bite mark. And you can
2		as he was when we took him into custody. He's not wearing	12		see the flashlight I have on my hip in the background.
3		a shirt, he's wearing jeans, as the description I provided	13	Q.	Okay.
4		to you before.	14	Α.	Yep. Yes.
5		His his, what appears to be prescription	15	Q.	And the top photo in Exhibit Number 7?
6		sunglasses, are on the sidewalk. There's a pen. There's	16	A.	
7			17	A.	Just a different angle of Mr. King again, laying on the
8		what appears to be a cell phone, a wallet and some	18	0	ground handcuffed.
9		miscellaneous items that are strewn about the ground.	0.00	Q.	Middle photograph in Exhlbit Number 7?
0		There's also a picture of myself and a picture of the	19	A.	That's an image of the grassy area where the struggle tool
	0	injury to my arm.	20		place; items strewn about that happened during the
1	ų.	And that picture was taken on January I'm sorry,	21		struggling. And then you can actually see my car door and
2		July 18, 2014?	22		the side profile image of my — of my vehicle where we had
3	A.	Correct. Immediately after the altercation occurred.	23		made contact with Mr. King by the front side of him.
4		MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, I move for admission.	24	Q.	The bottom photograph in Exhibit Number 7?
5		And I'll show Mr. Greene these.	25	A.	Again, just a different angle of the same items, and the
		78			80

Case 1:16-cv-00343-JTN-ESC ECF No. 73 filed 01/17/17 PageID.437 Page 17 of 62

				W.7
	82			84
5 Q.	Okay. And this is something you compiled?	25		do they?
4 A.		24		photo do not represent Mr. King; do not look like Mr. King,
3 Q.	This is what you were working off of, pretty much?	23		You were not. Just so we're clear, the features in this
2 A.		22	A.	From this specific photo, I was not.
1	(indicating), is that correct?	21		July 18?
0	initial photo of Mr. Davidson is this one right here	20	u.	Okay. Listen listen to my question. You were not able to compare the features from this photo with Mr. King on
) u.	and these have already been admitted of the your	19	Q.	People in the neighborhood
3 Q.		18		him, as well as the descriptions given to me by several
	MR. GREENE:	17		together, with that photo being a more accurate photo of
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION	16		
5	MR. GREENE: Thank you, your Honor.	15	A.	I had access to both photos that you have there, combine
4	Mr. Greene.	14	٠.	photo with Mr. King on July 18, 2014, were you?
3	THE COURT: Be seated.	13	Q.	
2	(At 11:18 a.m., jury resumes seats)	12	A.	Pardon?
	(At 10:55 a.m., jury exits courtroom)	11	u.	were you?
)	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.	10	Q.	No. And you weren't able to do that on July 18th either,
9	MR. GREENE: No, your Honor.	9		sitting there, no.
В	THE COURT: Mr. Greene?	8	A.	I can't compare those facial features to Mr. King as he's
7	you.	7	O	Okay.
5	MR. KUIPER: Nothing from me, your Honor. Thank	6	۸.	male.
5	the record before we take a recess, Mr. Kuiper?	5	A.	His facial features, other than I can see that he's a white
	THE COURT: The jury is out. Anything further on	4	Q.	
3	(At 10:54 a.m., jury exits courtroom)	3	A.	With Mr. King right now?
2	gentlemen. You may go back.	2	w.	Mr. King, can you?
1	We'll take a 15-minute recess, ladies and	1	Q.	
	81	25	A.	You cannot.
5		25	Q.	And you really can't see this person's face, can you?
1	hours.	24	Q.	
3	recess anyway. We've been at it for the better part of two	23	A.	Yes.
2	THE COURT: Well, I think it's about time for a	22	w.	Okay. This is the second photo that you created a sheet, a data sheet or a fact sheet?
1	set my computer up.	21	Q.	
0	MR. GREENE: Your Honor, actually, I need time to	20	А.	couldn't answer that.
9	take on cross?	19		I don't have a Grand Rapids census in front of me. I
8	THE COURT: Mr. Greene, how long do you expect to	18	Q.	Wouldn't that cover probably half the men in on Leonard?
7	Thank you.	17	A.	Yes.
6	I don't have anymore questions of Detective Allen.	16		that correct?
5	Honor? (Confers with detective)	15	۳.	wearing glasses between the heights of 5'10 and 6'3, isn't
4	MR. KUIPER: Can I just have a few seconds, your	14	Q.	
3 Q	. Okay.	13		him.
2 A		12		similar features to him, but I wouldn't say it looks like
1 Q		11	Α.	It's a white male wearing prescription glasses that has
0 A	. No, I was not.	10	Q.	Yes.
9 Q	. Yes.	9	A.	Right now?
8 A		8	Q.	I know. I'm asking you, Does that photo look like Mr. King?
7	involved in any other investigation of this?	7	A.	In your mind, does he look like Mr. King? Well, that looks like Mr. Davidson.
	. After what you've described your involvement was, were you	6	A. Q.	I'm not telling the jury anything, no.
5 A		5		like him, are you?
4 Q		3	Q.	The first term of the first term of the following to the first term of the first ter
3	his glasses, his wallet. There's some other cars and miscellaneous items.	2		driver's license photo.
2	his diasses, his wallet. There's some other care and			

1	A.	I would say the hairstyle definitely does not look like	1		THE COURT: Well, certainly try to answer as
2		Mr. King.	2		directly you can, Mr. Greene's questions.
3	Q.	Service and Suppose, 50	3		MR. GREENE: Thank you.
4		any white male wearing glasses, you thought you should be	4		THE WITNESS: Okay.
5		able to stop?	5	BY	MR. GREENE:
6	A.	I never said that.	6	Q.	Now, when you saw Mr. King, he wasn't wearing a shirt?
7	Q.	Okay. Well, did you think that?	7	A.	Correct.
8	A.	I did not think that.	8	Q.	So, you could tell that there were no weapons stuffed into
9	Q.	Now Mr. King was walking down Leonard, correct?	9		his waistband?
0	A.	Yes, he was.	10	A.	I did not see one at the time. Correct.
1	Q.	And he was not committing any felonies, was he?	11	Q.	Okay. And you pull up and you get out of your car?
2	A.	No, he was not.	12	A.	I did not. I testified before that I had drove past him
3	Q.	He wasn't committing any misdemeanors, was he?	13		several times, trying to get a better perspective of him.
4	A.	He was not.	14		Then we parked into a parking lot to obtain a longer view
5	Q.	He wasn't even committing any civil infractions, was he?	15		of him that I
6	A.	He was not.	16	Q.	Detective, I've already gone forward to getting out of your
7	Q.	He was just walking down Leonard, minding his own business?	17		car. You've parked now, right?
8	A.	Correct,	18	A.	I'm sorry. I thought you were implying that I just
9	Q.	And you decided to stop Mr. King based on what?	19		randomly jumped out of my car on him.
0	A.	Based on everything that I testified to earlier.	20	Q.	
1	Q.	Well, I'm asking you again, so you can tell me now. Did	21		did it?
2		you you've already acknowledged that the photos don't	22	A.	I'm sorry, what?
3		look like Mr. King, correct?	23	Q.	His appearance did not change when you drove by him, did it?
4		MR. KUIPER: I'll object. It's been asked and	24	Α.	No. But I was just trying to give myself multiple angles
5		answered, what he based his stop on, your Honor.	25		to view him, trying to attempt to identify him.
		85	1		87
1		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, it's cross-examination.	1	Q.	So, he looked the same the second or third time you saw him
2		THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Greene.	2		as he did the first, correct?
3		MR. GREENE: Thank you.	3	A.	And, when I parked, I actually got a better view.
4	BY N	MR. GREEN	4	Q.	Listen to my question. The question is: He looked the same
5	Q.	You've already acknowledged he didn't look like the photos,	5		the second or third time you saw him as he did the first?
6		correct?	6	A.	Yes.
7	A.	I didn't say that.	7	Q.	Thank you.
8	Q.	Well, the jury knows what you said. He's not he wasn't	8		So, you park in front of, is it the Seventh Reform
9		carrying a Ralph's bag, correct?	9		Church?
0	A.	He was not.	10	A.	I'm not sure of the name of the establishment we parked
1	Q.	He was not leaving a Raiph's store, correct?	11		front of,
2	A.	He was not.	12	Q.	It was it was a church?
3	Q.	You didn't see him come out of a Shell, did you?	13	A.	I could not verify that for you.
4	A.	He was not.	14	Q.	Now, after you stop and made contact with Mr. King, the
5	Q.	You just saw a white male with glasses walking down the	15		first thing he wanted to know was, who you were, correct?
6		street, between the heights of 5'10 and 6'3?	16	A.	Yes.
7	A.	That matched other physical descriptions, and he was	17	Q.	And your first question to him was, Do you have a weapon,
8		walking towards the area of those businesses that you had	18		isn't that correct?
9		just mentioned, of which both of those businesses said that	19	A.	That is not correct.
0		the subject we were looking for	20	Q.	Well, did you not ask him if he had a weapon?
	Q.	Listen to my question. I'm saying	21	A.	At a point in time, I did.
	300	- had just frequented the area within the last few days.	22	Q.	And, so you are interacting with a person you've stopped on
-	2	Detective	23	٠.	
3			1000		the street, and your first concern is not, Do they have a
		MR. GREENE: I'm going phiert pop-responsive your	24		weapon?
3 4 5		MR. GREENE: I'm going object non-responsive, your Honor, and ask the Detective to answer my questions.	24	A.	weapon? My first concern was identifying myself to him, attempting

1		to	1	0	Now at the time that you appeal of the second
2	Q.	Listen to my question, Detective. Your first concern is not	2	Q.	Now, at the time that you approached Mr. King, you had no arrest warrant for Mr. King, did you?
3	1.52	whether or not they have a weapon?	3	A.	
4		MR. KUIPER: Objection. It's been asked and	4	Q.	He wasn't wanted for anything?
5		answered, and it's argumentative.	5		
6		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, it's not been answered,	6	A.	and specific knowledge, and
7		and that's the reason I asked the second question.	7	•	I had never seen or met Mr. King before in my life.
8			1000	Q.	Okay. You did not have any knowledge that he was wanted for
9		THE COURT: Go ahead, go ahead. MR. GREENE: Okay.	8		anything, did you?
10	RY	MR. GREENE:	10	Α.	I did not know that he was Mr. King.
11	Q.			Q.	
12	u.		11	A.	We were acting under the presumption that he was a subje
13		whether or not they have a weapon?	12		that we were looking for who had a felony warrant, named
	Α.	Officer safety is always a primary concern.	13		Mr. Davidson.
14	Q.	Primary, number one concern, isn't it?	14	Q.	But he told you his name was James, correct?
15	A.	Of course.	15	A.	At one point in time he said, My name is James.
16	Q.	Okay. So, that would have been the order that you would	16	Q.	And that's not Aaron, is it?
17		have proceeded in, wouldn't it, to ask him if he had a	17	A.	No. James is James and Aaron is a separate name.
18		weapon?	18	Q.	Thank you.
19	A.	I don't immediately on a regular basis jump out of a car	19		Now, when you approached Mr. King, how do you
20		and ask people if they have a weapon, no. That is not how	20		describe that contact? What type of police contact is that?
21		I conduct normal daily business.	21	A.	I guess I'm misunderstanding or —
22	Q.	Okay. But at some point you asked him if he had a weapon?	22	Q.	Well, is it a is it a voluntary citizen's contact?
23	A.	Yes. I already answered that.	23	A.	That would be I think know where you're going now -
24	Q.	Okay. And he told you that he had a knife, correct?	24		that would be what we would define as a subject stop.
25	A.	He didn't say that. He said, Yes.	25	Q.	
		89			91
1	Q.	Okay. Did he not tell you he had a utility knife?	1	A.	Correct. We stopped him, and he was detained.
2	A.	He did not.	2	Q.	He was not free to leave?
3	Q.	And he pretty much showed you what a knife was, didn't he	3	A.	At that point in time, no.
4		not?	4	Q.	Okay. And, as part of your stop, you can do a a Terry
5	A.	He did not.	5		pat-down, is that correct?
6	Q.	Okay. Now, if Mr. King was interested in doing you some	6	A.	Correct.
7		harm, he could have very well have said, No, I don't have a	7	Q.	What is a Terry pat-down?
8		weapon, could he not?	8	A.	A Terry pat-down would be for us checking for or attemptin
9	A.	Could have said	9		to locate or ascertain if the person that we have contact
0		MR. KUIPER: I'm going to object, because it calls	10		with has a weapon or a dangerous instrument on them.
1		for speculation, your Honor.	11	Q.	Okay. And, so during your Terry pat-down, you found the
2		MR. GREENE: I don't think so. This is an officer	12		knife that Mr. King had indicated he had on his person?
3		who does	13	A.	Correct.
4		THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead and answer it.	14	Q.	Okay. You didn't find any other weapon?
5	BY I	MR. GREENE:	15	Α.	Did not.
6	Q.	If he was interested in doing you some harm, he could have	16	Q.	You went down his left leg, correct?
7	-	very easily have not told you that he had a knife, correct?	17	A.	I did not.
8	A.	[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[18		
9	~	I — I can't get in the mind of Mr. King and say what he would have said or would not have said.	19	Q.	Did you complete the pat-down?
0	0		1000	Α.	I did not.
	Q.	You can tell me whether or not a person with a weapon can do	20	Q.	So, you didn't find any weapon whatsoever?
1	٨	harm to you.	21	Α.	I found a knife.
	Α.	They have the ability to do harm, yes.	22	Q.	And he had told you about that?
3	Q.	Okay. But he told you that he had a knife?	23	Α.	He said he had a weapon. He answered, Yes.
4	A.	He did not. He said; Yes, when I asked him if he had a	24	Q.	And you removed the knife?
5		weapon.	25	A.	Yes.
	_	90 eets Page 89 t		252	92

_				iou	
1	Q.	Okay. Was there any other weapon on Mr. King?	1		wallet. I specifically remember patting the wallet or
2	A.	At that point I did not locate another weapon on him. But	2		tapping it.
3		at that point is when he started to struggle.	3	Q.	So, Detective, you want the jury here to believe that you
4	Q.	Just so we're clear on how you were dressed here, you were	4		have specific details about your badge, correct?
5		not in a uniform, correct?	5	A.	Yes.
6	A.	Correct.	6	Q.	You have specific details about whether or not you have a
7	Q.	And neither was Special Agent Brownback in uniform?	7		gun on, correct?
8	A.	Correct.	8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	The car you were driving was totally nondescript?	9	Q.	You have specific details about what clothing you're
0	A.	Correct.	10		wearing, correct?
1	Q.	Did not in any way reveal itself to be a police car?	111	A.	Yes.
2	A.	That's correct.	12	Q.	You have specific details about removing a knife from his
3	Q.	Okay. This has already been admitted as Exhibit 6. Is this	13		front pocket, correct?
4		a fair and accurate depiction of you that day?		. A.	Yes.
5	A.	That is of me, yes. Of my clothing? No. Because as you	15	Q.	But you don't remember but you don't remember whether or
6		can see, on my right arm, the long sleeves.	16		not you removed his wallet?
7	Q.	Sir, I'm asking you if this is you. That was the question.	17	•	
8	A.	No. You asked if it was an accurate description. You	10.5	A.	I don't, because at that point in time, when I, ágain,
9	۸.		18		described it as when I tapped his wallet and commented t
2		didn't ask if it was me.	19		him that, You're telling me you don't have any I.D. when
0	Q.	Was this photo taken of you of the 18th?	20		you have this wallet in your back pocket, is when he bega
1	Α.	Yes.	21		to fight.
2	Q.	That was you wearing the hat, sunglasses?	22	Q.	Detective, you are not required to show I.D. in the State of
3	A.	Yes, yes. That's what I was wearing that day.	23		Michigan, are you?
4	Q.	Okay. You had a beard?	24	A.	I'm sorry, what?
5	A.	Well, it's not a beard, it's a goatee. But some facial	25	Q.	You are not required, when you are walking
		93			95
1		hair, yes.	1		MR. KUIPER: Objection, your Honor. Any legal
2	Q.	Facial hair.	2		question can be dealt with by the attorneys, who are trained
3	A.	I would describe your facial hair as a beard.	3		in law, and the Judge who rules on law.
4	Q.	All right. You had on a long-sleeved shirt?	4		MR. GREENE: May I
5	A.	Yes. Black,	5		MR. KUIPER: Detective Allen is not a
6	Q.	Over this shirt (indicating)?	6		THE COURT: I'll I'll allow him to answer from
7	A.	Correct.	7		his knowledge and experience.
8	Q.	And then you said you had a vest over that?	8		MR. GREENE: Thank you.
9	A.	A a black fabric vest, yes.	9	BY	MR. GREENE:
0	Q.	Okay. And, just so we're clear, this is the injury you	10		You're not required to carry I.D. on you in the State of
1		received to your bicep (indicating)?	11	-	Michigan when you're walking, isn't that correct?
2	A.	Yes. And I also had my department-issued bulletproof vest	12	A.	
3		on, as well. But that's not in the image either.	13	Q.	No, you are not. So, that is correct?
4	Q.	Okay.	14		Correct
5	A.		1	Α.	
		That was removed, again, to treat the injuries.	15	Q.	You're not required to carry I.D.?
6	Q.	This is, though, a fair depiction with of those changes	16	Α.	Correct.
7		of when you approached Mr. King?	17	Q.	Thank you. You're not required to produce I.D., isn't that
В		Yes.	18		correct, when you're walking down a public highway in the
9	Q.	Okay. And detective Special Agent Brownback was	19		State of Michigan?
100		similarly casually dressed?	20	A.	I guess that would be dependent upon the circumstances,
	A.	Yes.	21		you are contacted by the police or not.
1			22	Q.	Well, a person who is contacted by you is not require to
1 2		Okay. Now I want to go to this pat-down that you're doing.	22		게임되었다는 사람들은 10 1kg 가장 가장 가장 있다면 보고 있다면 10 1kg 가장 하는 10 1kg 가장 가장 가장 가장 가장 하는 10 1kg 가장
1 2 3		Okay. Now I want to go to this pat-down that you're doing. At some point did you not remove Mr. King's wallet from his	23		produce I.D., are they?
0 1 2 3 4 5		[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]		A.	

Case 1:16-cv-00343-JTN-ESC ECF No. 73 filed 01/17/17 PageID.441 Page 21 of 62

1	-	mean, this is calling for legal conclusions and prain	1	_	
2		mean, this is calling for legal conclusions and, again, speculation. It is based on the circumstances. All of us	1 2	A.	Under this circumstance, because he is under a subject
3		trained in the law know that.	1 3		stop, which is based on the totality of the circumstances,
4			3		being that he physically appears to be our suspect, he's
5		MR. GREENE: Your Honor	4		verbally non-compliant, he's verbally combative, he's
6		THE COURT: Again, I'll allow him to answer from	5		becoming physically combative, we have other information
7		his knowledge and experience.	6		that he matches the physical description of our subject in
8		THE WITNESS: From a basic contact with a citizen,	7		the area and we believed that he was the subject who had
9		circumstances completely different from our situation that	8		felony warrant for us for a felony warrant for his arrest,
0		we're here for today, if I randomly walked up to a citizen,	9		then we do have the authority. Yes.
1		or yourself, or any of the jurors, and asked them for I.D.,	10	Q.	At that point. But, at the point where you tapped his
	D14	they're not required to give an I.D.	11		wallet, okay, you had no authority to remove it, did you,
2	-	MR. GREENE:	12		Detective?
3	Q.	Thank you. So, the answer is, No, you are not required to	13	A.	We did. We are in a position — again, this is now a
4		produce I.D., isn't that correct?	14		subject stop, this isn't a citizen contact, so it's
5	A.	However, under this circumstance	15		completely different ~
5	Q.	I'm not asking you about this circumstance, I'm asking you	16	Q.	So you believe
7		what a citizen is required to produce.	17	A.	circumstances.
В		Did you understand my question? And I believe you	18	Q.	So you believe your Terry stop
9		answered.	19	A.	It was a subject stop. He is completely detained and -
0	A.	Well, it's an open-ended question.	20	Q.	Listen listen to my question. You believe your Terry
1		THE COURT: Well, I believe he answered it, so	21		stop at this point allowed you to go into his pockets and
2		let's move on.	22		remove his property?
3		MR. GREENE: Thank you.	23	A.	I think you're confusing the term Terry stop with what
4	BY	MR. GREENE:	24		we're actually conducting here. This is beyond a Terry
5	Q.	Now, Detective, you do not want to remember whether or not	25		stop. He is detained. He is not allowed to leave at this
		97			99
1		you removed the wallet, because you didn't have the	1		point. We believe that he is our suspect. He has not
2		authority to remove the wallet, did you?	2		produced any I.D., he's become verbally combative,
3		MR. KUIPER: I'm going to object. That's, again,	3		physically combative. He's now stopped. He is not
4		a question for the jury to decide, and it's a legal	4		leaving. He's furthermore, he's going to be arrested.
5		question.	5	Q.	Okay. So, you believe, then I just want a straight
5		MR. GREENE: It is not.	6		answer that you have the authority, when you tapped his
7		MR. KUIPER: It's an improper question.	7		wallet, to take it out of his pocket?
3		MR. GREENE: It is not, your Honor.	8	A.	In this circumstance, yes.
9		THE COURT: Well, I'll allow it, because he	9	Q.	Okay. That's thank you for finally getting there.
)		doesn't remember removing the wallet, and you want to go	10		So, while you are patting him down and you're
1		into whatever reason he may or may not have had for not	11		holding his hands, and you tap the wallet, you believe you
2		doing it or not remembering.	12		had the authority at that point to remove it from his
3		Go ahead.	13		pocket?
1	BY	MR. GREENE:	14		MR. KUIPER: I'm going to object because it's been
5	Q.	You had no authority to remove his wallet at this point, did	15		asked and answered, your Honor.
5		you?	16		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I'm trying to you
,	A.	Under these circumstances, at the point in time where he	17		know he keeps going through a long
3		becomes non-compliant and Agent Brownback reached for his	18		THE COURT: Sustained. I think he's answered
1		left hand and told him that he was going to be put under	19		that.
		arrest, at that point in time, when he's being taken into	20		MR. GREENE: All right.
		custody, which that's what was we were attempting to do	21	BY	MR. GREENE:
			22	Q.	And you're telling this jury you don't remember whether or
1		when he was righting, at that point in time, once he is	1		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O
1		when he was fighting, at that point in time, once he is arrested — or in handcuffs, is when I would attempt to.	23		not you removed it?
1 2 3	Q	arrested — or in handcuffs, is when I would attempt to.	23	A	not you removed it? At that point in time I began fighting for my life to
1	Q.		23 24 25	A.	not you removed it? At that point in time I began fighting for my life to defend —

Case 1:16-cv-00343-JTN-ESC ECF No. 73 filed 01/17/17 PageID.442 Page 22 of 62

1	Q.	My question my question is: Do you remember removing it?	1	-	MR. GREENE:
2	-	MR. KUIPER: I'm going to object, because that has	2		
3		been asked and answered.	3	w.	He did not bite you until you put a tight grip around his
4		MR. GREENE: It has not.	4	A.	neck, isn't that correct?
5		THE COURT: I would agree. Sustained. He stated	5	۸.	, -p - mouth, spelled in a mouth, langed at my
6		he doesn't remember.	6	0	bicep, bit my bicep.
7	BY	MR. GREENE:	7	Q. A.	
8	Q.	Okay. Now, you talked about having Mr. King in a headlock,	8	۸.	The ground when I was
9		correct, with your arm?	9	Q.	attempting to control his head.
10	A.	I never referred to it as a headlock.	10	u.	and and and and an and an
11	Q.	Well, is it a headlock? When you had your arm around his	11	A.	around his neck?
12		neck, was it a headlock?	12	Q.	
13	A.	I guess I'm not I'm not specifically trained in defining	13	u.	your bicep, sii,
14		what a headlock is or isn't. I had my arm and his neck.	14		would be in a position?
15	Q.		15	Α.	If you're willing to lay on the ground, I'm willing to get
16	A.	Correct.	16	•	on top of you and show you exactly the position I was in.
17	Q.	And you had his neck here in your arm?	17	Q.	and a start of the start of the will not.
18	A.	Correct.	18		Don't be tempted.
19	Q.	And you were choking him?	100		(Laughter)
20	A.	I was not choking him.	19		THE COURT: I'm not going to order that.
21	Q.		20		THE WITNESS: I can try and describe it to you
22	A.	Well, you had it tightly around his neck?	21		better.
23		I guess I don't understand where he's going with this.	22	BY	MR. GREENE:
24	Q.	I'm asking you if you had your arm tightly around his neck.	23	Q.	Sir, we've established that you had a tight grip around his
		That's a very easy question, Detective.	24		neck, and that's when he bit you, isn't that correct?
25	A.	I had I had a grip. It's the only grip that I could	25	A.	That is not correct.
-		101		_	103
1	_	attempt to gain control of him because of his	1	Q.	He did not bite you before you put your arm around his neck?
2	Q.	It's a yes or no question, Detective. Did you have a tight	2	A.	He did.
3	25	grip around his neck?	3	Q.	So, while your arms were hanging down at your side, he bit
4		I would say, Yes.	4		you?
5	Q.	Thank you. And a tight grip around somebody's neck can	5	A.	That's not correct.
6		interfere with their airflow, can it not?	6	Q.	Okay. Now, all the while this is taking place, Mr. King is
7	A.	I guess if it was tight enough, sure.	7		crying out, isn't he not?
8	Q.	Okay. You've already acknowledged that it was a tight grip	8	A.	Actually, Mr. King said very specifically, You guys
9		around his neck?	9		shouldn't be doing this. I have three buddies down the
10	A.	Yes.	10		street that can help me. And then he threatened us again
11	Q.	Thank you. And that's when Mr. King begins to bite you,	11		saying, You guys shouldn't be doing this. I got three
2		isn't that correct?	12		buddles that are coming down here and helpin' me.
3	A.	No. I explained very specifically before, I attempted to	13	Q.	Wasn't Mr. King crying out, Call the police?
4			14	A.	That was me that was yelling to call the police.
5	Q.	It's	15	Q.	I'm asking you if Mr. King was crying out to call the
6	A.	Are you going to let me answer the question or ask another	16		police.
7		one?	17		MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, I'll object again.
8	Q.	It's a yes or no question. Did he bite you when you had	18		That's been answered. Detective Allen said
9		your tight grip around his neck?	19		THE COURT: Let's go ahead. Was Mr. King calling
0	A.	He was biting me while I had a grip around his neck. Yes.	20		out
1	Q.	He did not bite you on your bicep until you put a	21	BY M	IR. GREENE:
2		MR. KUIPER: I'm going to object. It's been asked	22	Q.	Call the police?
3		and answered. Mr. Greene is	23	A.	I don't know specifically that he was yelling, Call the
4		MR. GREENE: It's a different question.	24		police. I specifically remember that I was telling him
5		THE COURT: Go ahead and complete the question.	25		multiple times that we are the police.

1	_	Vou don't arresent a 15 to arrest a	1		
2	Q.	You don't remember if he was calling crying out, Call the police?	1 2	A.	I don't know if I specifically told them. I did tell the
3	A.	I told you before.	3	Q.	I'm asking you specifically about Officer Boone and
4	Q.	Is that your testimony? It's a yes or no question. Was	4	۷.	
5		Mr. King calling out, Call the police?	5		Officer Brower. Did you tell them that you had been hit with handcuffs?
6	A.	I don't know that specifically.	6	^	
7	Q.	You don't remember that either?	7	Α.	I don't know if I specifically told them. I don't remember.
8	A.		8	0	So, you may not have?
9		completely biting around my bicep and jumping around.	9		I know I told him some
10	Q.	Okay. So that's another area where your memory is not	10	۸.	
11		clear?	11		MR. KUIPER: I'm going to object, your Honor.
12	A.	I was fighting for my life.	12		This this has been asked and answered on
13	Q.	My question is about your memory. Is that another area that	13		THE COURT: Hold on, hold on.
14	-	your memory is not clear?			MR. KUIPER: on a number of occasions. He
15	^		14		doesn't recollect.
16	۸.	I would say that is something that I don't recall him	15		MR. GREENE: Yes. This is I'll move on, sir.
17	_	specifically saying.	16	2.5	THE COURT: Move on.
	u.	Okay. Now you're also claiming that, while you have your	17		AR. GREENE:
8		arm around Mr. King's neck (indicating), correct, and	18	Q,	Yeah. So this is another area that your memory is failing
9		while	19		and you don't remember?
20	A.	It's not the way that you are holding it.	20		MR. KUIPER: I'm going to object, your Honor.
21	Q.	Let me finish the question. And while	21		That's argumentative. That's not correct.
22		Special Agent Brownback has other parts of Mr. King, that	22		THE COURT: I I agree. That's argumentative.
23		he's able to somehow take handcuffs from one of you?	23		MR. GREENE: Let me rephrase the question.
4	A.	I'm not understanding if that's a question. It sounded	24		THE COURT: Rephrase.
25		like a statement.	25		
_		105			107
1	Q.	Well, let's try it this way. You you were physically	1	BY N	MR. GREENE:
2		engaged with Mr. King in restraining him, correct?	2	Q.	You don't do you remember telling Officer Boone or
3	A.		3		Officer Brower?
4	Q.	And Special Agent Brownback was physically engaged with	4	A.	I do not.
5		Mr. King restraining him, correct?	5	Q.	Okay. After Mr. King is handcuffed, were you still standing
6	A.	Correct. Attempting to handcuff him. Correct.	6		there when he was asked by Officer Brower who he was?
7	Q.	And you are claim that, while you two are physically	7	A.	I was I don't believe I was standing next to him, no
8		engaged, attempting to handcuff him, he's able to take	8	Q.	Do you remember Mr. King telling Officer Brower that you a
9		handcuffs and start hitting you with them?	9		were attempting to mug him?
0	A.	Yes, he was.	10	A.	I was not standing or recall standing next to him, and
1	Q.	That's that's your claim?	11		did not speak to him directly after.
2	A.	Yes.	12		MR. KUIPER: And I would object anyway, because
3	Q.	Okay. Officers responded to the scene, did they not?	13		it's hearsay.
4	A.	Yes.	14		MR. GREENE: Not by Mr. King.
5	Q.	Officer Boone, correct?	15		MR. KUIPER: It's by a party-opponent to offer the
6	A.	Yes.	16		truth of the matter.
7	Q.	Office Bower [sic]?	17		Yes, it is.
8	A.	Officer Brower.	18		THE COURT: I'll allow the answer. Let's move on
9	Q.	Officer Brower. They were the first two on the scene?	19		MR. GREENE: Thank you.
0	A.	Yes.	20	BY N	IR. GREENE:
1	Q.	And you told Officer Boone and Officer Brower about being	21	Q.	Detective, while you are I'm going back to now while you
2		bit, correct?	22		have Mr. King, and you've acknowledge he was placed up
3	A.	Yes.	23		against your SUV
4.	Q.	You never said anything to Officer Boone or Officer Brower	24		MR. GREENE: When I hit the microphone, he's
		B	100		
5		about being hit with handcuffs, did you?	25		wearing headphones, so I can imagine.

-					
1	BY	MR. GREENE:	1		of minutia from that day?
2	Q.	7-2-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7-7	2	A.	I cannot.
3		Mr. King saying to you, You're mugging me. Why are you	3	Q.	Do you remember what you had for dinner on July 18, 2014?
4		mugging me?	4	A.	I cannot remember that.
5	A.	He never said that.	5	Q.	Okay. Is it fair to say that this was or, well, when you
6	Q.	You don't recall that either?	6		get in a fight of that nature where you're struggling, as
7	A.	I specifically know he never said that.	7		you described it, for your life, what's your primary
8	Q.	Okay. Do you recall him telling the bystanders that he was	8		concern?
9		getting mugged and to call the police?	9	A.	My life and my partner's life.
0		MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, I'm going to object	10	Q.	Okay. And, under those circumstances, you're making an
1		because, again, it's been asked and answered.	11		extreme effort to get the defendant under control?
2		MR. GREENE: It's a different question, your	12	A.	Yes. I'm not trying to remember exactly what he's saying
3		Honor.	13		at that point. We're trying to take him into custody, put
4		MR. KUIPER: Not telling him that he said he never	14		handcuffs on him.
5		heard him say, and that is an answer to we can pose it a	15	Q.	You're not able to observe people around you, what they're
6		zillion different time periods. You know, At 2:38 did he	16		seeing or what they're doing, because you're engaged in the
7		say, Are they mugging me? At 2:39 He testified, I did	17		conflict at hand?
8		not hear the defendant say, Are they mugging me. It's been	18	A.	Other than, at one point when I felt like we weren't
9		asked and answered.	19		winning the fight and we weren't gaining control of him, a
0		THE COURT: I'll allow the question. Go ahead.	20		that point in time, as I described earlier, I was
1		MR. GREENE: Thank you.	21		specifically looking for help because we did not have a
2	BY	MR. GREENE:	22		radio.
3	Q.	Do you recall Mr. King telling the bystanders that he was	23		We couldn't call for more help, so I was
4		getting mugged and to call the police?	24		specifically looking for people then. Other than that, no,
5	A.	I do not recall Mr. King ever saying that.	25		I'm primarily focused on watching him.
		109	120		111
1	Q.	You don't recall that either. Okay.	1	Q.	All right. If he had given you his I.D. or said, My name is
2		Is that your injury there that day (indicating)?	2		James King, and this is my date of birth, what would you
3	A.	Yes.	3		have done with that information?
4	Q.	It is the injury that you received that day?	4		MR. GREENE: Objection. Calls for speculation.
5	A.	Yes.	5		MR. KUIPER: No. We're going into the legality or
6	Q.	Okay.	6		illegality of the stop and what happened.
7		MR. GREENE: Thank you.	7		THE COURT: I'll allow it.
8		THE WITNESS: One of several, but that's the	8	BY N	AR. KUIPER:
9		specific bite mark. Yes.	9		Okay. If he had given you I.D., indicated that, I'm James
0		MR. GREENE: Thank you.	10	u.	King, this is my date of birth, this is my photograph, what
1		THE COURT: Mr. Kulper, redirect.	11		would you have done?
2		REDIRECT EXAMINATION	12	A.	Well, I addressed that earlier. I specifically asked him
3	DV I	MR. KUIPER:	13	۸.	for it. Had he provided it, I would have looked at it to
4	30		14		
5	Q. A.	You are not a video- or audio-recording device, are you?	15		see if it appeared to be a valid Michigan I.D. or any other
6	Q.	Sorry, what? You're not a video or audio-recording device, are you?	16		sort of valid, respectable identification, and compared the
7	A.	You're not a video or audio-recording device, are you?	17		photo to his name. And, if it wasn't the name of the
		I am not.	100		person we were looking for, I would have handed it back t
9	Q.	Is it fair to say that at this juncture you can't tell the	18		him, thanked him for his time.
		jurors every bit of minutia that happened on July 18, 2014?	19		As I explained before, I would have shown him to
		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, it's leading question,	20		photo of the person we were looking for, told him the nam
1		Judge.	21		of the person we were looking for, hoping that he could
2		THE COURT: I think it's proper redirect.	22		provide some understanding and maybe provide some
		Go ahead.	23		additional information. Our goal as the task force is to
3			1 '3 A		arrest very specific violent fugitives.
3 4 5	210	R. KUIPER: Are you telling the jurors that you can't remember every bit	24		arrest very specific violent rugitives.

-					
1		was not Aaron Davidson, you would have allowed him to go?	1		could not have given you his I.D., could he?
2	A.	It would have been less than a one-minute contact.	2	A.	He could have given it to us when I asked him.
3	Q.	He would have never had handcuffs on?	3	Q.	Okay. But, if you had have removed it, he couldn't have
4	A.	He would never have been searched, he would never have been	4		given it to you, correct?
5		the fight never would have happened. He never would	5	A.	Had he not attempted to punch my partner and myself -
6		have had handcuffs on him. That would not have happened.	6	Q.	My question listen to my question, Detective. I'm using
7		Correct.	7		English. If you had have removed the wallet from his back
8	Q.	Now, if you had the ability to obtain a photograph of what	8		pocket, he could not have given it to you.
9		Aaron Davidson looked like on July 14th or July 18, 2014,	9		Now, do you understand that question?
10		would you have obtained that information as part of your	10	A.	Well, you're asking me a leading question that doesn't -
11		investigation to determine his whereabouts?	11	Q.	I can ask leading questions. This is cross-examination.
12	A.	Absolutely.	12		The Judge would object if I couldn't.
13	Q.	But you were working with the best photographs. You had	13	A.	Can you repeat or rephrase the question?
14		this 2007 photograph and the one from Facebook, not showing	14		THE COURT: Excuse me. Are you saying, Had he
15		his face?	15		removed it?
16	A.	Correct.	16		MR. GREENE: Yes.
17	Q.	You weren't purposely trying to find an old photograph and a	17	BY N	IR. GREENE:
18		photograph where he didn't show his frontal view of his	18	Q.	Had the wallet been removed from his back pocket by you,
19		face?	19		Mr. King could not have given it to you, could he?
20	A.	No.	20		THE COURT: I suppose, Mr. Greene, that's assumin
21	Q.	Okay. In fact, you don't even know if Aaron Davidson would	21		I.D. was in the wallet.
22		look anything similar, hairdo-wise, or anything like that,	22		MR. GREENE: Well, we'll find that out from other
23		from 2007 to 2014?	23		witnesses.
24		MR. GREENE: It's a leading question, It's	24		THE WITNESS: So, the question - repeat it again,
25		completely inappropriate.	25		please.
		113			115
1		MR. KUIPER: It's not. It's, Do you know? He has	1	BY	IR. GREENE:
2		the option to answer yes or no. It's not a leading	2	Q.	Had you, if you had have removed the wallet from his back
3		question.	3		pocket, then Mr. King would not be able to give you that
4		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, it suggests the answer,	4		wallet, isn't that correct?
5		totally, in the question. If he can answer it yes or no,	5	A.	If he physically was in possession of his wallet with I.D.
6		then the answers just apply to the question and he can just	6		in it, then the answer would be, No.
7		assent to it or not.	7	Q.	So, if it was in your possession, he couldn't have given it
8		THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. Let's	8		to you, because it wouldn't have been in his possession.
9		move on.	9		MR, KUIPER: It's been asked and answered.
10	BY	AR, KUIPER:	10		THE WITNESS: It's a confusing question. I don't
11	Q.	In fact, do you know if the defendant ever said to anyone,	11		know what he's
12		I'm getting mugged?	12		THE COURT: I'd
13	A.	I do not know that.	13		MR. GREENE: I'll move on.
14	Q.	Okay. And you've testified to what you remember?	14	BY M	IR. GREENE:
15	A.	Correct.	15	Q.	And you believe that a person, Mr. King, yelling to
16		MR. KUIPER: I don't have anymore questions.	16		bystanders that he's getting mugged and to call police, you
17		THE COURT: Mr. Greene.	17		think that's minutia? You call that minutia?
18		RECROSS-EXAMINATION	18		MR. KUIPER: I'm going to I'm going to object.
19	BY	MR. GREENE:	19		There's been no testimony that that actually happened at
20	Q.	Real quickly. Basically, very quickly we're going to get	20		this particular point.
21		out of here by noon and not have everyone upset at me if	21	3	MR. GREENE: Well, your Honor
		you removed his wallet from his back pocket, he could not	22		THE COURT: Sustained, Sustained, The officer
22		give you his I.D., could he?	23		never used the word minutia.
22		give you indirect outside ite.			
	A.	If I what?	24		MR. GREENE: No. He did on his questioning. He

-	_		-	
1 2		MR. KUIPER: I asked him whether or not	1	The state of the s
3		THE COURT: The objection is sustained.	2	
4	nv.	MR. GREENE: Okay.	3	
5	Q.	MR. KUIPER:	4	and trees country to manufact recrimings that we
6	u.	Would that be an important fact?	5	
7		MR. KUIPER: I'm going to object, your Honor.	6	- The state of the
		Again, we're going into the memory of someone that was in a	7	The state of the s
8		fight, as he described it, for life and death. You just	8	See and permanent and the mass
9		don't remember that type of stuff when you're getting hit in	9	, and the state of
0		the head with a set of handcuffs and	10	over on your back. At that point in time I had for the
1		THE COURT: What is the question again?	11	sake of his suit, but — I had taken his head all the way to
2		MR. GREENE:	12	and the same of th
3	Q.	My question is, Would it have been important if Mr. King was	13	3 head,
4		yelling to bystanders, Call the police, I'm getting mugged?	14	He rolls him over, and at this point I'm now
5		THE COURT: Well, you can answer that yes or no.	15	I'm like this, because my hands were previously here
6		THE WITNESS: If I'm fighting for my life.	16	(indicating), but he had just spun on me, so now he's like
7	BY	MR. GREENE:	17	this. I had come down here, and he's still punching here,
8	Q.	It's a yes or no, like the Judge said.	18	he's still punching here, and I'm trying to keep this hand
9	A.	The answer is, No.	19	away from punching me further, and I'm trying to gain
0	Q.	It would not have been important to you?	20	0 control of it.
1	A.	When I'm fighting for my life? No.	21	And I'm braced on the ground like this, and right
2		MR. GREENE: Thank you.	22	2 at this point he lunges forward — and I very distinctly saw
3		THE COURT: Mr. Kuiper.	23	3 him do it, because he's facing me he bites my arm and he
4			24	has a bite hold on my arm. And at this point, whether it's
5			25	
		117		119
1		REDIRECT EXAMINATION	1	still trying to prevent him from punching me, and at that
2	BY	MR. KUIPER:	2	2 point I described to you that I have never been bitten or
3	Q.	Were you mugging the defendant?	3	3 attempted to be eaten before, and I was stunned and shock
4	A.	I was not	4	and started yelling at him to stop biting me.
5	Q.	Have you told did you give the defendant an opportunity	5	So, at that point, yes, my arm is wrapped around
6		to produce his I.D. before actually searching him and	6	him. Yes, he's biting me. I'm flexing or clenching my
7		detaining him to ascertain his I.D.?	7	bicep, trying to prevent him from punching me, and at that
В	A.	Multiple times.	8	point he continued to bite me. And at that point, if you
3	Q.	Multiple times?	9	
0	A.	Yes.	10	그리고 있는 그리고 있는 것이 없는 사람들이 얼마나 있다. 그리고 있는 것이 없는 것이 없다.
1	Q.	So, if he had his I.D. in his wallet, you weren't	11	1 Q. So that's describing the what you're describing as a
2		prohibiting him from producing that?	12	있는 보이지는 가게 가지하는 보이기 위해야 하는 것이다. 아이지와 보다가 이 경에 가게 되었다면 하루 프로젝터를 받는다.
3	A.	That's correct. We were asking him to produce it.	13	
1	Q.	Did you or Agent Brownback punch, hit or abuse the defendant	14	
5	350	at all before he struck Agent Brownback?	15	
6	A.	Did not.	16	
		A little bit more specific about what headlock meant. You	17	
7	Q.	The state of the s	123	
	Q.	show me. So there is no confusion for the jurnes. I'll he	118	
3	Q.	show me. So there is no confusion for the jurors, I'll be Mister the defendant. You show the jurors what happened.	18	
3		Mister the defendant. You show the jurors what happened.	19	as you can see in the photos, there were items that were
3	Q.	Mister the defendant. You show the jurors what happened. Sure.	19 20	as you can see in the photos, there were items that were strewn all over the ground I don't know if he had
3		Mister the defendant. You show the jurors what happened. Sure. MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I'm going to object,	19 20 21	as you can see in the photos, there were items that were strewn all over the ground I don't know if he had grabbed it from my belt, I don't know if he had taken it
3 9 1		Mister the defendant. You show the jurors what happened. Sure. MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I'm going to object, because it's clearly beyond the scope of my recross.	19 20 21 22	as you can see in the photos, there were items that were strewn all over the ground — I don't know if he had grabbed it from my belt, I don't know if he had taken it out of Agent Brownback's. I don't know where they came
8 9 1 1 2 3		Mister the defendant. You show the jurors what happened. Sure. MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I'm going to object, because it's clearly beyond the scope of my recross. THE COURT: I'll I'll allow it.	19 20 21 22 23	as you can see in the photos, there were items that were strewn all over the ground — I don't know if he had grabbed it from my belt, I don't know if he had taken it out of Agent Brownback's. I don't know where they came from, I just know they were there, and I saw them and told
7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5		Mister the defendant. You show the jurors what happened. Sure. MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I'm going to object, because it's clearly beyond the scope of my recross.	19 20 21 22	as you can see in the photos, there were items that were strewn all over the ground — I don't know if he had grabbed it from my belt, I don't know if he had taken it out of Agent Brownback's. I don't know where they came from, I just know they were there, and I saw them and told him to stop hitting me with them.

1	_	questions.	T.	_	
2		THE COURT: Mr. Greene.	1		they were yelling, Please help, please help. Somebody hol
3			2	_	him down. So, I just ran over there and held him down.
4		MR. GREENE: It's 12:05. Thank you.	3	Q.	7 William of the global title people were in law
5		THE COURT: Well, we don't necessarily eat lunch	4		enforcement at all?
6		at noon. So, certainly, if you have more questions, now is	5	Α.	Yes. You could see their badge.
7		your chance.	6	Q.	Okay. And, what did you do? Did you assist them?
8		MR. GREENE: No.	7	A.	I did,
9		THE COURT: All right. You may step down.	8	Q.	What did you do to assist help?
10		THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you.	9	A.	I just pretty much grabbed his legs and held him there so
11		THE COURT: Any reason not to excuse?	10		they could control him a little better.
12		MR. KUIPER: Yes. I have a feeling that maybe he	11	Q.	Okay.
3		may be needed in rebuttal.	12		THE COURT: Excuse me before I forget here. You
		THE COURT: All right. Well, Officer, then you	13		said, They were yelling. Who is they?
4		are still under oath. Stay in touch with the attorneys.	14		THE WITNESS: The two cops were. They were
15		Thank you.	15		yelling to help them because they needed help.
6		MR. GREENE: I would also move that he still be	16		THE COURT: Go ahead.
7		sequestered if he's going to be called as a rebuttal.	17	BY	MR. KUIPER:
8		THE COURT: Certainly. If he's possibly recalled,	18	Q.	Would you be able to recognize the person that they were
9		he'll be sequestered.	19		having this tussle with if you saw that person?
20		MR. KUIPER: Rebuttal would be designed to rebut	20	A.	Of course.
1		testimony that came in as opposed to repeating the	21	Q.	Do you see that person in the courtroom?
2		testimony. It's appropriate he stay in the courtroom.	22	A.	Yeah. He's the second person over there (indicating), or
3		MR. GREENE: It is not, your Honor. It is not	23		the third, all the way at the end.
4		appropriate for him to hear the	24		MR. KUIPER: Can the record reflect the
25		THE COURT: We'll continue with sequestration.	25		identification of the defendant?
_	_	121			123
1		State your full name, please.	1		THE COURT: Yes.
2		MR. PARDEE: Johnny Pardee.	2	BY	MR. KUIPER:
3		THE COURT: Do you solemnly swear or affirm that	3	Q.	Did you see what led up to that the scuffle?
4		the testimony you're about to give in this matter will be	4	A.	I did not. I just pretty much heard the heard
5		the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so	5		everything and went over there to help.
6		help you God?	6	Q.	Okay. And based on what you saw, were you confident that
7		THE WITNESS: I do.	7		the two were the two people that you helped, were they in
8		THE COURT: Please be seated.	8		police uniforms?
9		JOHNNY PARDEE,	9	A.	No, they were not. But you could physically see the badge
0	calle	ed by the People at 12:03 sworn by the Court, testified:	10		on their chest.
1		DIRECT EXAMINATION	11	Q.	Okay. Did you see whether or not they had firearms?
2	BY	MR. KUIPER:	12	A.	I did not.
3	Q.	Hello, Mr. Pardee. Will you please spell your last name for	13	Q.	Okay.
4		the record?	14	A.	I wasn't sure.
5	A.	P-A-R-D-E-E.	15	Q.	But you knew, based on what you saw or you felt that they
6	Q.	And Mr. Pardee, where were you employed back on July 18th of	16		were?
7		last year?	17	A.	Oh, yeah. You could tell. You could see their badges
8	A.	Speedy Oil Lube on Leonard.	18		flying around. You could definitely tell they were cops.
9	Q.	Is that near the intersection of Leonard and Tamarack?	19		You could hear them say, We're cops. Please help.
0	A.	Yes, it is.	20	Q.	So you laid on his legs?
1	Q.	And about 2:30 in the afternoon, did you notice a scuffle in	21	A.	Yes, I did.
2		that vicinity?	22	Q.	Were they able to get the handcuffs on after you helped?
3	A.	Yes.	23	A.	Yeah, they were.
4	Q.	Would you describe for the jurors what you saw?	24	Q.	Okay. Other than what you've described here, did you have
		I just pretty much seen two guys on top of another guy and	25	-	any other involvement?
5	A.	a just pretty meen seen two guys on top of another guy and	20		any other involvement:

1	A.	No not really. Not at all	1	
2	Q.	No, not really. Not at all. Okay.	1 2	the scenario here, and it was pretty clear that it was based on
3	7	MR. KUIPER: Can I have just a second, your Honor?	3	THE COURT: Well, just just ask him what he
4		THE COURT: Sure.	4	saw.
5		MR. KUIPER: (Confers with the detective)	5	MR. GREENE: Okav.
6	BY	MR. KUIPER:	6	BY MR. GREENE: Okay.
7		When you sat on this the defendant's legs, do you know	7	Q. Did you hear the defendant yelling?
8		what his legs were doing?	8	A. I did.
9	A.	Yeah. They were pretty much flailing around everywhere.	9	Q. Was he yelling, They're mugging me. Call the police?
D		He was trying to get free, pretty much. They were getting	10	A. Not one bit. He was just pretty much screaming because he
1		kicked everywhere.	11	
2	Q.	Did you get kick at all?	12	was getting he was getting arrested. I don't know, just
3	A.	Yeah. I got kicked in the face, but it wasn't intentional.	13	pretty much normal normal stuff that you would scream
4		He was just doing it because of him moving around so much.	14	that point, I guess. Q. So, you didn't hear him
5	Q.	Trying to get away?	15	
6	A.	Yeah, pretty much. But it wasn't intentional by any means,	16	
7		the kick in the face.	17	nothin' like that. He was biting the officer. What else
В	Q.		18	were they supposed to do?
9		He didn't say, I'm going to kick this dude in the face?		Q. Well, let me ask you this: Did you hear him yelling,
0	A.	Clearly, it wasn't intentional, because of all the tussling	19	They're mugging me?
	_	that was goin' on there.	20	A. No, I did not.
1	Q.	Okay.	21	Q. You didn't hear that?
2		THE COURT: Mr. Greene.	22	A. That might have been before I came over, but I did not hea
3		CROSS-EXAMINATION	23	that.
4	10	MR. GREENE:	24	Q. Okay. Thank you.
5	Q.	Mr. Pardee, what was happening to the guy on the ground? 125	25	THE COURT: Mr. Kuiper.
1	A.	He was pretty much being held down, and they were just	1	MR. KUIPER: Thank you, Mr. Pardee. I don't have
2	, ,	pretty much just trying to get him under control. He was	2	anymore questions. He has to be at work at one o'clock, so
3		going pretty crazy on the ground.	3	I
4	Q.	Did you see them punching him in his face?	4	THE COURT: You are excused. Thank you very much.
5	Α.	I seen them punchin' him in the face, yeah.	5	THE WITNESS: Thank you. I appreciate it.
6	Q.	Were they punching him many, many times? Were they punching	6	(At 12:08 p.m., witness stepped down)
7		and punching and punching him in the face.	7	MR. KUIPER: My next witness isn't here. I don't
8	A.	No. He was gripped onto their arm. He was biting their	8	think we'll be done with Agent Brownback by one o'clock,
9		arm. They were just trying to release. They said they	9	Leah Buchanan.
0			10	THE COURT: Your full name, please.
		were tellin' him to stop biting him, pretty much. They	11	
1		were trying to get him off of — the officers were trying	12	MS. BUCHANAN: Leah Buchanan.
2	_	to get him from not biting the officer.	13	THE COURT: Raise your right hand.
3	Q.	Well, did you see where the arm was?	14	Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony
1	A.	Yeah. The arm was down here (indicating), and he was	15	you're about to give in this matter will be the truth, the
5		literally biting onto his his pretty much his muscle	16	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	_	on his arm.	17	
7	Q.	Did you see his neck in the the arm around his neck?	130	THE COURT: Please be seated.
3	A.	I did not see I did not see him around his neck, but I	18	LEAH BUCHANAN,
3	_	did see him biting his arm.	19	called by the People at 12:10 p.m., sworn by the Court,
	Q.	Okay. Is it something you saw or something you were told?	20	testified:
1	A.	No. I saw it. I was right there. I seen it.	21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
•	Q.	Okay. Well, if the officer who had the arm around the neck	22	BY MR. KUIPER:
		testified that the arm was around the neck, would you	23	Q. Ms. Buchanan, will you please spell your last name for the
3			100	
		disagree with that? MR. KUIPER: I'm going to object. We went through	24	record? A. B-U-C-H-A-N-A-N.

Case 1:16-cv-00343-JTN-ESC ECF No. 73 filed 01/17/17 PageID.449 Page 29 of 62

1	Q.	Ms. Buchanan, were you near the intersection of Tamarack and	1	Q.	Did that phone have the ability to video record?
2		Leonard back on February 18th of 2014, at approximately	2	A.	Yes.
3		THE COURT: July.	3	Q.	Did you take any videos?
4		MR. KUIPER: Excuse me.	4	A.	Yes.
5	BY	MR. KUIPER:	5	Q.	When you were taking the videos, at the time that you were
6	Q.	I'm sorry, July of 2014?	6		taking the videos, did you know that two of the folks
7	A.	Yes.	7		involved were police officers?
8	Q.	At about 2:30 in the afternoon?	8		MR. GREENE: Objection, leading, your Honor.
9	A.	Yes.	9		THE COURT: Overruled.
0	Q.	Okay. Do you recollect seeing anything out of the ordinary	10		MR. KUIPER:
1		near there?	111	Q.	At the time that you took the two videos that we have, did
2	A.	Yes.	12		you know that the two people, who you initially thought were
3	Q.	Would you describe for the jurors what that was?	13		beating the crap out of the other guy, did you know they
4	A.	I saw three men that, at the time that I was driving down	14		were police officers?
5		the road, were having an altercation. So, I pulled into	15	A.	Yes. I saw their badges swinging around their necks.
5		the church parking lot and saw them fighting, and I called	16	Q.	Okay. During the course of the first video, did you think
7		911.	17		the police conduct was wrong?
3		Then I saw the badges around the police officers'	18	A.	Yes.
3		necks and saw that it was police officers and another man.	19	Q.	Why was that?
)	Q.	Okay. Did you know how that fight or interaction between	20	A.	Why wasn't it wrong?
1		those men started?	21	Q.	Why was it wrong?
2	A.	No.	22	A.	Because, when you are from a distance, all you could s
3	Q.	Okay. So, you pulled you pulled up kind of midstream?	23		was him hitting the one boy.
1	A.	Yeah.	24	Q.	Okay. One of the officers hitting the boy?
5	Q.	All right. Did you think, when you first saw it, that the	25	A.	Yes.
		129			131
1		two officers were beating up the other gentleman?	1	Q.	Did you know why he was hitting the boy?
2	A.	Yes,	2	A.	Not at first, no.
3	Q.	Okay.	3	Q.	Okay. So, I'm going to mark this as Exhibit Number 9 or
1		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I'm going object for	4		Number 8, I'm sorry. Did you provide a couple of the videos
5		future leading questions.	5		that you had to law enforcement?
3		THE COURT: No. I'll allow that. Overruled.	6	A.	Yes.
7	BY N	AR. KUIPER:	7		(Exhibit 8 marked)
3	Q.	When you first saw what was happening there, what did you	8		(At 12:15 p.m., video played)
9		think was happening?	9	BY	MR. KUIPER:
)	A.	I thought it was police brutality; that they were beating	10	Q.	Does that look like, at least the beginning of the video,
1		him up, until I saw that he was biting onto the one police	11		what you shot with your phone?
2		officer.	12	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	I'm going to ask you just to go way back to the beginning.	13	Q.	Okay.
1		When you first saw it, did you realize that two	14		MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, there are two videos on
5		police others were involved?	15		here, and Mr. Greene knows about them.
5	A.	No.	16		Mr. Greene, do you have any objection to admission
,	Q.	Okay. What did you the think was happening when you first	17		of Proposed Exhibit Number 8, the two videos taken by
		saw it?	18		MR. GREENE: The two on that day? Okay. No. No
	A.	That somebody was getting their hind end beat with two	19		objection, your Honor.
)		other people. And, so I pulled in to see what was going	20		THE COURT: Admitted.
		on.	21		(Exhibit 8 admitted)
		And do you have a phone then?	22		(At 12:15 p.m., video played)
	Q.				for anima knight since bigles!
j			23	BY	AR. KLITPER:
	A.	Yes.	23	3	MR. KUIPER: This is an accurate depiction of what you saw on that day?
2	A. Q.		23 24 25	Q.	MR. KUIPER: This is an accurate depiction of what you saw on that day? Yes, it is.

Case 1:16-cv-00343-JTN-ESC ECF No. 73 filed 01/17/17 PageID.450 Page 30 of 62

-	_		_		
1 2	Q.	And that officer on the just to the right of the woman with the white shirt on, you could see his badge right there	1		and she told me that I had to delete the videos because sh
3		on the right?	2		said they were undercover agents and that it could expose
4	A.	The one leaning down?	3	_	them.
5	Q.	Yeah, leaning down.	4	Q.	And then did you hear any other information from any other
6	Α.	Yes.	5		officer telling you, No, keep the videos?
7	Q.	All right. Do you see a firearm on him at all?	7	A.	Yes. Another officer had approached me and said, No, I do
8	Α.	I can't see it from this distance.	8		not have to delete the videos. And then I thought the one
9	Q.		9		video was already deleted and, when I got home I was
10	w.	Now, when you first pulled up, you testified you thought	LESV		looking at the phone and saw that it wasn't.
11		these two guys were just beating up it was a random fight?	10		And I called the police department for them to
12	A.	Yes.	11	_	send an officer over for me to give them the videos.
13	Q.		12	Q.	Did you give those videos to Detective Smith, who is seated
14		Okay. You were wrong about that?	13		to my right here?
15	A.	Yes.	14	A.	Umm, I gave a statement to him, but I don't believe it
	DV 1	(At 12:17 p.m., video played)	15		was I don't think it was his phone that I Bluetoothed
16	120	MR. KUIPER:	16		the videos to. I don't remember.
17	Q.	Were you aware that, when they were hitting him in the head,	17	Q.	Okay. Do you know the name of the African-American female
18		he was biting the officer's bicep?	18		police officer?
19	A.	Not at first, no.	19	A.	No, I do not. I believe she was the only one there,
20	Q.	Okay. Did you ever become made aware of that fact?	20		though.
21	A.	Yes,	21	Q.	Does the name Connie Moore ring a bell at all?
22	Q.	Would that impact that voice in the background, is that	22	A.	No.
23		you saying	23	Q.	Okay.
24	A.	Yes.	24	A.	I would recognize her if I saw her. Or at least I know
25	Q.	Would that impact your position on whether or not they were	25		what she looked like that day,
		133	_		135
1		being brutal?	1	Q.	But there was one officer here that said, Don't delete
2	A.	Yes, it does.	2		those. Give them to the police department, please?
3	Q.	How does it impact your	3	A.	Um-hmm (affirmatively).
4	A.	It changes my complete mind about how they were behaving.	4	Q.	Do you do you remember anyone asking you to call the
5		I would do the same thing.	5		police at all?
6	Q.	If you had known that that was going on, would you have	6	A.	Yes. The officers that were down on the ground were
7		given them assistance?	7		yelling out to the man, Stop resisting, stop resisting.
8	A.	Yes.	8		Somebody call for backup and help, please.
9	Q.	Again, you didn't see how this started, so you don't know	9	Q.	Okay.
10		whether or not they identified themselves as officers. You	10	A.	Because their radio was laying on the ground.
11		don't know what was said that led up to this interaction?	11	Q.	Did you call 911?
12	A.	No.	12	A.	Yes.
13		(At 12:18 p.m., video played)	13	Q.	Were you in your vehicle with anyone else?
14	BY	MR. KUIPER:	14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	Okay. That was the other that was your other video,	15	Q.	Who was in the vehicle with you?
16		correct?	16	A.	Jamie Plouhar and Beth Bridges.
17	A.	Yes. That was the second one I took after I found out what	17	Q.	What relation to you are they?
18		was going on.	18	A.	They were my daughter's friends.
19	Q.	Okay. You told me outside of the court here that you had a	19	Q.	So, they were able to see a portion of this, as well?
20		third video?	20	A.	Yes,
21	A.	Yes.	21	Q.	Did you hear if you saw the guy on the ground, would you
22	Q.	But an officer told you to delete that?	22		recognize him?
22	A.	Yes.	23	A.	I know what he looked like, yes.
23			24	Q.	Do you see him in court today?
24	Q.	What officer was that?		-	20 / 10 200 11111 111 2001 1
92.4	Q. A.	I don't know her name. She was an African-American lady,	25	A.	Yes.

A.	Can you point him out for the jury, please? He's sitting over there (indicating).	1		police, was the people they were on top of, correct?
		2	A.	그 일 교통적 기사 이 교육에 하는 교육하게 하는 것이 하는 12일 하다.
	MR. KUIPER: Can the record reflect that	3		Okay. And, so I want to go back. This is your voice. You
	Ms. Buchanan has identified the defendant?	4	ų.	
	THE COURT: Yes.	5	A.	recognize that? Yes.
BY	MR. KUIPER:	6	Q.	
Q.	Did you ever hear him say that he was being mugged?	1 3		Yes. I made the call.
A.	No.	11.6		(At 12:29 p.m., 911 call played)
Q.	Did you ever hear him ask or yell, Call police or Call 911?	11/7/37	BY	MR. GREENE:
A.	No.	1.3		Ms. Buchanan, that's how you perceived this as you were
	MR. KUIPER: I don't have anymore questions.			observing it, isn't that correct?
		11552	A	Yes. From my car. And Jamie, who was sitting next to me
		100		was the one talking in the background, relaying to me wha
		1100		she heard being said.
		1	0	
		1 2	٠.	,
		1.5		gave you their side of it, isn't that correct?
		1000	A.	The state of the s
		1 1		got out of the vehicle, I could see with my eyes that the
		10230	-	man was bit.
		11/07/01		
RY		11/2/30		
		11000		
2		170		
u.		25	A.	
_		1	_	139
Δ				
۹.				
^			A.	
~		1100	_	people.
			Q.	Well, if someone was choking you, would you bite them to
		11111		stop them from choking you to death?
		1 5	1	
			u.	
		11000		is: If somebody was choking you, would you bite them to
		17.00		keep them from choking you to death?
		1	100	No, I would not bite somebody.
		14.23		You would let them choke you to death?
	5.000 등,600 유럽 및 2006 등 12 등 1	111195	60	I would not bite them, no.
		1000	Q.	
				arrived and observed this as it was happening, correct?
			25	
		1000	Q.	
DV 1		1976		you've had any conversations, but instant as it is
		1		happening?
w.			A.	Yes, as you could see it from the distance from in the
				vehicle. But when you approached things closer and can se
				closer, you can see what actually happened.
		E13	Q.	Wait, wait, wait. There's no question before you, ma'am,
u.	So, the man that you're talking about screaming, Call the 138	25		listen to my question, okay?
	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	Q. Did you ever hear him say that he was being mugged? A. No. Q. Did you ever hear him ask or yell, Call police or Call 911? A. No. MR. KUIPER: I don't have anymore questions. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Greene. MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I need to switch computers again. MR. KUIPER: (Confers with defense attorney) MR. GREENE: Can I have a minute, your Honor? THE COURT: Sure. (At 12:22 p.m., break had but remained in the courtroom) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GREENE: Q. Ms. Buchanan, correct? A. Yes. Q. Ms. Buchanan, before you recorded those videos, you actually 137 made a call to 911, didn't you? A. Yes. Q. In that call you were telling what you were observing as it was occurring, correct? A. Um-hmm (affirmatively). MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I'm going to move for admission of her 911 call instead of — THE COURT: Any objection to that? MR. KUIPER: As long as there's some format which it can be preserved for appellate review, if necessary. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GREENE: We'll burn a disk. (AT 12:28 p.m., attempting to play 911 call) MR. GREENE: Your computer won't play it after all that. I'm going to shut you down. I don't want to mess with your video cart. MR. GREENE: (Discussion with prosecutor regarding video display) (At 12:28 p.m., 911 call played) BY MR. GREENE: Q. I want to stop you there. You told 911 that they were —people on top of this man, and this man is screaming, Call the police, call the police, correct? Was that your voice? A. Yes.	Q. Did you ever hear him say that he was being mugged? A. No. Q. Did you ever hear him ask or yell, Call police or Call 911? A. No. MR. KUIPER: I don't have anymore questions. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Greene. MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I need to switch computers again. MR. KUIPER: (Confers with defense attorney) MR. GREENE: Can I have a minute, your Honor? THE COURT: Sure. (At 12:22 p.m., break had but remained in the courtroom) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GREENE: Q. Ms. Buchanan, correct? A. Yes. Q. Ms. Buchanan, before you recorded those videos, you actually 137 made a call to 911, didn't you? A. Yes. Q. In that call you were telling what you were observing as it was occurring, correct? A. Um-hmm (affirmatively). MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I'm going to move for admission of her 911 call instead of — THE COURT: Any objection to that? MR. KUIPER: As long as there's some format which it can be preserved for appellate review, if necessary. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GREENE: We'll burn a disk. (AT 12:28 p.m., attempting to play 911 call) MR. GREENE: Your computer won't play it after all that. I'm going to shut you down. 1 don't want to mess with your video cart. MR. GREENE: (Discussion with prosecutor regarding video display) 18 WAR. GREENE: Q. I want to stop you there. You told 911 that they were — people on top of this man, and this man is screaming, Call the police, call the police, correct? Was that your voice? A. Yes. Yes.	Q. Did you ever hear him say that he was being mugged? A. No. Q. Did you ever hear him ask or yell, Call police or Call 911? A. No. MR. KUIPER: I don't have anymore questions. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Greene. MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I need to switch computers again. MR. KUIPER: (Confers with defense attorney) MR. GREENE: Can I have a minute, your Honor? THE COURT: Sure. (At 12:22 p.m., break had but remained in the courtroom) CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GREENE: Q. Ms. Buchanan, correct? A. Yes. Q. Ms. Buchanan, before you recorded those videos, you actually 137 made a call to 911, didn't you? A. Yes. Q. In that call you were telling what you were observing as it was occurring, correct? A. Um-hmm (affirmatively). MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I'm going to move for admission of her 911 call instead of — THE COURT: Any objection to that? MR. KUIPER: As long as there's some format which it can be preserved for appellate review, if necessary. THE COURT: Go ahead. MR. GREENE: We'll burn a disk. (AT 12:28 p.m., attempting to play 911 call) MR. GREENE: Your computer won't play it after all that. I'm going to shut you down. I don't want to mess with your video cart. MR. GREENE: (Discussion with prosecutor regarding video display) (At 12:28 p.m., 911 call played) BY MR. GREENE: Q. I want to stop you there. You told 911 that they were—people on top of this man, and this man is screaming, Call the police, call the police, correct? Was that your voice? A. Yes. Yes.

1			11		an officer after they arrived on the scene?
2		At the beginning of this, you make it very clear	2	A.	Yes. I was interviewed by an officer after they arrived.
3		that the man on the ground, okay, who's seated at the table,	3		They asked me to stay.
4		is crying, Call the police, call the police, isn't that	4	Q.	Okay.
5		correct?	5	A.	
6	A.	Actually, Jamie Plouhar, who was sitting next to me that	6		to Spectrum.
7		you heard in the background, was the one relaying to me.	7	Q.	Okay.
8	Q.	She heard him saying, Call the police?	8	770	MR. GREENE: Your Honor, may we approach?
9	A.	Yes.	9		THE COURT: Sure.
0	Q.	Okay. So, he was screaming, Call the police?	10		(At 12:38 p.m., sidebar outside of reporter's
1	A.	I don't know if he was or not. I couldn't hear him. She	11		hearing)
2		was relaying that to me.	12		MR. GREENE: (Confers with prosecutor)
3	Q.	And then we have your comments from your video.	13		(At 12:39 p.m., video played)
4		(Video played at 12:35 p.m.)	14		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, formality. I move for
5	By N	MR. GREENE:	15		admission of and it was your exhibit. Do you want to use
6	Q.	Now I want to pause. This car that you see there	16		yours or mine? We need to admit this before we show it.
7	A.	The white one?	17		
8	Q.	No, the black car.	18		MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, this is one of the
9	A.	Yes.	1		officers involved. I have no problem with Mr. Greene
0	Q.	Do you recognize that vehicle?	19		showing it right now. We will admit a copy of the video
1	133		1		with all police in-car videos contained on it. We'll admit
3	A.	I couldn't tell you from now if I did or not. It's been	21		that as People's Proposed Exhibit Number 9.
2	_	over six months.	22		THE COURT: Looks like it started at Leonard and
3	Q.	So, you don't know anything about that vehicle?	23		Turner, and heading westbound on Leonard.
4	A.	(Shakes head negatively)	24		MR. GREENE: Westbound on Leonard, in the cool
5	Q.	Okay.	25		perspective that you rarely get to see.
-	-	141	-	_	143
1		I was no, I don't remember about that vehicle or not.	1		THE COURT: It's admitted.
2	Q.	Okay. And we hear you, the first words you say on the	2		(Exhibit 9 admitted)
3		video, They're pounding him, correct?	3		(At 12:42 p.m., in-car video played)
4	100	Yes. And then if you go to my second video —	4	BY I	MR. GREENE:
5	Q.	Excuse me, ma'am. Listen to my questions, okay? I'll ask	5	Q.	Did you hear that they were pounding? Did you hear that?
6		the questions. This is now what you are observing, isn't	6		Was that you?
7		that correct?	7	A.	No. That wasn't me. I don't think so. Rewind it, and I
8	A.	Yes.	8		will tell you, because I wasn't understanding that close to
9	Q.	This is not what Jamie is telling you in the back seat?	9		the road.
0	A.	No.	10		MR. GREENE: (At 12:45 p.m., rewinding and playing
1	Q.	You get out of the car and you see they are pounding him,	11		video)
2		correct?	12		MR. KUIPER: I'm going to object to any statements
3	A.	At that point of taking the video, I don't believe they	13		made by the defendant coming in at this point, because they
4		were pounding him anymore. The instant oil guy came over	14		are hearsay. If he ultimately elects to waive his Fifth
5		and held his legs.	15		Amendment right and testify, then I'm fine with them coming
6	Q.	Well, listen to what you say on the video.	16		in. But, other than that, they are hearsay under the rules.
7		(At 12:36 p.m. video played)	17		THE COURT: Mr. Greene.
8		THE WITNESS: Yes, I	18		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I do not believe they are
9		(At 12:36 p.m. video still playing)	19		hearsay. At best, they are present sense impression. If
0	BY N	MR. GREENE:	20		it's him expressing what he is experiencing while it's
1	Q.	After you get out now, and you're taking the video, you're	21		taking place, it's clearly a present sense impression, or
2		still saying on the video that they were pounding him in the	22		could even be considered an excited utterance, which are
3		head, correct?	23		exceptions to the hearsay rule.
4	A.	Yes, because they were.	24		THE COURT: I'll sustain Mr. Kulper's objection,
5	Q.	Okay. Now, did you have an opportunity to be interviewed by	25		I believe he's correct on the law.

-				
1	MR. GREENE: Okay.	1	cover in final instructions and cover in closing argume	ents.
2	(At 12:46 p.m., video played)	2	All we can do at this point is have a genera	1
3	THE COURT: That's the objectionable portion?	3	understanding that, again, statements on this that are	e made
4	MR. GREENE: It's all throughout the video, your	4	by witnesses who testify, you can consider. Statemer	nts made
5	Honor. It's it's just not going to be moved or admitted.	5	by other people identified are not are to be disregar	rded.
6	And he will be taking the stand, so we will bring it in.	6	So, let's move on.	
7	MR. KUIPER: If that's going to happen, then I'm	7	(At 12:51 p.m., video played)	
8	fine.	8	BY MR. GREENE:	
9	THE COURT: Well, with that understanding, then	9	Q. Now, is that you saying you saw no cars around that e	even
10	let's proceed. Very good.	10	remotely looked like a police car?	
11	MR. GREENE: Yeah. He will be taking the stand.	11	A. There was no identified police vehicles.	
12	THE COURT: Very good. Very good.	12	Q. Was that you saying that on the tape?	
13	(At 12:47 p.m. video played)	13	A. I don't know. Rewind it and let me hear it again	, please.
14	BY MR. GREENE:	14	If you want me to be 100-percent sure, I would	
15	Q. That's you talking?	15	it one more time.	
16	A. Yes, that was me.	16	(At 12:51 p.m., video played)	
17	Q. Okay.	17	THE WITNESS: Yes, that is me.	
8	MR. GREENE: Your Honor, there used to be a	18	BY MR. GREENE:	
9	ledger, but I can't I could I have tried to forward	19	Q. So, you looked to see if there were police cars, and th	ere
20	it.	20	were none?	
1	MR. KUIPER: Just so we're clear	21	A. No. There were no police cars that had the siren	s on ton
22	(At 12:49 p.m. video played)	22	or anything like that. There were no identified p	
23	THE WITNESS: That's not me.	23	vehicles.	once
24	MR. GREENE: Excuse me.	24	Q. You thought that was important to tell the officers that	
25	MR. KUIPER: That that is not you, is it?	25	the time?	Lat
	145		147	
1	THE WITNESS: That's not me.	1	A. I don't recall if they asked me if there were or no	ot.
2	MR, KUIPER: Okay. That's someone else.	2	(At 12:52 p.m. video played)	3
3	THE WITNESS: Yep.	3	BY MR. GREENE:	
4	MR. KUIPER: Do you know who that is?	4	Q. Now, was that you talking about open carry?	
5	MR. GREENE: You can come back to the there are	5	A. Yes. And the reason that I said that is because p	eople can
6	a lot of people talking over each other. We're going to	6	open carry, and you never know who's going to s	
7	admit under Brower anyway, correct?	7	And, if you are a detective or a police officer, you	7000
8	MR. KUIPER: Yeah, we likely will. But any	8	to do what you have to do to make sure that the	
9	statements made by anybody who did not identify themselves	9	is safe.	Communic
0	or isn't subject to examination, I think there ought to be a	10	Q. Ma'am, that's not what you said. You said on the tape	that
1	cautionary instruction, at a minimum, for those.	11	you can open carry and, therefore, you don't know if	. CIUC
2	MR. GREENE: Your Honor, we can take this up off	12	somebody has a gun whether they're an officer or not,	ie
3	the record if you want, but or, if you want to do it	13	that correct?	
4	right now, we can.	14	A. Did I say officer? If you can rewind it, I'll let you	
5	THE COURT: Well, then, Mr. Kuiper, Mr. Greene,	15	know.	
6	there are some people speaking on here who won't be	16	(At 12:52 p.m. video played)	
7	witnesses?	17	BY MR. GREENE:	
8	MR. GREENE: That's correct. Not everyone was	18		
9	identify. But, your Honor, I do believe that it all would	19	Q. That was you again saying, I was on the phone with	you
0	fall under present sense impression. These are people	20	know when they were pounding this guy? A. Yes. I was talking to the lady that was standing	
		21		
	relating to the officers	10.70	me. That was not a statement I was giving to the	e police
		22	officers.	
2	THE COURT: Well, ladies and gentlemen of jury,	22) Vandarian da la	
2	you are not to consider statements made by people on this	23	2. You were very close to the officer when you picked up	on his
21 22 23 24 25		23 24 25	Q. You were very close to the officer when you picked up mike?A. But I was talking to the lady that was standing no	

_					
1	Q.	That is your statement?	1		But they were pounding his face. But could you please not
2	A.	It's not a statement. It was a conversation I had with the	2		let my phone number and address be known to everybody i
3		lady that was standing next to me.	3		here?
4		(At 12:53 p.m., video played)	4		MR. GREENE: I'm not sure how to skip that. That
5	120	MR. GREENE:	5		was the next statement.
6	Q.	Now, is that you saying, All of them were yelling call for	6		THE COURT: Let's skip over it.
7		help?	7	0.4	MR. GREENE:
8	A.	Yes. I said, Everybody was calling for help.	8	Q.	Okay. I'm going to go back to the first, and then I'll stop
9	Q.	Okay. So, including the guy on the ground who was being	9		it and try to skip over it.
0		beaten, he was yelling, calling for help?	10	A.	Thank you.
1	A.	When I made the 911 call, that is what Jamie told me, yes.	11		MR. GREENE: (At 12:58 p.m. video playing)
2	Q.	Now you are telling this to the officer, correct?	12		Your Honor, that's probably as far as that
3	A.	I think I was talking to the lady standing next me still at	13		involves this witness.
4	_	that point.	14		THE COURT: All right. Very good.
5	Q.		15		MR. GREENE: Okay.
6	Α.	Yes.	16	BY	MR. GREENE:
7	Q.	That is you making the statement that they were all calling	17	Q.	So, even after the officer got there and they were
8		for help?	18		interviewing you, you were still telling them they were
9	A.	That everyone was calling for help.	19		pounding his face and they didn't need to pound his face,
0	Q.	Okay. Thank you.	20		correct?
1	A.	Not even — not just the people that were on the ground,	21	A.	They were pounding his face, but he bit them and wouldn't
2		but the bystanders, also.	22		let go. So, you have to do
3	274	(At 12:55 p.m., video played)	23	Q.	Who told you that he wouldn't let go?
4		MR. GREENE:	24	A.	You could see that he wouldn't let go, and they were
25	Q.	Is that you, One guy is on his back and the other guy is 149	25		pounding his face. And you could see the blood down the 151
1		pounding him in the head?	1	-	officer's arm.
2	A.		2	0	Never on here do you say anything about him biting him, do
3	Q.	Sounds like you. You recognize your voice?	3		you?
4	A.	Yes.	4	A.	He was bit onto them.
5	Q.	So, it is you?	5	Q.	I'm asking you. We're listening to the video, correct?
6	A.	It does sounds like me. There's a lot of other voices. If	6	A.	Yes.
7		you would like to rewind it and listen it to again, I could	7	Q.	And nowhere on there do you see say anything about seeing
8		verify it.	8		a bite, do you?
9	Q.	We would be here a long time.	9	A.	I did see the bite on the arm.
0	A.	Well, if you want me to be 100-percent.	10	Q.	Listen to my question. You do not say anything on the video
1	Q.	We'll take your answer.	11		about seeing a bite, do you?
2		MR. GREENE: Is that okay, your Honor?	12	A.	Not on that part of the video, no. But if you listen to my
3		THE COURT: It is, it is. Yes.	13		second video that I took, you can hear that.
4		(At 12:56 p.m. video played)	14	Q.	Also, on your 911 call you say nothing about bite, correct?
5	BY	MR. GREENE:	15	A.	No. I was still sitting in my vehicle at that time.
6	Q.	That's you identifying yourself to the officers?	16	Q.	At some point, you sat down with the detectives at your
7	A.	Yes.	17		house, correct?
8	Q.	You are Leah?	18	A.	That was a while later.
9		(At 12:56 p.m., video played)	19	Q.	Okay. And that's where you start talking about they tell
0		THE WITNESS: Excuse me.	20		you what happened?
1		(At 12:57 p.m., video still playing)	21	A.	No, that's not when I knew that he got bit.
2	BY	MR. GREENE:	22	Q.	Okay.
3	Q.	Now, is that you saying, They were pounding his face, and	23	A.	I knew he got bit right when that happened, because you
4		they didn't need to pound his face?	24		could see the bite on his arm when he took his shirt off
5	A.	No. I says, I know you've gotta do what you've gotta do.	25		and there was blood on his arm.
		150			152

1	Q.	Okay. So, you knew he had been bit because, when he took	1		officer's arm was bit?
2		his shirt off, you could see it?	2	A.	Yes.
3	A.	I don't know if that was exactly when I saw he was bit or	3	Q.	Okay. I take it you don't know with how much force his
4		not, but I did know he was bit.	4		bicep was bit?
5	Q.	Okay. But your recollection here today is seeing it after	5	A.	No. But as bad as it looked, it had to be with extreme
6		he took his shirt off?	6		force.
7	A.	That's when I saw the blood, yes.	7		MR. GREENE: Objection, your Honor. She's not
8	Q.	Okay.	8		qualified to give a medical diagnosis about how hard a bite
9		MR. GREENE: I have no further questions. Thank	9		is, looking at it from a distance.
0		you.	10		THE COURT: Well, I'll allow it. That was her
1		THE COURT: Mr. Kuiper.	11		observation.
2		MR. KUIPER: Thank you.	12		THE WITNESS: I actually went to school for
3		REDIRECT EXAMINATION	13		medical assistant.
4	BY	MR. KUIPER:	14	BY	MR. KUIPER:
5	Q.	Did any of the officers on-scene ask you to tell them	15	Q.	Well, again, you didn't see how this started?
6		anything other than what you observed?	16	A.	No.
7	A.	No.	17	Q.	Your initial perception was two guys were beating up one
8	Q.	Do you remember meeting with Detective Smith?	18	٧.	
9	A.	Yes.	19	٨	guy?
0	Q.		1000	A.	Yes.
	u.	If I said that that happened on September 30th, when you	20	Q.	
1		gave the next statement, would that be fairly accurate?	21		citizen?
2	Α.	It would be in the end of September, yes.	22	A.	Yes. When I was driving down the road, that's what it
3	Q.	The end of September. Did she are you aware that he was	23		looked like.
4		recording you when you made that statement?	24	Q.	Turned out to be wrong about that?
5	A.	Yes.	25	A.	Yes.
_		153	-		155
1	Q.	Okay. Did he tell you what happened, or did he tell you to	1	Q.	And your initial perception was that these are police
2		make a statement or conform your statement to what he wanted	2		officers, but they're just they're going to town on this
3		to hear?	3		guy and whippin' up on him?
4	A.	He didn't tell me what happened or make my statement to	4	A.	Yes.
5		what he wanted to hear.	5	Q.	And, when you made that observation, you didn't know that
6	Q.	Okay.	6		the guy punching the defendant was having his bicep bitten?
7		You and I met for the first time today true?	7		
8			7	A.	Yes. Not at that time, no.
•	A.	Yes.	8	A. Q.	Yes. Not at that time, no. Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those
9	A. Q.		1 3	177	THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
		Yes.	8	177	Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those
0	Q.	Yes. And what did I tell you to do when you testified?	8	Q.	Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those factors modify your opinion?
0	Q. A. Q.	Yes. And what did I tell you to do when you testified? To tell them exactly what I can remember as of today. To tell the truth?	8 9 10 11	Q.	Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those factors modify your opinion? Yes. Is it, once I knew what he was doing to the police officer, did it modify my perception of how the police
0 1 2	Q. A. Q. A.	Yes. And what did I tell you to do when you testified? To tell them exactly what I can remember as of today. To tell the truth? Yes.	8 9 10 11 12	Q. A.	Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those factors modify your opinion? Yes. Is it, once I knew what he was doing to the police officer, did it modify my perception of how the police officers reacted?
0 1 2 3	Q. A. Q.	Yes. And what did I tell you to do when you testified? To tell them exactly what I can remember as of today. To tell the truth? Yes. Okay. So, no one asked you to testify in any particular	8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those factors modify your opinion? Yes. Is it, once I knew what he was doing to the police officer, did it modify my perception of how the police officers reacted? Yes.
0 1 2 3 4	Q. A. Q. A.	Yes. And what did I tell you to do when you testified? To tell them exactly what I can remember as of today. To tell the truth? Yes. Okay. So, no one asked you to testify in any particular fashion that is involved in law enforcement, is that a fair	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A.	Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those factors modify your opinion? Yes. Is it, once I knew what he was doing to the police officer, did it modify my perception of how the police officers reacted? Yes. Yes, that did modify my perception of how the police
0 1 2 3 4 5	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes. And what did I tell you to do when you testified? To tell them exactly what I can remember as of today. To tell the truth? Yes. Okay. So, no one asked you to testify in any particular fashion that is involved in law enforcement, is that a fair statement?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A.	Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those factors modify your opinion? Yes. Is it, once I knew what he was doing to the police officer, did it modify my perception of how the police officers reacted? Yes. Yes, that did modify my perception of how the police officers reacted.
0 1 2 3 4 5 6	Q. A. Q. A.	Yes. And what did I tell you to do when you testified? To tell them exactly what I can remember as of today. To tell the truth? Yes. Okay. So, no one asked you to testify in any particular fashion that is involved in law enforcement, is that a fair statement? Yes. Nobody asked me to tell anything except for how I	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those factors modify your opinion? Yes. Is it, once I knew what he was doing to the police officer, did it modify my perception of how the police officers reacted? Yes. Yes, that did modify my perception of how the police officers reacted. But, at the time that you made this statement to the police
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes. And what did I tell you to do when you testified? To tell them exactly what I can remember as of today. To tell the truth? Yes. Okay. So, no one asked you to testify in any particular fashion that is involved in law enforcement, is that a fair statement? Yes. Nobody asked me to tell anything except for how I foresee it happening.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A.	Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those factors modify your opinion? Yes. Is it, once I knew what he was doing to the police officer, did it modify my perception of how the police officers reacted? Yes. Yes, that did modify my perception of how the police officers reacted. But, at the time that you made this statement to the police that's captured on the in-car video and you made the 911
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes. And what did I tell you to do when you testified? To tell them exactly what I can remember as of today. To tell the truth? Yes. Okay. So, no one asked you to testify in any particular fashion that is involved in law enforcement, is that a fair statement? Yes. Nobody asked me to tell anything except for how I foresee it happening. This a video and the videos from the in-car all the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those factors modify your opinion? Yes. Is it, once I knew what he was doing to the police officer, did it modify my perception of how the police officers reacted? Yes. Yes, that did modify my perception of how the police officers reacted. But, at the time that you made this statement to the police that's captured on the in-car video and you made the 911 call, you were under the impression that the police officers
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes. And what did I tell you to do when you testified? To tell them exactly what I can remember as of today. To tell the truth? Yes. Okay. So, no one asked you to testify in any particular fashion that is involved in law enforcement, is that a fair statement? Yes. Nobody asked me to tell anything except for how I foresee it happening. This a video and the videos from the in-car all the in-car videos, last approximately 20 minutes after this	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those factors modify your opinion? Yes. Is it, once I knew what he was doing to the police officer, did it modify my perception of how the police officers reacted? Yes. Yes, that did modify my perception of how the police officers reacted. But, at the time that you made this statement to the police that's captured on the in-car video and you made the 911 call, you were under the impression that the police officers were whipping on this guy for no real reason?
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And what did I tell you to do when you testified? To tell them exactly what I can remember as of today. To tell the truth? Yes. Okay. So, no one asked you to testify in any particular fashion that is involved in law enforcement, is that a fair statement? Yes. Nobody asked me to tell anything except for how I foresee it happening. This a video and the videos from the in-car all the in-car videos, last approximately 20 minutes after this happened. Did you remain in that location for longer than	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A.	Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those factors modify your opinion? Yes. Is it, once I knew what he was doing to the police officer, did it modify my perception of how the police officers reacted? Yes. Yes, that did modify my perception of how the police officers reacted. But, at the time that you made this statement to the police that's captured on the in-car video and you made the 911 call, you were under the impression that the police officers were whipping on this guy for no real reason? Yes. And that's why, if you listen to the second video
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And what did I tell you to do when you testified? To tell them exactly what I can remember as of today. To tell the truth? Yes. Okay. So, no one asked you to testify in any particular fashion that is involved in law enforcement, is that a fair statement? Yes. Nobody asked me to tell anything except for how I foresee it happening. This a video and the videos from the in-car all the in-car videos, last approximately 20 minutes after this happened. Did you remain in that location for longer than 20 minutes?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those factors modify your opinion? Yes. Is it, once I knew what he was doing to the police officer, did it modify my perception of how the police officers reacted? Yes. Yes, that did modify my perception of how the police officers reacted. But, at the time that you made this statement to the police that's captured on the in-car video and you made the 911 call, you were under the impression that the police officers were whipping on this guy for no real reason? Yes. And that's why, if you listen to the second video that I took, you will hear me say that I'm glad that they
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And what did I tell you to do when you testified? To tell them exactly what I can remember as of today. To tell the truth? Yes. Okay. So, no one asked you to testify in any particular fashion that is involved in law enforcement, is that a fair statement? Yes. Nobody asked me to tell anything except for how I foresee it happening. This a video and the videos from the in-car all the in-car videos, last approximately 20 minutes after this happened. Did you remain in that location for longer than 20 minutes? I honestly don't remember how long I remained in that	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those factors modify your opinion? Yes. Is it, once I knew what he was doing to the police officer, did it modify my perception of how the police officers reacted? Yes. Yes, that did modify my perception of how the police officers reacted. But, at the time that you made this statement to the police that's captured on the in-car video and you made the 911 call, you were under the impression that the police officers were whipping on this guy for no real reason? Yes. And that's why, if you listen to the second video
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	And what did I tell you to do when you testified? To tell them exactly what I can remember as of today. To tell the truth? Yes. Okay. So, no one asked you to testify in any particular fashion that is involved in law enforcement, is that a fair statement? Yes. Nobody asked me to tell anything except for how I foresee it happening. This a video and the videos from the in-car all the in-car videos, last approximately 20 minutes after this happened. Did you remain in that location for longer than 20 minutes?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those factors modify your opinion? Yes. Is it, once I knew what he was doing to the police officer, did it modify my perception of how the police officers reacted? Yes. Yes, that did modify my perception of how the police officers reacted. But, at the time that you made this statement to the police that's captured on the in-car video and you made the 911 call, you were under the impression that the police officers were whipping on this guy for no real reason? Yes. And that's why, if you listen to the second video that I took, you will hear me say that I'm glad that they
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And what did I tell you to do when you testified? To tell them exactly what I can remember as of today. To tell the truth? Yes. Okay. So, no one asked you to testify in any particular fashion that is involved in law enforcement, is that a fair statement? Yes. Nobody asked me to tell anything except for how I foresee it happening. This a video and the videos from the in-car all the in-car videos, last approximately 20 minutes after this happened. Did you remain in that location for longer than 20 minutes? I honestly don't remember how long I remained in that	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Again, like I asked you on direct examination, did those factors modify your opinion? Yes. Is it, once I knew what he was doing to the police officer, did it modify my perception of how the police officers reacted? Yes. Yes, that did modify my perception of how the police officers reacted. But, at the time that you made this statement to the police that's captured on the in-car video and you made the 911 call, you were under the impression that the police officers were whipping on this guy for no real reason? Yes. And that's why, if you listen to the second video that I took, you will hear me say that I'm glad that they did what they had to do. Because what if somebody what

-		Name and the second sec	1	
1 2	A.	knowing the circumstances? Yep.	1	warrant out for him, correct?
3		And they they would have had an impact on how you viewed	2	A. I don't know if he had a warrant out or if he was someboo
4	•		3	they were looking for in suspicion of the home invasion.
5		things, if it happens the way that the officers say it did, if you had a chance to witness it from the get-go?	4	Q. Okay. But you know that this was not the person they were
6	A.	Um-hmm (affirmatively).	6	looking for?
7		THE COURT: Excuse me, is that a yes?	7	A. I did not know that at the time.
8		THE WITNESS: Yes.	8	Q. Okay. You were later you later found that out?
9	RY	MR. KUIPER:	9	A. Yes.
0		I'm sorry. You can't say um-hmm (affirmatively) or uh-uh	10	Q. Okay.
1	٠.	(negatively). You have to say yes or no.	12.7	MR. GREENE: Thank you.
2	A.	Yes. Yes.	11	THE COURT: Mr. Kuiper.
3	Q.	All right.	100	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
4	u.		13	BY MR. KUIPER:
5		MR. KUIPER: No further questions. Thank you.	14	Q. If officers in plain clothes came up to you and identified
6		THE COURT: Mr. Greene.	15	themselves as officers and said, You match the description
7		MR. GREENE: Thank you, your Honor.	16	of someone we're looking for, will you show me
В	PV	RECROSS-EXAMINATION	17	MR. GREENE: Objection, objection. This is
		MR. GREENE:	18	totally speculative and totally irrelevant as to what she
9	Q.	On the second video you refer to him as a felon, correct?	19	would do.
0	A.	I said, If he was a felon.	20	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
1	Q.	Okay. Did you find out that this young man was just walking	21	MR. KUIPER: It certainly is relevant. He's
2		down the street minding his business	22	asking hypotheticals based on
3	A.	His	23	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
4	Q.	not doing anything wrong?	24	MR. KUIPER: I don't have anymore questions.
5	A.	His attorney left me one of his, I think I don't think 157	25	MR. GREENE: Nothing further, your Honor. Thank 159
1		it was you, because the voice was different but had left	1	you.
2		me a voicemail message saying that, It was just an innocent	2	THE COURT: Thank you. You are excused.
3		kid on his way to school, I believe is what he said.	3	(At 1:07 p.m., witness stepped down)
4	Q.	And that this wasn't even the guy the cops were looking for?	4	THE COURT: Well, ladies and gentlemen of the jury
5		Did you find out that, also?	5	oh, Mr. Kuiper, your next witness is after lunch?
6	A.	He I was told that he mentioned the description and was	6	MR. KUIPER: Sure. I have a couple more
7		questioned for an identification,	7	witnesses.
В	Q.	The police tell you that?	8	THE COURT: All right. We'll take a lunch break
9	A.	I was told that I'm trying to remember when I was told	9	until 2:15.
0		that.	10	Ladies and gentlemen, you are excused til then.
1	Q.	Okay. Did you ever find out that he was not doing anything	11	Again, do not discuss the case in any way with anybody.
2		wrong and that he was just this came down upon him	12	Don't have any contact with anybody involved in the case.
3		because of the police interaction with him?	13	Just set it side until we're all back in here together later
1	A.	Can I tell you something?	14	this afternoon. Thank you.
5	Q.	No, you cannot. You can answer my question.	15	(At 1:08 p.m., jury exits courtroom)
5	A.	What do you want me to answer? Could you repeat that,	16	(At 1:08 p.m., break had)
7		please?	17	(At 2:25 p.m., jury resumes seats)
3	Q.		18	THE COURT: Be seated. Mr. Kuiper your next
9		guy the police were looking for?	19	witness, please.
)	A.	Yes.	20	MR. KUIPER: Thank you, your Honor. I would call
	Q.	That he wasn't he had not been charged with any offense?	21	Bryan Boone from the Grand Rapids Police Department.
2	A.	Yes.	22	THE COURT: State your full name, please.
3	2	He had no warrants out on him?	23	MR. BOONE: Bryan Boone.
ĠĽ.	Α.	I don't know if he had warrants out on him or not.	24	THE COURT: Raise your right hand.
4				THE COURT Raise your right fiding.

Case 1:16-cv-00343-JTN-ESC ECF No. 73 filed 01/17/17 PageID.457 Page 37 of 62

4			1	12	9.4 % mounts
1 2	A.	that he had a felony warrant, do you remember that clearly? Yes.	1 2	A. Q.	January 18th? I'm sorry, July 18th.
3	Q.	So there's no fuzz or haze in your memory pertaining to	3	A.	I was.
4		that?	4	Q.	I'm having problems with my Js here.
5	A.	I don't believe so, no. I remember him saying that.	5	ч.	Do you remember being dispatched to Tamarack and
6	Q.	Okay.	6		Leonard for a 1039, officer in distress?
7		MR. GREENE: Thank you.	7	Α.	I was.
8		REDIRECT EXAMINATION	8	Q.	Was that approximately 2:30 in the afternoon?
9	ву	MR. KUIPER:	9	Α.	It was.
10	Q.	Who do you remember saying that to?	10	Q.	When you arrived on-scene, did you make contact with someone
11	A.	One of the officers.	11	٠.	who was later transported to the hospital?
12	Q.	One of the guys in plain clothes, or one of the other	12	A.	I did.
13	-	officers?	13	Q.	
14	Α.	One of the other officers.	14	A.	Is that person a gentleman named James King? It is.
5	Q.	One of the others officers.	15	Q.	
16	Α.	A uniformed officer.	16	A.	Do you see him in court today? I do.
17	Q.	Did you see two uninformed officers out here earlier?	17		
18	A.	Yes.	18	Q.	Will you point him out and identify him for the jurors,
19	Q.	Was it either one of those two?	19	Α.	please? At the defense table.
20	A.	No, I don't believe so. I couldn't tell you. I don't	20	Q.	
21	۸.	remember. I couldn't even tell you who the guy who any	21	Q.	Can the record reflect that Officer Brower has identified
22		생길이 많이 내려왔다면서 아내는 아내를 하는 것 같아요?	22		the defendant, your Honor?
23		of the officers or the guy was. I couldn't tell you who	10.50	DV	THE COURT: Yes.
24		they are if I seen them again.	23		MR. KUIPER:
25		MR. KUIPER: All right. Thank you.	24	Q.	Officer Brower, while riding to get medical check-up for
23		MR. GREENE: Nothing further. 189	25		Mr. King, did he say anything to you, not in response to a 191
1	_	THE COURT: Thank you. You are excused.	1		question, but did he tell you anything about what happened,
2		(At 3:08 p.m., witness stepped down)	2		of his own volition?
3		THE COURT: State your full name, please.	3	A.	Yes, he did.
4		MR. BROWER: Glen Brower.	4	Q.	What did he say?
5		THE COURT: Do you solemnly swear or affirm that	5	Α.	He said, These guys said they were detectives
6		the testimony you're about to give in this matter will be	6	5.5	MR. GREENE: Objection, your Honor. May we
7		the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so	7		approach?
8		help you God?	8		THE COURT: Yes.
9		THE WITNESS: I do.	9		(At 3:09 p.m., sidebar had outside of reporter's
10		THE COURT: Please be seated.	10		
11			11		hearing)
12	calle	GLEN BROWER, and by the People at 3:08 p.m., sworn by the Court, testified:	12	DV.	THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Kuiper.
13	Calle		13	35	MR. KUIPER:
4	RV N	DIRECT EXAMINATION AR. KUIPER:	14	Q. A.	Please continue, Officer Brower.
15	Q.		15	A.	He said these guys approached, said they were detectives,
6	ч.	Officer Brower, will you spell your last name for the record, please?	16	Q.	and said they tried taking his wallet.
7	Α.	B-R-O-W-E-R.	17	u.	Did he indicate to you whether or not he thought he was
8	Q.	And where are you currently employed?	18	A.	being robbed?
9	A.	Grand Rapids Police Department.	19	Q.	He did, yes. He said he thought he was being robbed.
20	Q.	How long have you held a position there?	20	-	And did you ask whether or not they identified themselves?
21	A.	Twenty years.		A.	He did. He said, Yeah, they said they were detectives, but
22	Q.		21		anyone could say that. And then he made some reference t
-	A.	And what capacity are you employed?	22	_	Anyone can buy a badge.
13	-	I'm a West Side Patrol Officer.	23	Q.	Okay.
	0	Were you employed in that conside January 1944 - 1	24		
23	Q.	Were you employed in that capacity January 18th of last year?	24 25		MR. KUIPER: I don't have any well, can I just have a second, your Honor?

1		you agree with that, as well?	1	DOLICIAS PROMINISTASIA
2	A.	Sure.	2	
3	Q.	We all have made mistakes. I mean, we might be nice guys,	3	, and a second
4		but occasionally people get angry or make the wrong	4	
5		decisions. Would you agree with that general concept?	5	Direct Doublettion
6	A.	Sure.	6	
7		MR. KUIPER: Thank you.	7	71-31-71-31-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13
8		THE COURT: Mr. Greene.	8	
9		MR. GREENE: No further questions. Thank you very	9	
10		much.	10	
11		THE COURT: Thank you very much. You are excused.	111	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
12		THE WITNESS: Thank you.	12	이 그는 그는 가을 마음하는 살았다. 하나 이 사람들은 아이들은 아이들은 아이들은 아이들은 사람들이 되는 하는 사람들이 되었다.
13		(At 4:13 p.m., witness stepped down)	13	
14		MR. KUIPER: We call Doug Brownback.	14	The second secon
15		THE COURT: Just how long do you expect him to	15	
16		take?	16	
17		MR. KUIPER: Not as long as Detective Allen.	17	
18		THE COURT: I should out of courtesy to the	18	
19	a-	jurors, any of you have like a five o'clock obligation,	19	
20		speak up.	20	
21		JUROR SEAT # 12: Yes.	21	and the state of t
22		JUROR SEAT #10: I have daycare.	22	the state of the s
23		THE COURT: I don't know, maybe let's just go	0.00	
24		til 5:00. I think	23	
25			24	
23		MR. KUIPER: He'll be recalled as a rebuttal 217	25	A. At that point in time, we were conducting surveillance in a 219
1		witness one way or another.	1	
2		THE COURT: Any of you have any problems if we go	2	
3		right til 5:00?	3	Q. Did you work at all with Detective Allen to develop a
4		THE JURY: (Responds negatively)	4	profile of Mr. Davidson?
5		THE COURT: All right. We'll go til 5:00 and cut	5	A. Yes, I did.
6		it off and pick it up again in the morning.	6	Q. And what did you do to develop that profile?
7		MR. GREENE: May I address that issue, sir?	7	A. We talked to a number of individuals on the northwest sid
8		THE COURT: Pardon me?	8	of Grand Rapids. There were two clerks; one worked for
9		MR. GREENE: Well, if we're going to break up the	9	
10		direct and cross, I would ask the Court to consider keeping	10	ele '' - '' - '' - '' - '' - '' - '
11		them together, because it's going to be crucial that the	11	
12		cross they're able to correlate what is said on the cross	12	
13		with what's said on the direct.	13	
14		So I would just ask the Court to take that into	14	
15		consideration.	15	
16		THE COURT: We'll go ahead and hear the witness.	16	[10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10]
17		Step right over here, please.	17	
18		State your full name, please.	18	
19		MR. BROWNBACK: Douglas Brownback.	19	THE WITNESS: Yes, they did.
20		THE COURT: Do you solemnly swear or affirm that	20	BY MR. KUIPER:
21		the testimony you're about to give in this matter will be	21	Q. Okay. I'm going to show you what's already been marked as
22		the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so	22	People's Exhibits 1 and 2. Do you recognize what those
23		help you God?	23	documents are?
24		THE WITNESS: I do.	24	
25		THE COURT: Please be seated.	25	Q. Were those utilized in attempting to capture an image of
		THE COURT PRESS OF SCHOOL	20	There those builded in attempting to capture an image of

1	-	Mr. Douideau?	T.	-	
2	A.	Mr. Davidson? Yes, they were,	1 2	A.	Yes, we did. As the individual was approaching our
3	Q.				vehicle, we were able to watch him walking towards us. A
4	Q.	and a series of the business and the	3		that point in time we felt that, based on the description
5		you are aware of that you were able to utilize in	4		we had from the investigating detective, as well as the two
		ascertaining his physical identity?	5		witnesses that had seen him the day before, and the
6	Α.	No. These were the only two that I was aware of.	6		photographs that we had, bears a strong resemblance of
7	Q.	Okay. Did you attempt to locate any other photographic	7		Mr. Davidson.
8		depictions of Mr. Davidson?	8		So, at that point in time, both Detective Allen
9	A.	I did not.	9		and I exited our vehicle. I walked around the front of the
0	Q.	When you were in the vicinity of Alpine and Leonard, did you	10		vehicle from the passenger side, and Detective Allen exiter
1		see anyone near the intersection of Tamarack and Leonard	11		out of the driver's-side vehicle and approached Mister
2		that you thought matched the description?	12		who ended up being Mr. King.
3		MR. GREENE: Objection. It's leading. He's	13	Q.	When you exited the vehicle, where was the badge that you
4		leading.	14		were in possession of?
5		THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.	15	A.	As I exited. As I was walking around the front, I took it
6	BY	MR. KUIPER:	16		out from underneath my shirt and let it just hang - hang
7	Q.	Did you see anyone who matched the description of	17		at my chest.
8		Mr. Davidson?	18	Q.	And, was your firearm in plain view?
9	A.	Yes, I did. I saw a white male, approximately six foot	19	A.	No, it was not. That shirt, along the with the ballistic
0		tall, slim build, walking to the east on Leonard Street as	20		vest, is somewhat tight. At that point I don't believe I
1		Detective Allen and I were driving to the west on Leonard	21		had actually pulled it up and over my firearm at that point
2		Street. The individual was wearing blue jeans, had no	22		in time.
3		shirt on, had short hair and was wearing what looked like	23	Q.	Would you describe the contact with your initial contact
4		prescription eyeglasses.	24	-	with Mr. King?
5	Q.	And, did you take any measures, other than that first	25	A.	Yes, sir. As I was coming around, Detective Allen made
		221			223
1		observation of that individual, to determine whether or not	1		contact with Mr. King first. He asked that he step off the
2		he matched the description of Mr. Davidson?	2		sidewalk, identified himself as a detective with GRPD, or
3	A.	Yes, we did. We were traveling to the west, westbound.	3		the Grand Rapids Police Department.
4		Detective Allen was driving. He stopped, turned around and	4		As I was walking around, Detective Allen was
5		drove eastbound on Leonard Street, passing the individual	5		interacting with Mr. King. Mr. King complied and stepped
6		who was walking on the sidewalk.	6		over towards our vehicle. At that point in time,
7		At that point we pulled into a parking lot of a	7		Detective Allen told the individual that we were looking
8		church just off of Leonard Street. That would have been in	8		for someone and asked him what his name was.
9		the pathway of this individual as he was walking towards	9		Mr. King said, My name is James. At that point
0		the east.	10		in time, Detective Allen asked if what his last name
1		Then we parked in a parking spot fairly close to	11		was, and he just refused to answer. He just didn't say
2		the sidewalk there on Leonard Street.	12		anything, and he asked what this was about.
3	Q.	What type of attire did you have on?	13	Q.	Okay. Did you at any time give your credentials or identify
4	A.	At that point in time, I had I called them dungarees,	14	٠.	yourself to Mr. King?
5	~	but they're basically just kind of green cargo pants or	15	A.	
5		함께 어떻게 하지 않는데 하면 가장 하는데 하고 있는데 하는데 하다니다	16	۸.	I did identify myself. I didn't pull out my FBI
		green jeans. I had my ballistic vest, bulletproof vest on.			credentials. At this point we were under the assumption
7		On top of that, I had a black Under Armour-style material	17		that we were talking with somebody who we believed was
8		shirt, as well as my duty-belt weapon; two extra magazines;	18		violent felon, and so I didn't want to have one of my hands
9	_	pair of handcuffs.	19		not free by pulling out my credentials.
	Q.	Did you have a badge at all?	20		But when he asked what this was about, I said,
1	A.	Yes, I did. I had my FBI-issued badge hanging around my	21		you know, I'm with the FBI, and we're looking for an
		neck. At that point in time it was underneath my black	22		individual. We need to talk to you.
		shirt, tucked in.	23	Q.	At any point did you request that he produce identification?
3	1		1.5		
2 3 4 5	Q.	Did you ultimately make contact with this person that was	24 25	A.	Yes. I asked him if he had any identification, and he

1 At that particular time, did you and Detective Allen decide 1 Q. What happened after -- let me ask you this. Where were you 2 to detain him to ascertain his identity? 2 standing in relation to Detective Allen and the defendant? 3 Yes, we did. 3 Detective Allen was on the right-hand side of the Would you describe for the jurors how that went down? Q. 4 defendant. I was on the left-hand side. The defendant was 5 Umm, Detective Allen asked if Mr. King had any weapons on 5 in between myself and our task force vehicle, approximately 6 him. Mr. King said, Yes, he did. 6 at the driver's door or possibly the driver-side rear 7 At that point in time Detective Allen told 7 passenger door of the vehicle. 8 Mr. King to put his hands on his head because he needed to Q. Where were his hands? 9 pat him down to check to see what this weapon was. 9 At that point he had put them back up on his head as 10 Mr. King complied. He put his hands on his head, 10 Detective Allen had told him to do. 11 11 and at this point he asked, again, what this was about. I Q. What happened after that? 12 told him, We're looking for someone. We're trying to 12 Umm, at that point in time Detective Allen continued to pat 13 identify a wanted felon, for lack of a better term. We 13 him down to see if there were any other weapons on him. 14 asked if he had any identification, and he said, No, and 14 At that point in time, he, Detective Allen, felt 15 then Detective Allen proceeded to pat him down. 15 the back side of his pants, felt a wallet, or what he 16 Mr. King had a cell phone in his hand. If I 16 thought was a wallet; removed that item from his pants 17 recall, he had earbuds like in his ears that he took out 17 pocket. As he was holding it, he asked, Is this your 18 when he first -- when we first approached him and asked him 18 wallet. Yes, it is. And he said, So, you don't have any 19 19 to step off the sidewalk. So, he had a cell phone and his identification in this wallet? 20 20 earbuds in his hands. And at that point in time, I felt that Mr. King 21 21 What did he do with the cell phone and earbuds? was giving us -- basically not giving us his full name. I 22 Detective Allen, I believe, asked to basically hold those 22 felt like he did have identification in that wallet and 23 23 that identification would be that of Mr. Aaron Davidson, two things, those two items. Mr. King complied. 24 Detective Allen handed those to me so that he could conduct 24 our fugitive that we were looking for. 25 25 the pat-down to try and determine what this weapon was. At that point in time, I felt that I needed to 1 Q. At any point before the weapon was discovered, did the handcuff him to restrain him so that we could ascertain his 2 2 defendant identify what type of weapon it was? identification, if he was actually Mr. Davidson. 3 A. I'm sorry, could you ask that again? Q. What did you do in that regard? 4 4 Q. A. I reached with my left hand up towards Mr. King's left Did the defendant say what type of weapon he had before it 5 5 was located? hand; with my right hand, I reached back to pull out my 6 No, he did not. handcuffs. Did you see whether or not Detective Allen located any Q. What happened? 8 8 A. I reached for his left hand and, as I grabbed it, or as I 9 9 grabbed for it, he spun around towards me. And then at Yes, he did. He located a small -- or I say small, but a 10 10 folding pocketknife that was clipped inside, I believe, his that point in time I saw his hand come towards me, and I 11 11 front pant pocket. took a step back. His left hand came towards - came back 12 and towards me. I took a step back, and from there it Q. Okay. And what happened after that? 13 13 Umm, Detective Allen pulled a knife out of his front pant became an altercation or a fight between Detective Allen, A. 14 14 pocket. At this point in time, Mr. King brought his hands myself, and Mr. King. 15 15 down towards his waist. Q. So, he took a swing at you? 16 16 Detective Allen told him to put his hands back up A. That's what I felt like he was doing. Yes. 17 17 on his head, which he did. He complied. Detective Allen Did he connect with you at all? 18 asked if this is the knife in question, if this is the 18 A. No, I don't believe he did at that point in time. 19 weapon that he had asked him about, and Mr. King said, Yes. 19 Q. Okay. In as much detail as you can, describe what happened 20 Detective Allen handed me the knife. So, at that 20 after he -- he attempted to hit you. 21 21 point in time I was holding both the cell phone and the A. At that point in time, things moved rapidly. We quickly 22 knife that he had taken off of his person. 22 went to the ground. I was focused on Mr. King 's hands, 23 23 Were they actually in your hands, the knife and the cell because at that point in time, you know, our training is 24 24 phone? that, you know, a person's hands, when we're dealing with a 25 Yes, they were. 25 A. subject in that way, that person's hands are - are the 226 228

	The second secon			
1	danger point. So, I needed to focus on those. My focus	1		if this if this is this wanted fugitive that we're
2	was trying to get handcuffs on him.	2		trying to arrest, and he does have other friends around,
3	Once we were on the ground, I was at his mid-	3		there's only two of us, we're having trouble getting
4	section. Mr. King wasn't wearing a shirt, and it was	4		control of this individual. If more show up, we were going
5	pretty hot in the middle of July. Things became pretty	5		to be we're going to be in a fair amount of trouble.
6	sweaty pretty quick, between all three of us.	6	Q.	All right. Did you ask any of the bystanders for help?
7	I remember at some point, and I don't recall	7	A.	Yes, we did. I asked that they call the police. I recall
8	exactly when, but I remember Detective Allen saying	8		both Detective Allen and I telling bystanders to call the
9	something about getting bit, or Stop biting me, something	9		police, and then Mr. King telling the same bystanders to
0	to that effect.	10		call the police.
1	During this time, I had handcuffs in my hands,	11		I do remember there was, I think, a couple of
2	trying to get them onto the defendant and excuse me, the	12		people in a van, and it just I think it was pretty
3	defendant, Mr. King. At that point, I don't recall exactly	13		confusing for them because three men were fighting on the
4	how it went, but I was focused on trying to basically get	14		ground, and all three of them were telling them to call the
5	both hands behind his back so I could put the handcuffs on	15		police. So
5	the individual.	16	Q.	Did anyone assist you and Detective Allen?
7 0	At any point were you yelling any commands to the defendant?	17	A.	Yes. Eventually, I would say four, five minutes into the
3 A	A. I gave him multiple commands to put his hands behind his	18	-	fight, an individual came up. At that point in time, I
3	back. At one point I got one of the handcuffs on what I	19		remember Detective Allen saying something about his radio
0	believe was his left hand behind his back, but I was never	20		
1	able to get his right hand behind him.	21		And then I asked that individual to help me — or he asked
2	At certain points I'd lose control of that left	22		me if I needed help, and I said, Yes.
3		1		And then he helped hold Mr. King's legs down. At
1	hand that I had a handcuff on. And so basically he had	23		that point in time, I didn't have to worry about his legs
	his left hand was handcuffed, but he had it free and was	24		anymore. I could get control of the one hand that had the
5	able to continue to fight with that hand, as well.	25		handcuff, and then basically pry his other arm out from
	229		_	231
Q	2001. U.S. (1901.)	1		underneath of him and to his back to get the handcuffs on.
	L. I believe so. I can't remember, I can't really recall	2	Q.	After you got the handcuffs on, what did you do?
	exactly if I was punched or kicked. The fight was it	3	A.	At that point in time, very shortly thereafter, I heard the
	was it was a fight that we were trying to gain control	4		sirens of the Grand Rapids Police Department officers
5	over him, so I'm not exactly sure what I was getting hit by	5		coming for assistance. I believe Mr. King was on his
5	or with, but I just I recall trying to get ahold of	6		stomach, and then I just kind of either sat or knelt on his
	his — of his hands and his arms.	7		mid-section to hold him down. My concern was that he cou
Q	2. You recall telling him to stop resisting and?	8		get up and get away.
) A	. I did. I told him to stop to stop resisting. I told	9		At that point in time I was pretty well
)	him to put his hands behind his back. I remember at	10		exhausted, and I'm sure Detective Allen was, as well.
	different points during the fight him being on his stomach,	11		Mr. King seemed like he was physically exhausted
2	and I'd have control of one arm, and then at other times I	12		as well. But my concern was him trying to get away or
3	could see his face and he was, I would say foaming at the	13		trying to fight again, even with handcuffs on.
	mouth and spitting and basically kind of growling.	14	Q.	Did you receive any medical treatment after this
5	I don't know if that was in an effort if he	15		altercation?
5	was just struggling or if he — I don't know what exactly	16	A.	Yes, I did. I drove the Task Force vehicle down to the
	he was doing at that point in time, but I just recall	17		hospital. I stayed with Detective Allen until he got
	seeing his face and seeing that coming out of him.	18		treated.
Q		19		At that point in time my boss showed up, my
A.		20		supervisor with the FBI. He directed me to get medical
^.	one point I recall Mr. King saying that we didn't want to	21		
	- [2] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1	22		treatment at the hospital. I had some minor scrapes on my
	do this, that he had like two or three friends that were in	1000		hands, a little bit of — like my chin was a little bit
	the area, or he had buddies around, that we didn't want to	23		sore and had some scrapes.
	do this.	24		
5	At that point in time, my concern was, you know,	25		So, they treated those minor injuries, gave me 232

			236 o		
		234			236
5	A.	Yes. It's a black newer model Ford Explorer.	25		Mr. King?
4		SUV?	24	Q.	And are you telling this jury that that person looks like
3		along the sidewalk, you were driving just a regular car,	23	A.	Yes, that's correct.
2	Q.	Okay. He when you pulled up and you stopped next	22	Q.	Now, that's a photo of Mr. Aaron Davidson from 2007?
1	A.	That's correct.	21	A.	Yes, that's correct.
0	Q.	So the waistband of his pants were readily available?	20	w .	Okay. You were in possession of that. That's what's seen here on Exhibit 1, in front of it?
9	A.	That is also correct.	19	Q.	Okay You were in possession of that. That's what's soon
8	Q.	He was not wearing a shirt?	18	۸.	[1일] [1] - 1일 [1] - [1일 [1] - 1일 [1] - 1
7	A.	That's correct.	17	A.	been involved in the photos on those sheets? I had one. I I was in possession of one. I didn't make
6	٠.	headphones on and his cell phone in his hand?	16		showing Aaron Davidson, the sheets, the rap sheets? You've
5	Q.	He was just basically walking down Leonard with his	15	w.	나 그리다 집에 되었다면 맛이 되었다면 하는데 하고 있다면 하다.
4	A.	No, he was not.	14	Q.	Yes. That's correct. And you had been involved in the make-ups of the photos.
3	Q.	He was not committing any civil infractions?	13	A.	Now, you were looking for an Aaron Davidson?
2	A.	No, he was not.	12	Q.	
1	Q.	He was not committing any misdemeanors?	11	A.	That's correct.
0	A.	No, he was not.	10	٠.	and to move closer to the vehicle?
9	Q.	And he was not committing any felonies?	9	0	Okay. And Detective Allen had him to get off the sidewalk
8	A	Yes, he was.	8	Δ.	as I was walking around the vehicle, yes.
7		Leonard?	7	-3	Had first contact?
6	Q.	Okay. When you observed Mr. King, he was walking down	6	Α.	Detective Allen is the person who approached him, is that Detective Allen had the first contact with him
5	A.	Yes, you are.	5	Q.	And, if I understood your testimony correctly,
4	w.	Special Agent Brownback, am I saying your name correctly, sir?	4	Α.	Yes, that's correct.
3	Q.		3		Mr. King?
2	BY	MR. GREENE:	2	Q.	Okay. So, you got out of your SUV and you approached
1	_	CROSS-EXAMINATION	1	0	235
		233	25		wear on top of your clothing.
5		THE COURT: Mr. Greene.	24		like a, not a ballistic vest, but like a vest you would
4		I don't have anymore questions. Thank you.	23		believe Detective Allen was also wearing a black over
3			22	A.	I was. We were both wearing a ballistic vests, yes. 1
22		MR. KUIPER: Can just a few seconds, your Honor? (Confers with detective).	21		vests?
21			20	Q.	Okay. Were you and Detective Allen wearing the same type of
20		short interaction, and then they go on their way and we go about our business.	19	^	firearm concealed unless we need it to not be concealed.
9		지수를 다시하다면 하고 있었다. 그리고 얼마나 얼마나 되는 사람이 들어난다고 없었다. 살을 하는 것은 이름	18	A.	Yes. We're required — FBI agents are required to have ou
8		know them or have seen them. And it's usually a fairly	1		it because of the vest?
17		of the person that we're looking for and ask them if they	17		that vest would have obscured your weapon; you wouldn't see
16		thank them and and then usually we'll show them a flier	16	Q.	Okay. And you very candidly acknowledged to the jury that
15		has I.D., and it's not the person we're looking for, we'll	14	A.	I had a vest on underneath my black shirt. Yes.
14		ask a person what his name is. If he says what his full name is, we'll ask him if he has any identification. If he	13	Q.	Okay. And you said you had a vest on?
3		A lot of times in that sort of situation, we'll	12	A.	Yes.
12			11	Q.	Okay. And some type of shirt?
11		individual. We — we stop and talk with people quite often.	10	A.	Yes, that's correct.
10	٨.		9	Q.	She's recording, so you have to respond.
9	A.	was not Aaron Davidson, what would have happened? It would have been a very short interaction with the	8	Α.	Yes, that's correct.
8	Œ.	Had he produced identification to you, indicating that he	7	Q.	Is that
6	A. Q.	No, he did not.	6	A.	Uh-huh, yes.
5	Q.	Mr. King did not have a warrant for his arrest at that time?	5	Q.	You were wearing basically dungarees, you call them?
4	A.	No. I'm sorry?	4	A.	Yes. We were wearing street clothes.
3	Q.	And, as it turns out, Mr. King did not have a warrant?	3	Q.	So you all were also very plainly dressed?
		the hospital.	2	A.	That's correct.
2					

1	A.	I'm saying that that seven-year-old photo was the only	1		Mr. Davidson, isn't that correct?
2		face-shot photo that we had of Mr. King or of	2	A.	That is correct. Yes.
3		Mr. Davidson, I should say.	3	Q.	You had anything from 5'10 to 6'3?
4	Q.	So, you didn't know how Mr. Davidson looked in 2014?	4	A.	That's correct.
5	A.	That was the only Face photo that I had of Mr. Davidson.	5	Q.	That's a very wide range, isn't it?
6	Q.	Special Agent Brownback, my question is: You did not know	6	A.	Yes, it is.
7		how Mr. Davidson looked in 2014?	7	Q.	Okay. So, again, any number of individuals walking down the
8	A.	No, I did not.	8		street could fall within that wide range, could they not?
9	Q.	So, when you see Mr. King walking down the street, you can't	9	A.	That's correct.
10	ы	say he looked like Mr. Davidson, can you?	10	Q.	Okay. Yet you decided, along the with Detective Allen, to
11	A.	Well, as a matter of fact, we showed that particular	11		stop Mr. King?
12		photograph to the two clerks the day before, and they used	12	A.	Yes, that's correct.
13		that photograph to	13	Q.	Now you also very candidly said that your badge was inside
14	Q.	I'm not asking you to	14		your shirt as you got outside the vehicle, correct?
15		MR. GREENE: I'm going to object. I'm not asking	15	A.	Yes, that's correct.
16		for hearsay, so you cannot respond with hearsay to my	16	Q.	And you then took it outside the shirt?
17		question.	17	A.	Yes.
18		Your Honor, I believe my objection would be	18	Q.	You didn't walk up and show this badge to Mr. King, did you?
19		non-responsive and also violative of the court rules for	19	A.	No. I let it hang about chest height on my person.
20		hearsay, okay.	20	Q.	Just you just okay.
21		THE COURT: Just just answer Mr. Greene's	21		And Mr. Allen, who was with Detective Allen,
22		questions directly.	22		who was with you, told Mr. King to place his hands on top of
23		MR. GREENE: Okay.	23		his head, correct?
24		THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.	24	A.	That's correct.
25		MR. GREENE: So.	25	Q.	Now, what kind of stop are you doing at this point?
		237			239
1		MR. KUIPER: Can you repeat the question,	1	A.	I'm not sure I understand the question.
2		Mr. Greene?	2	Q.	Well, you're an agent with the FBI?
3		MR. GREENE:	3	A.	That's correct.
4	Q.	You did not know we've already established that you did	4		MR. KUIPER: Objection, your Honor. Again, this
5		not know how Mr. Davidson looked in 2014.	5		is a legal question for the type of stop. I believe it's
6	A.	No.	6		outside of the scope of knowledge of both Special Agent
7		Okay. You also had another photo of Mr. Davidson, correct?	7		Brownback, as I did I believe it was outside of scope of
8	A.	Correct.	8		knowledge of Detective Allen.
9	Q.	And that's what's in Exhibit 2?	9		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, that would be the most
10	A.	That's correct.	10		absurd thing. He's an agent who has to conduct stops within
11	Q.	Okay. And it's very hard to make out any facial features	11		the law. He has to know what the stops are.
12		there of that photo, is it not?	12		THE COURT: Mr. Kuiper, anything further on that?
13	A.	Yes, that's correct. It's mainly showing the top of his	13		MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, it's your job to decide
14		head, his nose, and a pair of glasses.	14		what the law is in this particular case. It's not
15	Q.	And you cannot say that that photo looked like Mr. King, can	15		Special Agent Brownback's duty to know the name of the case
16		you?	16		that applies to that type of stop. He indicated with his
17	A.	I can say that the hair was closely cropped, similar to	17		answer that he does not know what Mr. Greene is referring
18		Mr. King's.	18		to, nor is it within his scope of knowledge.
19	Q.	Okay. So, you think the hair was similar, and that's	19		MR. GREENE: May I, your Honor?
	A.	I believe the hair is similar to Mr. King's. Yes.	20		THE COURT: Well, rephrase. I mean, certainly the
		Okay. As far as facial features, you can't say the facial	21		officer is entitled to testify as to what he thought he was
20	Q.	0.007, 10.00.00.000, 10.000, 70.000, 70.000, 70.000,			doing
20 21	Q.	features were even similar, can you?	22		oonig
20 21 22 23	Q. A.	features were even similar, can you?	22 23		MR. GREENE: Thank you, your Honor.
20 21 22		features were even similar, can you?	200		

1	BY I	MR. GREENE:	1	A.	No.
2	Q.	Special Agent Brownback, at this point in your contact with	2	Q.	Were there? Are you saying
3		Mr. King, were you not conducting a Terry stop?	3	A.	No. We didn't find any other additional weapons outside of
4	A.	My understanding of a Terry stop is that you suspect	4		that folding pocketknife.
5		someone of committing a crime or may have very recently	5	Q.	Okay. At that point, did not Detective Allen reach into his
6		committed a crime. And, no, we didn't think that he had	6		back pocket and remove his wallet?
7		just committed a crime as he was walking down the street.	7	A.	I believe he felt his wallet and felt a bulge, and then he
8		I thought, as we stopped, that who turned out to	8		reached in to ascertain what that bulge was, and he pulled
9		be Mr. King was a wanted fugitive that we had valid State	9		out a wallet.
0		of Michigan arrest warrant for.	10	Q.	He took the wallet out of his pocket, isn't that correct,
1	Q.	Well, you had not established that, had you? You had not	11		Special Agent Brownback?
2		established that this individual that you're stopping, you	12	A.	Yes, that's correct.
3		had a valid arrest warrant for, did you?	13	Q.	He knew it wasn't a weapon, because he had completed his
4	A.	I believe that he bore a semblance to that individual and	14		check for weapons, isn't that correct?
5		that I wanted to talk to him to see if he had	15	A.	That was part of the check for weapons. Once you find on
6		identification on, because I had reason to believe that he	16		weapon, you have to assume there is a second, possibly a
7		was the individual that we were looking for.	17		third weapon. You can't just stop once you find one
8	Q.	You were speculating that he was the individual, isn't that	18		weapon. You have to keep patting him down until you've
9		correct, Special Agent Brownback?	19		done a thorough check to see if he has any weapons.
0	A.	I was basing my decision to do this based off of witnesses	20	Q.	Well, sir, I was going in sequence. Maybe I need to back
1		that I had interviewed the day and two days prior, as well	21		up.
2		as the physical description that they gave me of that	22		He had completed the pat-down for weapons before
3		individual and the physical description of the individual I	23		he removed the wallet, isn't that correct?
4		saw walking down the street.	24	A.	No, that's not correct.
25	Q.	You were wrong, weren't you?	25	Q.	
		241			243
1	A.	Yes, I was wrong.	1		not a weapon.
2	Q.	Okay. And at that time that you asked Mr. King to put his	2	A.	I can't tell you what Detective Allen knew or did not know
3		hands on top of his head, or Detective Allen, you were doing	3	Q.	Did you write a report?
4		that to check him for weapons?	4	A.	Yes, I did.
5	A.	Yes. That's correct.	5	Q.	And as an FBI agent, are you required to be specific and
6	Q.	And he, Mr. King, had responded to your questions about	6		accurate in your reports?
7		having weapons in the affirmative; that he had a knife on	7	A.	I believe so, yes.
8		him, isn't that correct?	8	Q.	And these reports are generated to help people in other
9	A.	No, he said he had a weapon.	9		positions to make legal decisions?
0	Q.	Okay. And that's information that he freely and readily	10	A.	Yes, they are.
1		provided to you	11	Q.	So they're very important?
2	A.	Yes, that's correct.	12	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	upon your question.	13	Q.	So you wrote a report in this case?
4		So you're now patting him down for a weapon, isn't	14	A.	Yes, I did.
5		that correct? That's what Detective Allen is doing?	15	Q.	I want to hand you your report on Page 2 of Page 3, first
6	A.	That's correct.	16		paragraph, and ask you to read that to yourself. The type
7	Q.	And Detective Allen immediately located the knife in his	17		is a little small.
8		pocket and removed it?	18	A.	The underlined portion?
9	A.	That's correct.	19	Q.	To yourself. Yes.
0	Q.	And then Detective Allen further continued to pat him down?	20	A.	(Complies)
1	A.	Yes, that's correct.	21	Q.	Okay.
2	Q.	And there were no weapons found at that point on Mr. King,	22	A.	Okay.
3		isn't that correct?	23	Q.	So, in your report you make it very clear that
4	A.	No, we didn't	24	17	Detective Allen had felt the back pocket of Mr. King and
2.1	Q.	No additional weapons?	25		knew that it was a wallet, and that he removed the wallet
5					

	from Mr. King's back pocket, is that correct?	1	A.	That's correct.
A.	I don't believe I wrote that he knew that it was a wallet.	2	Q.	Okay. So what was removed was a wallet, isn't that correct?
	I believe I wrote that he felt it, and that it was a	3	A.	That's correct.
	wallet.	4	Q.	And it was not that weapon?
Q.	Well, when you wrote that, He felt the back of his pocket	5	A.	Yes. That's correct.
	and located a wallet, you're saying exactly what it is,	6	Q.	Okay. But the authority you had well, let me get away
	correct?	7		from authority. What you would be permitted to remove would
A.	Well, he knew what it was once he pulled it out of his	8		have been a weapon, isn't that correct?
	pocket.	9	A.	I believe that I would have the authority to remove an ite
Q.	Well	10		that I didn't know what it was, while I was checking for
A.	With that knowledge, I wrote my report. So, yes.	11		weapons.
Q.	So Mister Detective Allen removed the wallet from	12		I don't believe I'd have the authority to go
	Mr. King's back pocket and began to question him pertaining	13		through the wallet, which we didn't. But I believe I'd
	to the wallet?	14		have the authority to remove an item from a man's pocket
A.	That's correct.	15		while I'm checking for weapons, if I don't know for certain
Q.	And, at this point Mr. King was still standing there with	16		what that item is.
	his hands on top of his head, is that correct?	17	Q.	You would have to suspect that the item was a weapon,
A.	That's correct.	18		wouldn't you?
Q.	Okay. So, now you, Mister Detective Allen I shouldn't	19		MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
	say you, but Detective Allen has Mr. King's wallet in his	20		We're getting far the reason they had to detain the
	hand while Mr. King is standing there with his hands on top	21		defendant was to ascertain his identity. They didn't get to
	of his head?	22		do a complete search. They didn't get to search down his
A.	That's correct.	23		legs or anything like that because he started fighting with
Q.	Thank you.	24		him.
		25		
	245			247
	Now, there was no legal authority to take that	1		I guess I mean, the questions are excuse me,
	wallet out of his pocket, was there?	2		Mr. Greene — absurd. Of course, they have a right to do a
A.	Umm	3		complete pat-down to make sure he's not armed any further
	MR. KUIPER: I'm going to object, your Honor.	4		than the weapon he they already found.
	That's a determination for a legal determination for the	5		THE COURT: Let's move on. I think he said he
	Judge.	6		didn't know if it was a weapon.
	THE COURT: Let's leave the word legal out of it,	7		MR. GREENE: Am I able to respond to the
	and just what basis was there for removing it. What the	8		objection, sir?
	rationale was, what the reasoning was.	9		THE COURT: I'm just saying, let's move on.
	MR. GREENE: What the Judge asked,	10		MR. GREENE: I mean, he's called me absurd. I
BY	IR. GREENE:	11		would like to respond.
Q.	What's the basis for removing it?	12		THE COURT: Well, that's argument.
A.	We don't know what a bulge is in a pocket until you remove	13		MR. KUIPER: Sorry.
	what the item is from the pocket. So, I can or	14		THE COURT: I think I've already instructed the
	Detective Allen can feel a bulge in the back pocket of	15		jury before and will again. What the attorneys say is not
	somebody, and we can think it's a wallet. But until we	16		evidence. It's the heat of battle. So, go ahead,
	pull it out, we don't know exactly what that is,	17		Mr. Greene.
Q.	Are you telling this jury that you thought that wallet was a	18		MR. GREENE: May we approach?
	weapon when you when it was removed?	19		THE COURT: Yes.
A.	I'm saying that we don't know what it is until you remove	20		(At 4:55 p.m. sidebar had outside of reporter's
	it.	21		hearing)
Q.	Well, the only thing you are looking for in a pat-down is	22		THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'll excuse you
		10.00		
	weapons, isn't that correct?	23		now until tomorrow morning at 8:30.
A.	weapons, isn't that correct? That's correct.	24		Again, please do not discuss the case in any way
	Q. A. Q. A.Q. A.Q. A.Q. A.Q. A.Q. A.Q.	A. I don't believe I wrote that he knew that it was a wallet. I believe I wrote that he felt it, and that it was a wallet. Q. Well, when you wrote that, He felt the back of his pocket and located a wallet, you're saying exactly what it is, correct? A. Well, he knew what it was once he pulled it out of his pocket. Q. Well A. With that knowledge, I wrote my report. So, yes. Q. So Mister Detective Allen removed the wallet from Mr. King's back pocket and began to question him pertaining to the wallet? A. That's correct. Q. And, at this point Mr. King was still standing there with his hands on top of his head, is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So, now you, Mister Detective Allen I shouldn't say you, but Detective Allen has Mr. King's wallet in his hand while Mr. King is standing there with his hands on top of his head? A. That's correct. Q. Thank you. 245 Now, there was no legal authority to take that wallet out of his pocket, was there? A. Umm MR. KUIPER: I'm going to object, your Honor. That's a determination for a legal determination for the Judge. THE COURT: Let's leave the word legal out of it, and just what basis was there for removing it. What the rationale was, what the reasoning was. MR. GREENE: What the Judge asked. BY MR. GREENE: Q. What's the basis for removing it? A. We don't know what a bulge is in a pocket until you remove what the item is from the pocket. So, I can or Detective Allen can feel a bulge in the back pocket of somebody, and we can think it's a wallet. But until we pull it out, we don't know exactly what that is. Q. Are you telling this jury that you thought that wallet was a weapon when you when it was removed? A. I'm saying that we don't know what it is until you remove	A. I don't believe I wrote that he knew that it was a wallet. I believe I wrote that he felt it, and that it was a wallet. Q. Well, when you wrote that, He felt the back of his pocket and located a wallet, you're saying exactly what it is, correct? A. Well, he knew what it was once he pulled it out of his pocket. Q. Well A. With that knowledge, I wrote my report. So, yes. Q. So Mister Detective Allen removed the wallet from Mr. King's back pocket and began to question him pertaining to the wallet? A. That's correct. Q. And, at this point Mr. King was still standing there with his hands on top of his head, is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So, now you, Mister Detective Allen I shouldn't say you, but Detective Allen has Mr. King's wallet in his hand while Mr. King is standing there with his hands on top of his head? A. That's correct. Q. That's correct. Q. That's correct. Q. Thank you. 245 Now, there was no legal authority to take that wallet out of his pocket, was there? A. Umm MR. KUIPER: I'm going to object, your Honor. That's a determination for a legal determination for the Judge. THE COURT: Let's leave the word legal out of it, and just what basis was there for removing it. What the rationale was, what the reasoning was. MR. GREENE: What the Judge asked. BY MR. GREENE: Q. What's the basis for removing it? A. We don't know what a bulge is in a pocket until you remove what the item is from the pocket. So, I can or Detective Allen can feel a bulge in the back pocket of somebody, and we can think it's a wallet. But until we pull it out, we don't know exactly what that is. Q. Are you telling this jury that you thought that wallet was a weapon when you when it was removed? A. I'm saying that we don't know what it is until you remove.	A. I don't believe I wrote that he knew that it was a wallet. I believe I wrote that he felt it, and that it was a wallet. Q. Well, when you wrote that, He felt the back of his pocket and located a wallet, you're saying exactly what it is, correct? A. Well, he knew what it was once he pulled it out of his pocket. Q. Well A. With that knowledge, I wrote my report. So, yes. Q. So Mister Detective Allen removed the wallet from Mr. King's back pocket and began to question him pertaining to the wallet? A. That's correct. Q. And, at this point Mr. King was still standing there with his hands on top of his head, is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. So, now you, Mister Detective Allen I shouldn't say you, but Detective Allen has Mr. King's wallet in his hand while Mr. King is standing there with his hands on top of his head? A. That's correct. Q. Thank you. 245 Now, there was no legal authority to take that wallet out of his pocket, was there? A. Umm MR. KUIPER: I'm going to object, your Honor. That's a determination for a legal determination for the Judge. THE COURT: Let's leave the word legal out of it, and just what basis was there for removing it. What the rationale was, what the reasoning was. MR. GREENE: What the Judge asked. BY MR. GREENE: What the back pocket of somebody, and we can think it's a wallet. But until we pull it out, we don't know waactly what that is. Q. Are you telling this jury that you thought that wallet was a weapon when you when it was removed? A. I'm saying that we don't know what it is until you remove

			1		Grand Rapids, Michigan
	STATE OF MICHIGAN		2		Wednesday, February 25, 2015
SEVENTEEN	TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT CO	OURT (KENT COUNTY)	3		(At 8:51 a.m Court in session)
			4		
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN			5		***
-v-	Ca	ase No. 14-06945-FK	6		
JAMES LEE KING			7		(At 8:51 a.m., jury resumes seats)
and constitution	Defendant.		8		THE COURT: Be seated. Good morning.
			9		THE JURY: Good morning.
	JURY TRIAL - VOLUME	3 OF 3	10		THE COURT: Mr. Kuiper, we will continue with your
BEFORE THE Grand Rapids	HOMORABLE GEORGE S. B , Michigan - Wednesday	UTH, CIRCUIT JUDGE	11		witness, Officer Brownback.
APPEARANCES:		2 2 32 (60)	12		You may be seated. You're still under oath.
For the People:	HR. JOSHUA KUIPER Assistant Prosecu		13		I think we were with you, weren't we, Mr. Greene.
	82 Ionia Avenue, Grand Rapids, HI	NW, Suite 450	14		MR. GREENE: We were, your Honor.
	616-632-6710		15		THE COURT: All right.
For the Defendant:	MR. ANTHONY C. GR	EENE P49303	16		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
	Anthony C. Greene 29 Pearl St NW St	e 231	17	BY	MR. GREENE:
	Grand Rapids, HI 616-222-8000	49303-3018	18	Q.	Special Agent Brownback, good morning.
			19	A	Good morning.
Reported by:	Leslie Rydahl, CS Official Court Re	porter	20	Q.	From the time Mr. King was stopped, he was detained, isn't
	Kent County Court 180 Ottawa Avenue 616.632.5021	, NW, Suite 12200	21		that correct?
	020.032.3022		22	A.	Yes, that's correct.
			23	Q.	He was not free to leave?
			24	A.	No, he was not.
	1		25	Q.	So, he was basically, at that point, in you all's custody.
TABLE	OF CONTENTS PAGE		1		And, when I say you all, you, as Special Agent Brownback,
OUGLAS BROWNBAC	к		2		and Detective Allen's, custody?
ECROSS-EXAMINATI	ON BY MR. GREEN	E (CONT) 3	3	A	He was not in custody until I had handcuffs on him behind
EDIRECT EXAMINAT: ECROSS-EXAMINATI	ON BY MR. GREEN	E 14	4		his back.
EDIRECT EXAMINAT: ECROSS-EXAMINATI			5	Q.	Okay. Now, have you studied strike that. You're not
ROSECUTION RESTS		22	6		required to have carry an I.D. in Michigan, are you?
IRECTED VERDICT		22	7	A.	I'm not familiar with the Michigan, State of Michigan laws
ITNESSES - DEFENS	E:		8		as far as carrying I.D.s or not.
MES KING			9	Q.	You are on the streets detaining people, asking for I.D.,
IRECT EXAMINATION ROSS-EXAMINATION		45 60	10		and you don't know what the laws of the State of Michigan
			11		are? Is that what you're telling us?
EDIRECT EXAMINAT		75	12	A.	I'm stating that I'm not familiar with if there is a law or
EFENSE RESTS	S - PEOPLE:		13		if there is not a law, as far as whether or not you're
EFENSE RESTS EBUTTAL WITNESSE:	S - PEOPLE:		1000		if there is not a law, as far as whether or not you're supposed to carry an I.D. with you.
EFENSE RESTS EBUTTAL WITNESSE: LEN BROWER IRECT EXAMINATION	N BY MR. KUIPER	76 78	13	Q.	
EFENSE RESTS EBUTTAL WITNESSE: LEN BROWER IRECT EXAMINATION ROSS-EXAMINATION	N BY MR. KUIPER		13 14		supposed to carry an I.D. with you.
EFENSE RESTS EBUTTAL WITNESSE: LEN BROWER IRECT EXAMINATION ROSS-EXAMINATION DDD ALLEN IRECT EXAMINATION	N BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. GREENE BY MR. KUIPER		13 14 15		supposed to carry an I.D. with you. And I'm asking you, then, you don't think that's required
EFENSE RESTS EBUTTAL WITNESSE: LEN BROWER IRECT EXAMINATION ROSS-EXAMINATION ODD ALLEN IRECT EXAMINATION ROSS-EXAMINATION	N BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. GREENE I BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. GREENE	78 80 82	13 14 15 16		supposed to carry an I.D. with you. And I'm asking you, then, you don't think that's required for you to be able to perform your duty as an agent working
EFENSE RESTS EBUTTAL WITNESSE: LEN BROWER IRECT EXAMINATION DODD ALLEN IRECT EXAMINATION ROSS-EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION	BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. GREENE BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. GREENE ON BY MR. KUIPE ON BY MR. GREEN	78 80 82 R 83 E 83	13 14 15 16 17		supposed to carry an I.D. with you. And I'm asking you, then, you don't think that's required for you to be able to perform your duty as an agent working on the streets of Michigan?
EFENSE RESTS EBUTTAL WITNESSE: LEN BROWER IRECT EXAMINATION ODD ALLEN IRECT EXAMINATION ROSS-EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION	BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. GREENE BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. GREENE ON BY MR. KUIPE ON BY MR. GREENI ON BY MR. KUIPE	80 82 R 83 E 83 R 85	13 14 15 16 17 18		supposed to carry an I.D. with you. And I'm asking you, then, you don't think that's required for you to be able to perform your duty as an agent working on the streets of Michigan? MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, I guess I don't
EFENSE RESTS EBUTTAL WITNESSE: LEN BROWER IRECT EXAMINATION DDD ALLEN IRECT EXAMINATION ROSS-EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION ECROSS-EXAMINATION ECROSS-EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION COSING ARGUMENT E	N BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. GREENE BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. GREENE ON BY MR. KUIPE ON BY MR. KUIPE ON BY MR. KUIPE	78 80 82 R 83 E 83 R 85	13 14 15 16 17 18 19		supposed to carry an I.D. with you. And I'm asking you, then, you don't think that's required for you to be able to perform your duty as an agent working on the streets of Michigan? MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, I guess I don't understand the question. Is he calling for speculation?
EFENSE RESTS EBUTTAL WITNESSE: LEN BROWER IRECT EXAMINATION DDD ALLEN IRECT EXAMINATION ROSS-EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION ECROSS-EXAMINATION COSING ARGUMENT E EBUTTAL ARGUMENT	N BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. GREENE BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. GREENE ON BY MR. GREENE ON BY MR. KUIPE BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. KUIPER	80 82 82 83 8 83 8 85 86 100 120	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		And I'm asking you, then, you don't think that's required for you to be able to perform your duty as an agent working on the streets of Michigan? MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, I guess I don't understand the question. Is he calling for speculation? That's what it sounded like.
EFENSE RESTS EBUTTAL WITNESSE: LEN BROWER IRECT EXAMINATION DDD ALLEN ROSS-EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION COSSON EXAMINATION COSING ARGUMENT E BUTTAL ARGUMENT E BUTTAL ARGUMENT IN ESTRUCTIONS BY THE	N BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. GREENE N BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. GREENE ON BY MR. KUIPE ON BY MR. KUIPE ON BY MR. KUIPE BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. KUIPER	80 82 R 83 E 83 R 85 86 100 120	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		And I'm asking you, then, you don't think that's required for you to be able to perform your duty as an agent working on the streets of Michigan? MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, I guess I don't understand the question. Is he calling for speculation? That's what it sounded like. MR. GREENE: Not speculation. I'm asking him,
EDIRECT EXAMINATE EFENSE RESTS EBUTTAL WITNESSES LEN BROWER IRECT EXAMINATION DOD ALLEN IRECT EXAMINATION COSS-EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION COSS-EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION EDIRECT EXAMINATION EDITAL ARGUMENT E EBUTTAL ARGUMENT E EBUTTAL ARGUMENT ISTRUCTIONS BY THE ETRECT EXAMINATION ETRECTIONS BY THE ETRECT EXAMINATION ETRECTIONS BY THE ETRECT EXAMINATION ETRECT EXAM	N BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. GREENE BY MR. KUIPER BY MR. GREENE ON BY MR. KUIPE ON BY MR. KUIPE ON BY MR. KUIPE BY MR. KUIPER	80 82 R 83 E 83 R 85 86 100 120	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		And I'm asking you, then, you don't think that's required for you to be able to perform your duty as an agent working on the streets of Michigan? MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, I guess I don't understand the question. Is he calling for speculation? That's what it sounded like. MR. GREENE: Not speculation. I'm asking him, does he need to know what the laws are that pertain to the

1 of 39 sheets

25

12

-	_		-	_	
1 2		THE WITNESS: I I need to have a fair	1		All right. And you were in the process of arresting
3		understanding of the laws of the State of Michigan, but I	2		Mr. King when he refused to tell you give you his wallet
-		don't feel that I need to know, verbatim, every law on the	3		or stated to you that he did not have identification on him.
5		books within the State of Michigan to execute my duties as a	4	A.	The second secon
	DV	federal agent.	5	Q.	You were you were my bad, sir. I apologize. It was a
6	12.7	MR. GREENE:	6		compound question.
7	Q.	Understood. But I'm not asking you about every law on the	7		You were in the process of arresting Mr. King when
8		books in the State of Michigan, I'm asking you specifically	8		he did not provide you that identification?
9		about whether or not you're required to carry an I.D. in the	9	A.	That's correct.
10		State of Michigan.	10	Q.	All right.
11	A.	I don't know if that's a law, and I don't think that I	11		MR. GREENE: That's all, your Honor. Thank you.
12		should have to know if that's a law or not, to be able to	12		THE COURT: Mr. Kuiper.
13		do my duties when I'm on the street.	13		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
14	Q.	You were working on a task force in the State of Michigan?	14	BY	MR. KUIPER:
15	A.	That's correct.	15	Q.	Special Agent Brownback, yesterday there were some questions
16	Q.	And this task force was to apprehend violent criminals?	16		about whether or not the wallet that Detective Allen found
17	A.	That's correct.	17		was a weapon or whether or not you knew whether or not it
18	Q.	And these violent criminals were individuals that were	18		was a weapon. Do you recollect those questions that
19		charged with violations of laws of the State of Michigan?	19		Mr. Greene asked you?
20	A.	That's correct.	20	A.	Yes, I do.
21	Q.	Okay. So, when you stopped Mr. King, you, in your mindset,	21	Q.	Are you familiar with the term artfully concealed weapons?
22		thought he was a violent criminal?	22	A.	Yes, I am.
23	A.	That's correct.	23	Q.	What does that term mean?
24	Q.	Okay. Mr. King does not have to prove his identity to you,	24	A.	That term means that —
25		does he?	25	٨.	
		5	25		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
1	A	At that point in time, when we stopped Mr. King, he was	1	-	7
2		going to need to provide us with identification or some	100		There's no relevance here. There's no there's not a
3		means to prove who he was, before we were going to release	2		shred of evidence that Mr. King had an artfully concealed
4		him.	3		weapon whatsoever.
5			4		THE COURT: Overruled. I'll allow the question.
6		At that point in time, I had a reasonable belief	5		THE WITNESS: An artfully concealed weapon is
7		that he was Aaron Davidson, and I needed to verify that	6		tactic, if you will, used by both law-abiding citizens and
8		fact.	7		criminals, to basically hide a weapon so as to avoid either
		The way I went about doing that was I asked him	8		detection from law enforcement or just detection from the
9		for his name, or I should say Detective Allen asked him for	9		public.
0		his name —	10	BY M	R. KUIPER:
1	Q.	Let me stop you.	11	Q.	During your training or experience with the FBI, have you
2	A.	and then I asked him for identification.	12		been trained or seen bulletins regarding certain types of
3	Q.	Special Agent, you're beyond my question. My question was,	13		weapons that are artfully concealed?
4		Mr. King did not have to prove his identity, and you're	14	A.	Yes, I have.
5		saying he did; that, to be released, he had to prove to you	15	Q.	Amongst those weapons, are there weapons that are designed
6		his identity.	16		to look like wallets and, in fact, are not wallets?
7	A.	Yes. I believed that he was Aaron Davidson, and he had to	17	A.	Yes, there are.
8		provide me with identification or some other means of	18	Q.	I'm going to show you what I'm going to have marked as
9		proving that he was not Aaron Davidson, before he was going	19		People's Exhibit Number 10.
0		to be free to leave.	20		MR. GREENE: I object to the exhibit, your Honor.
3	Q.	Okay. So, if Mr. King had have been walking down the street	21		It's not been disclosed prior to today and, two, it's
			22		totally irrelevant to the case.
1		in just his blue jeans and no identification on him, you			
1 2 3		in just his blue jeans and no identification on him, you would have arrested him and detained him until you could	23		MR. KUIPER: It certainly is relevant, your Honor.
1			777		MR. KUIPER: It certainly is relevant, your Honor, because Mr. Greene was vigorously cross-examining

-	_		1	-	
1 2		not a weapon and his beliefs in that regard. That came up	1		the Proposed Exhibit Number 10.
3		yesterday at quarter to 5:00. I didn't have the opportunity	2		THE COURT: Mr. Greene, I assume objection?
		to send the illustrative exhibit, Proposed Exhibit Number	3		MR. GREENE: Continuing objection, your Honor.
4		10, to Mr. Greene, but he was the one that opened the door.	4		THE COURT: Overruled. I'll admit it.
5		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, totally incorrect. They	5		(Exhibit 10 admitted)
6		took the wallet out. I was establishing they had no	6	BY	MR. KUIPER:
7		probable cause or reasonable suspicion to remove the wallet;	7	Q.	Have you also received training, seen bulletins or dealt
8		that in a pat-down search, that you can pat for a feel of	8		with items that look like a wallet but contain knives?
9		weapons. It does not authorize an agent or officer to	9	A.	Yes, I have.
0		remove items from people's pockets. That's what I was	10	Q.	So, when you testified about whether or not well, there
1		establishing. And if now he's attempting to say he can	11		was this whole kerfuffle about whether or not you knew
2		expand the law and say that he can remove items from	12		whether it was a wallet or a weapon. Does that provide
3		people's pocket based on some esoteric belief that there may	13		context for your testimony?
4		be weapons out there that fall into that category, it's just	14	A.	Yes, it does.
5		absurd, your Honor.	15	Q.	Now, again, what types of people are you trying to arrest?
6		There's no evidence whatsoever in this case to	16	A.	We primarily investigate fugitive matters that have to do
7		support it, and I would ask you to	17		with violent criminals. They're usually within the Grand
8		THE COURT: Well, Mr. Greene, certainly there	18		Rapids area, but all of our warrants that we execute for
9		would be no problem removing a knife or firearm pursuant to	19		fugitives are violent matters.
0		a pat-down.	20	0	So you're talking about people who, you know, they're not
1		MR. GREENE: That's correct, your Honor. That's	21	u.	
2		그 그 내는 생님은 이번 내는 그들은 사람이 가장하는 것이 없었다. 그 때문에 다른 사람이 없는 것이 없다면 하는데 없다면	22		driving on a suspended license, or something like that
3		totally correct. That's what the pat-down is for,	1	Α.	That's correct.
4		THE COURT: Well, I would say that's legitimate	23	Q.	you're dealing with people that have shot, stabbed,
		redirect, so objection overruled. And certainly you can	24		killed, raped, committed home invasions?
5		re-examine him on that.	25	A.	That's correct.
-	-	9	-	-	11
1		But, go ahead, Mr. Kuiper.	1	Q.	Does that cause you to be on high alert when dealing with
2		MR. GREENE: Are you allowing that as	2		people you think may be these individuals?
3		demonstrative evidence?	3	A.	Yes, it does.
4		THE COURT: I'm allowing this as an exhibit.	4	Q.	You did not absolutely know who the defendant was on July
5		MR. GREENE: I'm asking the type of exhibit.	5		18th of last year, did you?
6		Demonstrative exhibit? Because it's not an actual exhibit.	6	A.	No, I did not.
7		If anything, it is	7	Q.	In fact, that 2007 picture of Aaron Davidson, will you
8		MR. KUIPER: I would concede it's demonstrative,	8		concede you didn't know if he looked similar to that on
9		your Honor.	9		July 18, 2014?
0		(Exhibit 10 marked)	10	A.	That's correct.
1	BY I	MR. KUIPER:	11	Q.	When stopping the defendant, were you relying on all the
2		I'm going to show you what's marked as People's Proposed	12		evidence and the best evidence that you had at your disposal
3		Exhibit Number 10, Special Agent Brownback.	13		to determine whether or not this person was Aaron Davidson?
4		MR. KUIPER: Can you hear me?	14	A.	Yes, I was.
5		JUROR SEAT #11: Yes, sir.	15	Q.	
6	BV I	MR. KUIPER:	16	٦.	that you've apprehended lied about their name?
7	Q.		17	A.	
8	-	one example of a wallet designed to conceal a firearm?	18	Q.	
9	۸		19	u.	
	Α.	Yes, it is.	1		detection?
0	Q.	Is that something that you obtained from the Internet?	20	A.	This was the first time that I had encountered a fight, but
1	A.	Yes, it is.	21	1	I have had numerous subjects try and run or elude captur
2	Q.	Have you seen similar items to that in FBI bulletins and	22	Q.	Have you had any of them lie to you about their identity?
3		training?	23	A.	Yes, I have.
4	A.	Yes, I have.	24	Q.	If the defendant had provided you with his I.D., and you
5		MR. KUIPER: Your Honor at this point I move for	25		were able to see it was him and not Aaron Davidson, and
F >	Q ch-	10	12:5	155	12
. 3	9 she	Page 9	to 12 of	153	04/11/2015 09:29:10

Case 1:16-cv-00343-JTN-ESC ECF No. 73 filed 01/17/17 PageID.469 Page 49 of 62

_	_				
1		verified that, would be have been released?	1		Paragraph 1, if you will.
2	A.	Yes, he would have.	2	A.	
3	Q.	Was it fair to say, then, you were detaining him to	3	Q.	The state of the s
5		ascertain his identity?	4	A.	Which sentences would you like for me to review?
6	A. Q.	Yes. Yes?	5	Q.	re-s
7	A.		6		right in here.
8	1	Yes, I was.	7	A.	
9	Q.	At what point you said that you placed him under arrest?	8	Q.	and the state of t
10	Q.	That's correct.	9		placed in your report that Detective Allen felt the back of
11	A.	At what point did that happen?	10		King's jean's pocket and located a wallet? Is that what you
12	Α.	When when he had his hands on his head and	11		stated?
13		Detective Allen asked him if he had any identification in	12	A.	-5/4, -5/10/01-5/10-5-5/10-5-5/10-5/10-5/10-5/
		his wallet. He turned towards me, and at that point in	13	Q.	Carlo March Language and
14		time my intention was to place him under arrest.	14	A.	
15		In my estimation, he was not fully in custody	15	Q.	And that, Detective Allen pulled the wallet from King's back
16		until after the fight and after I placed handcuffs on both	16		pocket and asked King if he had identification in this
17	•	of his wrists.	17		wallet
18	Q.	That's when he turned and took a swing at you?	18	A.	
19	Α.	That's correct.	19	Q.	without opening it.
20	Q.	Whatever the law in Michigan is on carrying I.D. or not	20	A.	That's correct.
21		caring I.D., your duty is to determine who this person is	21	Q.	That's what you wrote in your report?
22		and whether or not it's the person you are looking for,	22	A.	That's correct.
23		Aaron Davidson?	23	Q.	Now you didn't at that point Detective Allen was not
24	A.	That's correct.	24		looking in the wallet to see if it was some type of weapon?
25	Q.	Other than contacting him and verifying his identity, do you	25	A.	When he pulled it from his pocket, it's readily apparent,
1	-	13	+	_	15
		know any other ways or means that you would be able to tell	1		once it's outside of his pocket, whether or not it would
2	A.	whether or not this person was Aaron Davidson?	2	12	have a firearm or even a knife concealed in it.
	٨.	No.	3	Q.	Didn't you just testify that, under your and I want to
5		MR. KUIPER: (Confers with the detective)	4		use the right phrase artfully concealed weapons, that you
6		MR. KUIPER: I don't have anymore questions.	5		would have to examine the item to determine whether or not
		Thank you.	6		it's artfully concealing a weapon?
7		THE COURT: Mr. Greene.	7	A.	The state of the s
8		MR. GREENE: Thank you, your Honor.	8		close examination. You could look at it and be able to
9		RECROSS-EXAMINATION	9		tell if it contained a firearm or knife.
10		MR. GREENE:	10	Q.	Isn't it a fact, Special Agent Brownback, that
11	u.	Thank you, your Honor.	11		Detective Allen knew that that was a wallet before he
12		I'm going to go back to where I was once before.	12		removed it from the pocket?
13		You did write a report in this case?	13		MR. KUIPER: Object to his there is no personal
14		Yes, I did.	14		knowledge on behalf of what Detective Allen thought.
15	Q.	And your report was written pretty close to the time that	15		Detective Allen was cross-examined. Special Agent Brownback
16		this incident occurred?	16		does not know what was inside his mind and what he thought.
17	Α.	A few days after, yes.	17		It's a question for which he does not have
8	Q.	Okay. And at that time your memory was pretty good on what	18		personal knowledge.
9	2	had taken place just a few days earlier?	19		MR. GREENE: May I respond, Sir?
20	Α.		20		THE COURT: Respond, please.
1	Q.	As an agent of the FBI, you are required to be accurate in	21		MR. GREENE: He does know what Detective Allen
2		your reports?	22		said and how he acted. The fact that they are trying to
		Yes, I am.	23		turn this into something other than a removal of a wallet, I
4	Q.	Okay. At that time did you I would like to have you look	24		think I should be able to explore.
5		at Page 2 of your report, and look at the bottom of	25		MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, those questions have been
		09:29:10 AM Page 13 to			16

1	4	asked and answered, I don't know how many times, during the	1		of my recross, your Honor, and it's a rehashing of questions
2		course of Special Agent Brownback's testimony. He testified	2		he just asked on redirect.
3		about what Detective Allen said about the wallet. We are	3		THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
4		beating a dead horse here.	4	BY	MR. KUIPER:
5		It's been asked and answered, and those statements	5	Q.	If if you had removed a piece of identification
6		we have allowed to come in, even though they are technically	6		indicating that this was James King, and he was compliant
7		hearsay. But he can't testify about what Detective Allen	7		with you at that time, would he have been released?
8		thought. Detective Allen is present to testify.	8	A.	Yes, he would have.
9		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, redirect.	9	Q.	And one of the things that you were going to do is determine
10		THE COURT: Well, do you know what Detective Allen	10		this person's identity to ensure it was not Aaron Davidson?
11		knew?	11	A.	That's correct.
12		THE WITNESS: No, your Honor. I when he	12	Q.	Was Detective Allen able to complete the search for weapons
13		when he was pulling out the wallet, I don't know what was	13		of the defendant?
14		going through his mind.	14	A.	No, he was not.
15		THE COURT: Fair enough.	15	Q.	Why is that?
16	BY N	MR. GREENE:	16	A.	He was at the back pocket, had the wallet in his hand,
17	Q.	So your testimony here is an attempt to justify	17		asked the question about the identification. At that point
18		Detective Allen removing the wallet, is to claim that he may	18		the defendant took a swing in my direction, and at that
19		might have had an artfully concealed weapon?	19		point the fight began.
20	A.	Yes, I am.	20		We went to the ground. Detective Allen was not
21	Q.	There was no weapon in the wallet, was there?	21		able to pat down the rest of his legs, or his feet, or his
22	A.	Not to my knowledge, no.	22		boots, to determine if he had a weapon in any of those
23	Q.	If I understood your testimony on redirect, you said that,	23		areas on his person.
24		once it was removed, it was readily apparent that it was not	24	Q.	Have you been involved in any cases or in any training
25		a weapon?	25		indicating that people occasionally conceal weapons on their
		17			19
1	A.	That's correct.	1		legs or ankle area?
2	Q.	Okay. So, did he replace it back into the pocket, or did he	2	A.	Yes, I have.
3		stand there and begin to question him about whether or not	3	Q.	So the search was not completed at the time that
4		it contained identification?	4		Officer Allen tapped and took out that wallet?
5	A.	Well, it's at that point your client took a swing at me,	5	A.	That's correct.
6		so I'm not sure what he was going to do with the wallet	6	Q.	And quite obviously, from the context of Mr. Greene's
7		after that.	7		question, at least I'm led to believe that there was some
8	Q.	Well, you said here that he pulled it from the pocket and	8		long dialogue about what is contained in the wallet. Is
9		asked if he had identification within the wallet.	9		that how things transpired?
10	A.	That's correct.	10	A.	No, it is not.
11	Q.	Okay. So, before he asked about identification, did he	11	Q.	How quickly about how much time elapsed, in terms of
12		place it back in the pocket?	12		seconds, between the time that wallet was tapped and the
13	A.	No. He was holding it.	13		statement was made, and the time a punch was thrown at you
14	Q.		14	A.	Probably four or five seconds, if that. If that.
15	٠.	about it?	15	33	MR. KUIPER: Okay. Thank you.
16	A	That's correct.	16		THE COURT: Mr. Greene.
17	Q.	Okay.	17		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
18	٠.	MR. GREENE: Thank you.	18	BY	MR. GREENE:
19		THE COURT: Mr. Kuiper.	19	Q.	
20		REDIRECT EXAMINATION	20	4.	accurate?
21	RV I	MR. KUIPER:	21	A.	Yes, it is.
22	Q.		22		MR. GREENE: May I approach the witness?
22	w.	[1] 사람이 되었다면 하는 사람이 있다면 하는 사람이 되었다고 하는 것이다.	23		THE COURT: Yes.
22		identification in that wallet indicating that he was James	24		
23					
23 24 25		MR. GREENE: Objection. Totally beyond the scope	25		MR. GREENE: Thank you.

_	_			
1		MR. GREENE:	1	had we have testimony from Special Agent Brownback that
2	Q.	Top of Paragraph 2.	2	the defendant did not resemble any of the photos; that the
3	A.	(Reviewing)	3	photos were old.
4	Q.	You've had a chance to review that, Sir?	4	We have testimony from Special Agent Brownback
5	A.	Yes, I have.	5	that the second photo didn't even show facial features.
6	Q.	Going back to your report, Paragraph 2, Page 2, didn't you	6	That, at most, they may have had a similar hairstyle.
7		not say that you had grabbed King's left wrist to take him	7	Your Honor, we have a person who was not
8		into custody. And then after you had grabbed his wrist, in	8	committing any type of violation. They are just walking
9		your report, you said he threw a swing at you?	9	down the street minding their business. They happen to be a
10	A.	That's correct.	10	white male, and they happen to fall within the dimensions of
11	Q.	Okay. So he doesn't swing at you until after you had	11	5'10" and 6'.
12		grabbed his left wrist?	12	THE COURT: When you say they, you mean he, right
13	A.	That's correct.	13	one person?
14	Q.	Okay. When you grabbed his wrist, that was further	14	MR. GREENE: One person. Thank you.
15		detention in the sense of placing him into custody, isn't	15	THE COURT: One person, the defendant.
16		that correct?	16	MR. GREENE: Yes. Very good. Thank you, your
17	A.	I'm not sure it's further detention.	17	Honor.
18	Q.	Well, you've	18	He is between 5'10" and 6'3". Your Honor, that's
19	A.	He was he was detained. He wasn't free to leave, but he	19	not an articulable suspicion to support this stop. At most,
20		wasn't in custody until I placed handcuffs behind his back.	20	these officers could have instituted what's called a
21	Q.	Okay.	21	citizen's contact. But, in a citizen's contact, a person is
22		MR. GREENE: Thank you.	22	free to at any point terminate it or not even engage in it.
23		MR. KUIPER: No further questions. Thank you.	23	They could have involved in a citizen's contact, initiated a
24		THE COURT: May this witness be excused?	24	citizen's contact, and asked if he wanted to talk to him.
25		MR. KUIPER: We'll ask that he remain in the	25	But they had no particular articulable suspicion that this
		21		23
1		potential that he's a rebuttal witness.	1	was the person. This is this is as wild as they come.
2		MR. GREENE: Well, as long as he follows the	2	We don't know what percentage of white males fall
3		sequestration order.	3	within 5'10" and 6'3", who don't even look like the photo.
4		THE COURT: He'll be sequestered. You are not	4	THE COURT: Let me just ask you before I lose my
5		excused, then, so you can step off the stand for the moment.	5	train of thought here, Mr. Greene. So, under the
6		(At 9:20 a.m., witness stepped down)	6	circumstances as we know, are you saying that your client
7		MR. KUIPER: We have no further witnesses. Thank	7	was entitled to just keep walking?
8		you, your Honor.	8	MR. GREENE: That's correct, your Honor. They
9		THE COURT: Prosecution rests?	9	could have approached my client and said, Sir, we'd like to
10		MR. KUIPER: Yes.	10	talk to you if you're willing, and he should have had the
11		(At 9:20 a.m., prosecutor rests)	11	option to continue or to engage him. But but he was
12		THE COURT: Mr. Greene.	12	he was placed he was detained. And there must be
13		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I have some motions to	13	reasonable suspicions that a crime criminal activity is
14		make before the defense proceeds.	14	afoot to be able to detain a person, and there's absolutely
15		THE COURT: All right. I'll excuse the jurors for	15	no evidence whatsoever that criminal activity was afoot at
16		a few moments.	16	the time he was detained.
17		(At 9:21 a.m., jury exits courtroom)	17	So, if the stop at the onset is illegal, but for
18		THE COURT: Mr. Greene.	18	the exploitation of that illegality, we never get here. We
19		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, this is a motion for	19	never get into him being placed, hand on top of his head,
20		directed verdict. It's based on two parts:	20	him being searched, him basically being treated like he's a
21		One, that the initial stop was unlawful.	21	criminal when he is a citizen, your Honor, a citizen.
22			22	
		THE COURT: You are talking about all three counts here?	23	You know, he has constitutional rights, and they
		nere:	23	were blatantly violated by these two officers. If these
23		MD CREENE Thatle correct your Hanne	24	officers had have done this competit, they are
		MR. GREENE: That's correct, your Honor. That the initial stop was unlawful; that they	24 25	officers had have done this correctly, they could have walked up to him and said, you know, We would like to talk

4	under smark. And there has not described a single social.	-	white and Cluster object hat well the down to an 12 75
1 2	under arrest. And then he said at that point he said that the defendant swung at him. But once he had grabbed	1 2	white male 6' with short hair walking down Leonard? The
3	그렇게 하는데 그리다 이 아이를 살아 있었다. 그렇게 하는데 하는데 하는데 하를 살아 먹는데 하는데 네티	3	answer is, No, your Honor.
4	his arm, they had proceeded from the <i>Terry</i> to arrest. THE COURT: All right. Well, Mr. Kuiper, weigh	4	There is no reasonable suspicion here. There is none whatsoever. What they did was they went on a fishing
5	in, please.	5	expedition, and they should not have done it, and that's
6	MR. KUIPER: Your Honor, they certainly	6	what the constitution is here to protect, and we would ask
7	articulated facts that indicate that a reasonable person	7	you to so find.
8	would believe that this defendant was Aaron Davidson. That	8	THE COURT: All right. Well, the Court has
9	now just doesn't go from the fact that, He's not	9	obviously heard all the testimony, and it's under a motion
10	Aaron Davidson, so we've got to kick the case.	10	for direct verdict. It's to be viewed in a light most
11	This certainly is not junk that they're relying	11	favorable to the non-moving party, the prosecution. A.
12	on. They have a date that Mr. Davidson was there the day	12	It's this Court opinion that there is sufficient
13	prior, they have his habit and routine that he's there.	13	evidence presented here, if believed by the jury, to sustain
14	They have a general physical description of him. The mere	14	verdicts beyond a reasonable doubt on each one of the three
15	fact that they can't obtain, you know, a frontal photograph	15	counts.
16	of his face at the time and that photograph does resemble	16	So, therefore, motion is denied.
17	Mr. King with short, dark hair, the same nose structure	17	Anything further, Mr. Kuiper, before we proceed
18	wearing prescription glasses; he had a thin wife-beater	18	with defense's case?
19	shirt on at least Mr. Davidson does you have the	19	MR. KUIPER: Nothing further, your Honor. Thank
20	defendant walking down the street with no shirt on, you have	20	you.
21	the same build. They're not going to a different location	21	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Greene?
22	at a different time, stopping someone with a wildly	22	MR. GREENE: Nothing, other than maybe we could
23	different physical description.	23	have a chance to run out.
24	In fact, to suggestion that what they are doing is	24	THE COURT: Sure. Now just
25	not based on any articulable reasonable facts, is, in fact,	25	MR. GREENE: Thank you.
	41		43
1	nonsense. And to suggest that he can just the defendant	1	THE COURT: just to tip your hand a little
2	or Mr. Davidson, could just say, Forget about it. I don't	2	here, you're going to call your client, correct?
3	want to cooperate with you. I am not going to allow myself	3	MR. GREENE: I am, your Honor. He will be my one
4	to be I.D., is ridiculous, as well.	4	and only remaining witness.
5	The police have a duty, and they articulated the	5	THE COURT: Obviously, you've discussed potential
6	facts which they used to stop the defendant to determine his	6	ramifications with him; that he's subject to
7	identity. They have that right to detain him to ascertain	7	cross-examination, obviously?
8	his identity.	8	MR. GREENE: Yes, sir, your Honor. He is fully
9	That's my argument. Anything else is nonsense. I	9	aware of the fact that Mr. Kuiper will try to rip him apart.
10	mean, it's nonsense. To just say, He has no I.D. on him,	10	Yes.
11	we'll kick him loose, that makes no sense at all.	11	THE COURT: Mr. King, you understand all that,
12	THE COURT: Mr. Greene, last word.	12	correct?
13	MR. GREENE: I don't know what constitutional law	13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
14	Mr. Kuiper stated, but what he just said is nonsense, your	14	THE COURT: You want to exercise your right to
15	Honor.	15	testify?
16	You know, it's a serious matter to interfere with	16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
17	a person's constitutional rights in this country. And the	17	THE COURT: All right. Very good. We'll take a
18	courts have made it very, very, very clear that, if you're	18	brief recess, then. And then how long do you expect to
19	going to do it, you've got to have sufficient facts to	19	take, Mr. Greene?
20	justify it. We don't have that in this case. We have	20	MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I don't think my direct
21	detective we have Special Agent Brownback saying, We have	21	will run a half-hour.
22	no photo that looked like Mr. Davidson. We have him saying	22	THE COURT: Well, let's do this. Let's do your
23	that, at best, he may have had short hair. How many people	23	case, any rebuttal from the prosecution, and then we'll take
24	have short hair? We have the testimony of the of the	24	a break before closings to nail down instructions.
25	height range. Even if you limit it to 6', can you stop any	25	Okay, very good. We'll take a short recess, then.
11 of	42 39 sheets Page 41 to	44 0	153 04/11/2015 09:29:10 At

Case 1:16-cv-00343-JTN-ESC ECF No. 73 filed 01/17/17 PageID.473 Page 53 of 62

1		MR. GREENE: Thank you.	1	Q.	What do you do for them?
2		(At 9:53 a.m., break had)	2	A.	I work tech support.
3		(At 10:09 a.m., jury resumes seats)	3	Q.	James, I want to take you back to July 18, 2014. Do you
4		THE COURT: Be seated.	4		remember what day of the week that was?
5		Mr. Greene.	5	A.	Yes. It was a Friday.
6		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, we call Mr. James King.	6	Q.	How did you start your day that Friday?
7		THE COURT: State your full name, please.	7	A.	I woke up early, made breakfast, and made my way to work
8		MR. KING: James King.	8	Q.	Where did you work?
9		THE COURT: Raise your right hand.	9	A.	I was, at that time, working at Moss Telecommunications.
0		Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony	10	Q.	What is Moss Telecommunications?
1		you're about to give in this matter will be the truth, the	11	A.	Moss is a Grand Rapids-based telecommunications company
2		whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?	12		We do data cabling for schools and hospitals.
3		THE WITNESS: I do, your Honor.	13	Q.	Where did you go to work that day?
4		THE COURT: Please be seated.	14	A.	I was working at a school in Lakeview.
5		JAMES KING,	15	Q.	Do you remember what your shift was?
6	calle	ed by the Defense at 10:10, sworn by the Court, testified:	16	A.	Yes. I believe it was 6:00 a.m. till 1:00 p.m.
7		DIRECT EXAMINATION	17	Q.	So, when you got off at 1:00, what did you do?
8	BYN	1R. GREENE:	18	A.	I stopped to get my oil changed, and then I made my way to
9	Q.	Mr. King, I'm going to ask you to state your name for the	19		my house and had lunch.
0	150	record. And, even though it's on every legal document in	20	0	After having lunch at your well, where is your house?
1		this case, will you please spell it also for	21	-	Let me ask you that first.
22	A.	My name is James King. That's K-I-N-G.	22	A.	My house is on 12th Street. It's right off of Leonard on
23	Q.	Do you mind if I call you James?	23		the west side of Grand Rapids.
4	A.	No, I do not mind.	24	Q.	Okay. After having lunch, what did you do?
25	Q.		25	A.	
	Œ.	Okay. James, where are you from?	23	۸.	I started walking towards the Geek Group, which is my 47
1	A.	I'm from Posen, Michigan.	1		internship.
2	Q.	And where is Posen?	2	Q.	James, what is the Geek Group?
3	A.	Posen is the northeast side of the state by Alpena.	3	A.	The Geek Group is a nonprofit science organization. We
4	Q.	Why did you come to Grand Rapids?	4		provide the tools and know-how for anyone all over Michiga
5	A.	I came down here to pursue a degree in Computer Science at	5		and around the world, who wants to come in and learn, and
6		Grand Valley State.	6		learn more about science.
7	Q.	When did you come here?	7	Q.	What street were you walking on towards the Geek Group?
8	Δ	About two-and-a-half years ago now.	8	A.	I was walking down Leonard Street.
9	Q.	Upon completion of your studies, what do you plan to do?	9	Q.	Where is the Geek Group?
0	Ti-	I would like to specialize in cyber security.	10	Α.	The Geek Group is on 902 Leonard.
11	Α.	What's cyber security?	11	Q.	Okay. How much further from the church would the Geek Group
	Q.		12	ч.	have been?
2	A.	Cyber security is the practice of good cyber security that	13	A.	The Geek Group, my destination is just one block farther
13		allows organizations to prevent and defend against attacks	14	۸.	away from the church.
4		which could lead to theft of data, loss of information,	15	Q.	
15		damage to infrastructure.	16	u.	Almost made it. How were you dressed?
6		It's used the world over by governments or	17		I was wearing work boots, jeans, and I was carrying my
		organizations, anyone looking to protect their data.	1.33	A.	
	_	Do you also do you plan, then, to possibly work for the	18	_	shirt.
8	Q.			Q.	When you say, carrying your shirt, you were shirtless?
18		government one day?			The bloom and
19	A.	government one day? Yes. That's a career goal of mine.	20	Α.	That's correct.
18 19 20 21	A. Q.	government one day? Yes. That's a career goal of mine. Are you currently employed?	20 21	Q.	Why?
18 19 20 21 22	A.	government one day? Yes. That's a career goal of mine.	20 21 22	Q. A.	Why? It was mid-July; very hot that day. It was 90 degrees.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q.	government one day? Yes. That's a career goal of mine. Are you currently employed? Yes, I am currently employed. Where where do you work?	20 21 22 23	Q.	Why? It was mid-July; very hot that day. It was 90 degrees. As you're walking along Leonard towards the Geek Group, what
18 19 20 21	A. Q. A.	government one day? Yes. That's a career goal of mine. Are you currently employed? Yes, I am currently employed.	20 21 22	Q. A.	Why? It was mid-July; very hot that day. It was 90 degrees.

04/11/2015 09:29:10 AM Page 45 to 48 of 153 12 of 39 sheets

Case 1:16-cv-00343-JTN-ESC ECF No. 73 filed 01/17/17 PageID.474 Page 54 of 62

4	-		1	_	THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF TH
1 2	Q.	name. Now, when you say you were approached by two men, where were	1 2	Q. A.	While you're up against the SUV, what were they doing? They were standing behind me, and one man began to pat me
3	46.	you?	3	A.	down.
4	A.	I was on the sidewalk on Leonard.	4	Q.	After he pat you down, what happened?
5	Q.	Where on the sidewalk? Do you have any more specific	5	A.	
6	w.	address? Can you give us you know, was there any	6	۸.	I was asking them who they were. They just told me that they were looking for someone.
7	A.	Right across from the church. Right in front of the Dutch	7	Q.	What was the next thing that happened after they responded,
8	٦.	Reform Church.	8	щ.	We're looking for someone?
9	Q.	Okay. And, when you say you were approached by two men,	9	A.	My wallet was taken from my back pocket.
0	٠.	where did they come from?	10	Q.	Do you remember who took it?
1	A.	They were up against their black SUV.	11	A.	No, I do not. I could not see them.
2	Q.	And where was it parked?	12	Q.	What how were you oriented when they took your wallet?
3	A.	It was parked in the church parking lot.	13	A.	I was, I was placed, umm, against their SUV with my hands
4	Q.		14	А.	
5		Okay. Will you please describe these men to the jury?	15	Q.	on my head like this (demonstrating).
	A.	Yes. Both men were large men, uncut, unshaven, sort of		100	When you say, against it, how were you facing?
6		burly-looking men. One had a backwards ball cap. Khakis,	16	A.	I was facing against the SUV, towards it; away from the
7	_	jeans; black shirts, jean jacket.	17	_	men,
8	Q.	Did these men identify themselves?	18	Q.	So, after your wallet was taken, what happened?
9	A.	No, they did not.	19	A.	Umm, I became very suspicious. Them not having identified
0	Q.	Did you have any idea who these men were?	20		themselves I didn't know who they were. I yelled out,
1	A.	No. I had no idea who these men were.	21	1.6	Are you mugging me?
2	Q.	What did the men do?	22	Q.	What happened when you yelled out, Are you mugging me?
3	A.	The first man closest to me asked my name, and I told him	23	A.	There was no response from them. But, with my hands on m
4		my name was James.	24		head like this (demonstrating), I tried to turn to look at
5	Q.	Then what happened?	25		them. When I went to make the motion to look at them to
_	_	49	+-		51
1	A.	He asked if I had a weapon on me.	1		see where my wallet was and what they were doing with it,
2	Q.	Did you respond?	2	1	was shoved against the vehicle.
3	A.	I did. I responded by saying, No, I don't have a weapon	3	Q.	So you just tried to look at these two men and someone
4		but I do have a pocketknife.	4		shoved you back against the vehicle?
5	Q.	Were you carrying a knife?	5	A.	That's correct.
6	A.	Yes, I was.	6	Q.	Then what happened?
7	Q.	And why did you have a knife?	7	A.	At that moment I assumed fully that I was being mugged. I
8	A.	I used my utility knife for work to cut open boxes.	8		did not know who these people were, and I tried to turn
9	Q.	Where was the knife?	9		around to run away from the scene and was thrown to the
0	A.	It was clipped to my right front pocket.	10		ground.
1	Q.	What happened after you told him that you had a knife?	11	Q.	After you're thrown to the ground, what takes place?
12	-	I was then told to place my hands on top of my head.	12	A.	I began immediately yelling for help, Call the police, call
	A.	I was then told to place my harms on top of my			the police. Help. Someone help me. I'm being mugged, as
13	Q.	Did you place your hands on top of your head?	13		the police, their comment may make a mass of the
			13 14		loud as I could, for anyone that was in the area.
14	Q.	Did you place your hands on top of your head?	1	Q.	이 원생님이 되었다. 이 경기에서 살아보다 되는 것이 없는데 하는데 하는데 하다 다니다.
14	Q. A.	Did you place your hands on top of your head? Yes, I did.	14	Q.	loud as I could, for anyone that was in the area.
15	Q. A. Q.	Did you place your hands on top of your head? Yes, I did. Now, why did you do that?	14 15	Q. A.	loud as I could, for anyone that was in the area. Now, before they took your wallet, did anyone ask you for
4 5 6 7	Q. A. Q.	Did you place your hands on top of your head? Yes, I did. Now, why did you do that? These men did have — they had small badges around their	14 15 16		loud as I could, for anyone that was in the area. Now, before they took your wallet, did anyone ask you for permission to take your wallet?
15 6 7 8	Q. A. Q. A.	Did you place your hands on top of your head? Yes, I did. Now, why did you do that? These men did have — they had small badges around their chest, and I assumed some sort of authority.	14 15 16 17	A.	loud as I could, for anyone that was in the area. Now, before they took your wallet, did anyone ask you for permission to take your wallet? No, they certainly did not.
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q. A.	Policy you place your hands on top of your head? Yes, I did. Now, why did you do that? These men did have — they had small badges around their chest, and I assumed some sort of authority. So, after you place your hands on top of your head, what	14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	loud as I could, for anyone that was in the area. Now, before they took your wallet, did anyone ask you for permission to take your wallet? No, they certainly did not. They just reached into your back pocket and took it?
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A.	Poid you place your hands on top of your head? Yes, I did. Now, why did you do that? These men did have — they had small badges around their chest, and I assumed some sort of authority. So, after you place your hands on top of your head, what happened?	14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A.	loud as I could, for anyone that was in the area. Now, before they took your wallet, did anyone ask you for permission to take your wallet? No, they certainly did not. They just reached into your back pocket and took it? That's correct.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A.	Pid you place your hands on top of your head? Yes, I did. Now, why did you do that? These men did have — they had small badges around their chest, and I assumed some sort of authority. So, after you place your hands on top of your head, what happened? Umm, one man stepped behind me and the other stepped in	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q.	loud as I could, for anyone that was in the area. Now, before they took your wallet, did anyone ask you for permission to take your wallet? No, they certainly did not. They just reached into your back pocket and took it? That's correct. Did they ever return it to you?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A.	Pid you place your hands on top of your head? Yes, I did. Now, why did you do that? These men did have — they had small badges around their chest, and I assumed some sort of authority. So, after you place your hands on top of your head, what happened? Umm, one man stepped behind me and the other stepped in front of me, boxing me in. And then the knife was taken from me.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q.	loud as I could, for anyone that was in the area. Now, before they took your wallet, did anyone ask you for permission to take your wallet? No, they certainly did not. They just reached into your back pocket and took it? That's correct. Did they ever return it to you? No, they did not.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Yes, I did. Now, why did you do that? These men did have — they had small badges around their chest, and I assumed some sort of authority. So, after you place your hands on top of your head, what happened? Umm, one man stepped behind me and the other stepped in front of me, boxing me in. And then the knife was taken from me. After they took the knife from you, what happened?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q.	loud as I could, for anyone that was in the area. Now, before they took your wallet, did anyone ask you for permission to take your wallet? No, they certainly did not. They just reached into your back pocket and took it? That's correct. Did they ever return it to you? No, they did not. And when you tried to see what they were doing with your
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A.	Pid you place your hands on top of your head? Yes, I did. Now, why did you do that? These men did have — they had small badges around their chest, and I assumed some sort of authority. So, after you place your hands on top of your head, what happened? Umm, one man stepped behind me and the other stepped in front of me, boxing me in. And then the knife was taken from me.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q.	loud as I could, for anyone that was in the area. Now, before they took your wallet, did anyone ask you for permission to take your wallet? No, they certainly did not. They just reached into your back pocket and took it? That's correct. Did they ever return it to you? No, they did not. And when you tried to see what they were doing with your wallet, that's when they pushed you back against the

1	Q.	Okay. So now you're on the ground, What's taking place?	1		the head.
2	A.	A a fight ensued. I was trying to run away, umm, but	2	Q.	What happens while you are being punched in the head?
3		there was a lot going on. Umm, both men were on top of me,	3	A.	I after being hit several times, I lost I couldn't
4		holding trying to hold me down, pin me down.	4		move. I was on the ground, pretty much just lying there.
5	Q.	So they're both on top of you, and you're still trying to go	5	Q.	What's the next thing you remember taking place?
6		away, get away?	6	A.	I remember being cuffed at that point, and I saw that there
7	A.	That's correct.	7		were some bystanders, and I yelled out one more time for
8	Q.	Why were you concerned about them mugging you?	8		them to call the police.
9	A.	They hadn't identified themselves. They took my wallet,	9	Q.	After you asked them to call the police, what happens?
10		which had money; debit and social security card. I also	10	A.	The next thing I remember is sirens and actual police
11		had two paychecks on me at the time.	11		officers showing up.
12	Q.	So, while they're holding you down, what's the next thing	12	Q.	So how did you know they were actual police officers?
13		that happened?	13	A.	They arrived in squad cars and with full uniforms and
4	A.	I was still I was yelling, yelling for the police,	14		badges and hats.
15		yelling, yelling, calling, Help, help. Call the police,	15	Q.	How were they dressed?
6		until one man started choking me. He put me in a headlock,	16	A.	In navy blue slacks, navy blue uniform; pins and ties.
17		and it was so tight around my neck that I could no longer	17	Q.	Did you talk to the police?
8		yell or breathe.	18	A.	Yes, I did.
19	Q.	Do you think he put you in a headlock to shut you up?	19	Q.	What did you tell them?
20	A.	At the time, yes, that's exactly what I thought.	20	A.	I told them that I thought I was being mugged.
21	Q.	So, you're in this headlock and it's cutting off your	21	Q.	When they asked you questions, did you respond?
22		windpipe?	22	A.	Yes, I did.
23	A.	That's correct, yes.	23	Q.	Were you respectful?
24	Q.	What do you do?	24	A.	Yes, very respectful.
25	A.	I actually blacked out. I lost consciousness for a moment.	25	Q.	Okay. I believe there is a video of your questioning of
	1.0	53			55
1	Q.	How long do you think you were out?	1		when they arrived.
2	A.	Umm, it could have been just maybe 10 to 15 seconds.	2		(At 10:23 a.m., video played)
3	Q.	Then what happens?	3	BY	MR. GREENE:
4	A.	I when I came back too, his arm was still around my	4	Q.	So that was you yelling it out, No, sir. I thought they
5		neck, pressing tighter and tighter. And I did the only	5		were trying to mug me?
6		thing I could to not have that happen again. In	6	A.	That's correct, sir.
7		self-defense, I bit into his bicep.	7	Q.	So, you were referring to the officer who was questioning
8	Q.	Where did you where where did you bite his bicep?	8	177	you as, sir?
9		Right right here on this arm (demonstrating).	9	A.	Yes.
	A.	[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	10	Q.	Were you trained to be respectful of police officers?
10	Q.	So, if we're looking at your arm	11	A.	Yes, I was, sir.
11		MR. GREENE: May I approach the witness?	12	Q.	Have you always been respectful of police officers?
12	2074	THE COURT: Yes.	13	-7	
13		MR. GREENE:	14	A.	Yes, sir. (At 10:26 p.m. video played)
14	Q.	Where is your neck, looking at his arm? Hold your arm	153	DV	
15		well, hold my arm. Show me, where is his neck where is	15		MR. GREENE: Now, there was a statement. You were talking to officers.
16		your neck?	16	u.	
17	A.	Inside his	17		Did you ask if they were real police?
18	Q.	Inside his he's tightening it?	18	Α.	That's correct. That was me.
19	Α.	Correct	19	Q.	And that's the one that was your voice asking if these
20	Q.	So you're able to turn and bite him?	20		guys were real police?
21	A.	Yes.	21		That's correct.
22	Q.	Why did you bite him?	22	Q.	And so you were still not certain about the two guys who
23	A.	That was the only thing I could do to breathe.	23	-4	had had approached you?
24	Q.	After you bit him, did he release the the choke hold?	24	A.	That's correct.
25	A.	He did. He became very enraged and started punching me in	25		(At 10:26 a.m., video played)
		54	1		56

_	-				
1		MR. GREENE:	1		THE COURT: Admitted.
2	Q.	Now the officer asked you, What's your name, and that's you	2		(Exhibits A - D admitted)
3	1	responding to him?	3		MR. GREENE: May I publish the photos, your Hono
4	A.	Yes, sir, it is.	4		THE COURT: Yes.
5		(At 10:27 a.m., video played)	5	BY	MR. GREENE:
6	BY	MR. GREENE:	6	Q.	These are photos of injuries you received to your face?
7	Q.	Did you hear that, I'm putting your paychecks by your	7	A.	Yes, they are.
8		wallet?	8	Q.	How did you receive those injuries?
9	A.	Yes, I heard that.	9	A.	From one of the men punching me in the head.
10	Q.	Was that the paychecks that you had received that you were	10	Q.	And there were photos I think that have already been
11		concerned about?	11		admitted by the prosecutor. Is that you laying on the
12	A.	Yes, it was.	12		ground?
13	Q.	Okay.	13	A.	Yes, it is.
4		(At 10:27 a.m., video played)	14	Q.	If you look over here in the corner to the left, are those
5	BY	MR. GREENE:	15		your glasses?
6	Q.	Now, he's asking you a series of questions and you are	16	A.	Yes, they are.
7		referring back to him as, No, sir?	17		Is that your cell phone?
8	A.	Yes.	18		Yes, it is.
9		Again, you were being respectful of the officer?	19	Q.	All the first consecutations are translated that the
			20	20	Could you point it out, please?
20	Defra	Yes, sir.	100		
21	Q.	But these officers, you knew were officers?	21	Q.	You see the gloves? Go about six inches to the right of the
22	120	Yes, I did.	22	-	gloves. Do you see that?
23		They were in uniform?	23		I can't see it that clearly. I'm sorry.
4	A.	That's correct, they were.	24		Okay. This is you again?
25	Q.	Mr. King, were you were you injured from this	25	A.	Yes, it is.
_		57	-	-	59
1		altercation?	1		This is also you?
2		Yes, I was.	2		Yes.
3	Q.	I want to show you what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit	3	Q.	
4		A through D.	4	A.	Yes, it is.
5		MR. GREENE: May I approach the witness, your	5		MR. GREENE: Thank you. I have no further
6		Honor?	6		questions.
7		THE COURT: Yes.	7		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
8	BY I	MR. GREENE:	8		THE COURT: Mr. Kuiper.
9	Q.	Will you take a look at these? I know it's hard for you to,	9		MR. KUIPER: Thank you.
10		but please	10		CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	A.	(Reviewing exhibits)	11	BY	MR. KUIPER:
12	Q.	Do you recognize those?	12	Q.	Hello, Mr. King.
13	A.	Yes, I do.	13	A.	Hello, sir.
14	Q.	When were they taken?	14	Q.	On the 18th of July, did you have identification?
15	A.	The following Monday, three days later.	15	A.	Yes, I did.
16	Q.	Do they accurately depict your condition as a result of	16	Q.	And was that in your wallet?
17		this, of this incident?	17	A.	Yes, it was.
18	A.	Yes, they do.	18	Q.	And your testimony is somewhat at odds from what
19		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, I move for admission of	19		Detective Allen and Special Agent Brownback testified. In
20		Defendant's Exhibits A through D.	20		particular, you're saying that Detective Allen did not
21		I have shown them to the prosecutor. I will show	21		identify himself as a Grand Rapids detective?
22		them again to him,	22	A	That's correct.
23		MR. KUIPER: (Reviewing)	23		And you're saying that he did not tell you that they were
		THE COURT: Any objection?	24	Œ.	looking for someone with an arrest warrant and wanted to
			1 44		locking for someone with on onest wallant and walled to
24 25		MR. KUIPER: No objection.	25		verify who you were?

1	A.	That's correct.	1	A.	That's correct.
2	Q.	Did they ever ask you to tell your last name?	2	Q.	Did that happen?
3	A.	No, they did not.	3	A.	
4	Q.	So you they did ask you for your name?	4		was turning around, trying to run.
5	A.	That's correct, they did.	5	Q.	
6	Q.	And you told them, James.	6		you were biting Detective Allen's arm?
7	A.	Yes, I did.	7	A.	Yes. It was he went when I let go of that or his
8	Q.	At no time did they say, What's your last name?	8		caused him to let go of that that choke, and that's
9	A.	That's correct.	9		when he started to punch me.
10	Q.	And you said that initially you thought they had some type	10	Q.	You saw the demonstration that Detective Allen did on me.
11		of authority because the badges?	11		Was that roughly what you remember happening?
12	A.	That's correct.	12	A.	No, it is not.
13	Q.	You saw both of them with badges?	13	Q.	
14	A.	I saw one person with a badge.	14	A.	Umm, it was different in that the choke was fully a choke
15	Q.	You saw both of the guys testify, right?	15		hold like you would see a wrestler do.
16	A.	That's correct.	16	Q.	Will you demonstrate that on me without choking too hard?
17	Q.	Which one of them did you see with the badge?	17		(Laughter by jurors)
18	A.	I'm sorry, I couldn't I couldn't say. I didn't know the	18		THE WITNESS: Honestly, sir, I'd prefer not to.
19		difference between the two.	19	BY	MR. KUIPER:
20	Q.	You didn't know the difference between the two.	20	Q.	Well, we've had a lot of visual examples here. I want to
21		It was is it fair to say that Leonard, at that	21		see I want the jurors to be able to see your testimony.
22		time, is it's a very busy area?	22		MR. GREENE: I'm going to object, your Honor. I
23	A.	Yes, sir, it is.	23		don't I don't believe if the defendant doesn't want to
24	Q.	Are there quite a few businesses there?	24		put someone in a choke hold, that he can't be compelled to
25	A.	Yes, there are.	25		do that. He's answered the question.
		61			63
1	Q.	What about the foot traffic? What's the foot traffic like	1		THE COURT: Well, would it be better to
2		on a on a Friday in the summer near that location?	2		demonstrate it on you? I understand not wanting to have
3	A.	Umm, honestly sir, I couldn't say. I usually don't	3		engage Mr. Kuiper in a choke hold.
4		walk, I usually ride my bike.	4		MR. GREENE: I would volunteer to demonstrate it
5	Q.	You usually ride your bike.	5		on Mr. Kuiper.
6		Now, did you have a cell phone on you?	6		(Laughter)
7	A.	Yes, I did.	7		THE COURT: Well, what I would allow is your
8	Q.	Did you voluntarily hand the cell phone over to the	8		client to demonstrate it on you, but that's the choice of
9		officers?	9		you and your client. I'm not going to force anything here.
10	A.	I believe I did, yes.	10		MR. GREENE: You know, your Honor, it's still a
11	Q.	Did they did they ask you about a weapon?	11		very emotional event for him, and it's difficult for him to
12	A.	Yes, they asked me if I had one.	12		testify. I just think putting him in that position is is
13	Q.	And you you testified you told them, Yeah, I have a	13		beyond what this Court needs.
14		pocketknife.	14		THE COURT: All right. Well, let's move on.
15	A.	That's correct.	15	BY	MR. KUIPER:
16	Q.	And you allowed them to remove the pocketknife?	16	Q.	Can you demonstrate using your own arm on yourself?
17	A.	Yes, I did.	17	A.	Yes. I'll try to do that for you.
18	Q.	And did you remember Detective Allen testifying that he	18	Q.	Okay.
19		asked you if that was the weapon you were referring to? Did	19	A.	I guess, umm, to the best of my recollection, and, umm
20		you tell him, Yeah, that's the weapon I was talking about?	20	Q.	James let's do this. I'll do it on Detective Smith. I've
21	A.	I remember him testifying that. I don't remember saying	21		always wanted to do this to him.
22		that or that conversation happening.	22	A.	Okay.
23	Q.	Okay. Is it your testimony that Detective Allen or you	23	Q.	I'm Detective Allen. Do you remember which arm he had on
24		heard the testimony from Special Agent Brownback about you	24		you?
25		taking a swing at him?	25	A.	Yes, I believe it was his left arm.
		62 Page 61 to	64 0		64 16 of 39 sheet

	_			
	127	His left arm (demonstrating). Yes.	1 2	admissible because any statement two things are going on
				here. One, he's in an ambulance. He's just experienced a
3	1	Like this. And he was choking so you couldn't breathe?	3	terrible event. We don't even know if he's under any kind
4	Α.	That's correct.	4	of anesthesia or anything administered by the ambulance
5	Q.	The officers are saying they're you're saying they're not	5	operators.
6		being truthful about identifying themselves as officers,	6	Secondly, these this is questioning without
7		right?	7	Miranda. He's not been mirandized, and he's been
8		I didn't say that, no.	8	questioned. And now, in violation of his <i>Miranda</i> rights,
9	Q.	At least verbally.	9	he's asking to be able to use statements that were allegedly
10	Α.	How do you mean?	10	made in violation of <i>Miranda</i> to impeach him.
11	u.	I mean with their mouths, with language saying, I'm a	11	But, also, the medical concern, your Honor. He's
12		detective. You're saying that they did not do that?	12	in an ambulance. He is in an ambulance after having a
13	Α.	No. At this time they did not identify themselves.	13	serious episode. And, you know, if he's under any kind of
14	Q.	Do you remember speaking with Officer Brower when you were	14	medication, if he's under I.V., if he was under anything,
15		being taken to seek med receive medical treatment?	15	and we don't know that. Unless he's able to establish that
16		MR. GREENE: Objection, your Honor. We've	16	these statements are made are made freely, without any
17		aiready we've aiready gone through this. May we	17	kind of drug inducement or without any type of of
18		approach?	18	painkillers or something that he may have been hooked up to
19		THE COURT: Sure.	19	in that ambulance, it shouldn't be allowed.
20		(At 10:39 a.m., sidebar had outside of reporter's	20	THE COURT: Well, I'll mention that and
21		hearing)	21	Mr. Kuiper has mentioned People v Clark, 127 Mich App 176.
22		THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, we're	22	Just leafing through that, and now I've come up with the
23		going to take a short break again to discuss something	23	name of the U.S. Supreme Court case 40-some years ago,
24		outside your presence.	24	Harrison v New York.
25		You may go back.	25	
_		65		67
1		(At 10:40 a.m., jury exits courtroom)	1	So, I will allow Mr. Kuiper to pursue that. It's
2		THE COURT: We're back on the record here, and you	2	not in his case-in-chief, it is the statement that he made
3		have an objection, Mr. Greene.	3	to the officer. I consider it to be voluntary, but in
4		I'll just state for the record, I'm assuming that	4	violation of Miranda, but certainly Mr. Kuiper can use it
5		Mr. Kuiper wants to get into Officer Brower's report,	5	now on cross-examination.
6		subsequent to Mr. King saying, I thought they were robbing	6	We can do the appropriate instruction, and I
7		me, and then Officer Brower asked the defendant, Did they	7	instructed the jury yesterday that they would, at least at
8		tell you they were police? Defendant answered, They said	8	that point, disregard anything beyond, I thought they were
9		they were detectives and that they were looking for	9	robbing me. But now it's appropriate to let Mr. Kuiper
10		somebody.	10	explore it.
11		I assume that's what you want to get at,	11	That's my ruling. We'll bring the jury back in.
12		Mr. Kuiper?	12	MR. GREENE: One one more question.
13		MR. KUIPER: That is, your Honor.	13 .	THE COURT: Sure.
14		THE COURT: Anything beyond that?	14	MR. GREENE: Your ruling still stands that nothing
15		MR. KUIPER: Yeah, I mean the the other	15	pertaining to what was said at the hospital
16		statements that	16	THE COURT: Yes, yes.
17		THE COURT: He went on to say, Anyone can buy a	17	MR. GREENE: that's under privilege.
18		badge. They grabbed my wallet and started going into my	18	THE COURT; It's nothing and we discussed that
19		pockets, and I thought they were robbing me.	19	two days ago, nothing nothing that occurred at the
20		MR. KUIPER: Yeah. I mean, it's going to be along	20	hospital, no matter who said it or who was listening,
		the the same lines, your Honor. Depends, in part, on the	21	et cetera.
21		The state of the s	22	MR. KUIPER: Well, your Honor, those statements,
		answers that Mr. King gives.		
21 22 23		THE COURT: All right. Then, Mr. Greene, your	23	mean they were voluntarily made, and I just want to go into
22				mean they were voluntarily made, and I just want to go into again, I mean there is a statement there in the last

Case 1:16-cv-00343-JTN-ESC ECF No. 73 filed 01/17/17 PageID.479 Page 59 of 62

1		Honor?	1		composition they telling the telling of the telling
2		THE COURT: Well, I have the one that Mr. Greene	2		remember telling them telling you they were detectives,
3		gave me yesterday.	3		looking for a fugitive, when they got out of that unmarked
4					vehicle?
5		MR. KUIPER: It's the last sentence there in that,	4	Α.	No, they did not say that.
6		I believe that is also proper cross-examination. They said	5	Q.	
9		that they were detectives and they were looking for	6		testimony will be that, you're saying you don't remember
7		somebody.	7		making that statement?
8		I mean, we've had explicit testimony that they	8		MR. GREENE: Objection, objection,
9		didn't say that they were, you know in contradiction to	9		THE COURT: Hold on.
0		portions of that,	10		MR. GREENE: It's been asked and answered, your
1		MR. GREENE: Your Honor, privilege trumps that.	11		Honor.
2		There is a doctor-patient privilege, physician-patient	12		THE COURT: I'll allow it. Go ahead.
3		privilege and	13	BY	MR. KUIPER:
4		MR. KUIPER: It has nothing to do with treatment.	14	Q.	You're saying you don't remember making that statement?
5		MR. GREENE: It is. It doesn't matter. Could	15	A.	No, sir, I do not remember that.
6		be	16	Q.	Do you remember making a statement to Officer Brower that
7		THE COURT: Well, it, Mr. Kuiper, merely repeats	17		you thought that they were robbing you?
3		what I'm allowing in, correct?	18	A.	No, sir, I do not.
9		MR, KUIPER: Yeah.	19	Q.	Do you remember stating to Officer Brower that you stated,
0		THE COURT: I'm going to still keep out anything	20		Anyone can buy a badge? Do you remember making that
1		that happened in the hospital. I think we're treading on	21		statement at all?
2		thin ice here. But, again, you can use what was said to	22	A.	No, sir, I don't remember talking to Officer Brower.
3		Officer Brower in the ambulance.	23	Q.	
4		MR. GREENE: Then we'll ask for an instruction,	24		officers with the Grand Rapids Police Department and the
5		your Honor, that it is not considered as substantive	25		FBI. We need to ascertain your identity?
		69			71
1		evidence, but only can be used for purposes of what	1	A.	Yes, sir, it would have.
2		judging credibility.	2	Q.	Knowing now that they are officers, would you have handled
3		THE COURT: We'll use the appropriate instruction,	3		this situation any differently?
4		I think it's 4.1.	4	A.	Yes, sir, I would have.
5		MR. GREENE: Since they came up with the new ones,	5	Q.	What would you have done?
6		I don't know.	6	A.	I would have complied and gave my I.D.
7		THE COURT: Well, we can we can cover that.	7	Q.	Okay. Do you remember anyone assisting the officers?
8		We'll get the jury back in, get all the evidence in.	8	A.	No, I do not.
9		(At 10:56 a.m., jury resumes seats)	9	Q.	Do you remember anyone coming to your assistance and jumping
0		THE COURT: Be seated. Mr. Kuiper may continue.	10		on the officers at all?
1		MR. KUIPER: Thank you.	11	A.	No, sir, I do not.
2	BY	MR. KUIPER:	12	Q.	Do you remember the officers telling you to stop struggling?
3		Picking be up where we left off, Mr. King, do you remember	13	A.	No, sir, I do not.
4		riding with Officer Brower to go seek medical treatment	14	Q.	Do you remember saying we're them at any point saying,
5		after this?	15		We're officers. Stop. Stop resisting?
6	A.	I'm sorry, sir, I do not remember any of the ambulance	16	A.	No, sir.
7		ride.	17	Q.	Do you remember a set of handcuffs at all?
8	Q.		18	A.	Yes, I do, sir.
9	٠.	that they said they were detectives?	19	Q.	Did they try to handcuff you?
0	A.		20	A.	Yes, sir, they did.
		No, sir, I don't remember any of the ambulance ride.	1		
1	Q.	If his report indicates that, do you have any reason to	21	Q.	What do you remember what hand they tried to handcuff you
2		disbelieve that?	22		on?
,	A.	Umm, I the only reason I have no reason at all to	23	A.	Yes. My left hand.
3		distriction of hollows if	24	u.	At what point was that?
3 4 5	7	disbelieve or believe it. Okay. We're trying to get at the truth now. Do you	25	A.	I was on the ground, and one man had a cuff around me ar

_	_		_	
1	_	then closed it with his knee around my wrist.	1	mean
2	Q.	Were you able to get your hand out of his grasp?	2	MR. GREENE: I'll rephrase. I'll rephrase the
3	A.	I I don't know, sir.	3	question.
4	Q.	Do you know whether or not you hit any one of the officers	4	THE COURT: Rephrase.
5		with that with the handcuffs?	5	MR. KUIPER: I'm going to object to the leading
6	A.	I don't know. If it happened, it was unintentional.	6	questions, about 600.
7	Q.	Well, you were actively trying to get away from these guys,	7	THE COURT: Go ahead and rephrase.
8	1	right?	8	BY MR. GREENE:
9	A.	That's correct.	9	Q. At the time you asked that, you were under the influence of
10	Q.	So, in that respect, the actions that you were taking, you	10	what happened to you?
11		did have some intent. You had the intent, like you said, to	11	A. That's correct.
12		get away, fair?	12	Q. Okay.
13	A.	Yes, intent to flee.	13	MR. GREENE: Thank you.
14	Q.	Okay. So, if these guys were two bad guys, you would have	14	THE COURT: Mr. Kulper, anything further?
15		been intending to hit them?	15	MR. KUIPER: (Confers with detective)
16	A.	No, sir.	16	I don't have anymore questions. Thank you,
17	Q.	No?	17	Mr. King.
18	A.	No, sir. Just trying to get away.	18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19	Q.	Do you remember Mr. Pardee's testimony?	19	THE COURT: You may step down. Thank you.
20	A.	Yes, sir.	20	(At 11:03 a.m., witness stepped down)
21	Q.	Do you remember kicking him at all?	21	MR. GREENE: Your Honor, the defense rests.
22	A.	No, sir, I do not.	22	(At 11:03 a.m., Defense rests)
23		MR. KUIPER: No further questions. Thank you,	23	THE COURT: I figured you were going say that.
24		Mr. King.	24	Mr. Kuiper disappeared.
25		THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.	25	MR. GREENE: I'll restate it when he comes in.
		73		75
1		THE COURT: Mr. Greene?	1	THE COURT: For the record, Mr. Greene, defense
2		REDIRECT EXAMINATION	2	rests?
3	BY	MR. GREENE:	3	MR. GREENE: That is correct, your Honor.
4	Q.	Did you ever intend to hit anyone in the head with	4	THE COURT: Very good. You may be seated. You're
5		handcuffs?	5	still under oath,
6	A.	No, I did not, sir.	6	GLEN BROWER,
7	Q.	Did you intentionally ever hit anyone in the head?	7	recalled by the People, previously sworn, testified:
8	A.	No, sir.	8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	Q.	Your entire effort was just to get away?	9	BY MR. KUIPER:
10	A.	Yes, it was.	10	Q. Officer Brower, I just want to take you into the ambulance
11	Q.	Now you remember speaking to the officer when he first	11	ride when you were with the defendant. Umm
12		arrived and you're still laying on the ground?	12	MR. GREENE: Your Honor, before he asks, I'm going
13	A.	That's correct.	13	to object on different grounds. May we approach again?
14	Q.	And you asked that officer if they were real police	14	THE COURT: Sure.
15		officers?	15	(At 11:04 a.m., sidebar had outside of reporter's
16	A.	Yes, I did.	16	hearing)
17	Q.	At that point you still wanted to know who these men were?	17	THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Kuiper.
18	A.	That's correct.	18	BY MR. KUIPER:
19	Q.	And at that point you were still seeking that information?	19	Q. Back to the ride you took to the hospital with Mr. King. Do
20	A.	Yes.	20	you remember when he volunteered to you that he thought he
21	Q.	Okay. And that was why you were asking that question?	21	was being robbed?
	A.	Yes, it was.	22	A. Yes.
			23	Q. And did you ask a follow-up question of Mr. King?
22	Q.	And it was in a very labored and painful way that you asked	100000	
22 23 24		And it was in a very labored and paintul way that you asked that question?	24	A. I did.
22 23			24 25	

crimes: Count 1: The defendant is charged with the crime of sassulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, opposing or endangering a place of circumstances shown, that the suspect has committed, is circumstances shown that the private personance of circumstances shown, that the suspect has committed, is circumstances than the circumstances within the circumstances within the circumstances. Third, that offices a present of the fellowing elements beyond a reasonab	1	The defendant is sharped with the following	1	To louf-the secret a secret as a secret as a secret base
The defendant is charged with the crime of assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, poposing or endangering a police officer of the Grand Rapids Police Department. Todd Allen, who was performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: First, that the defendant assaulted, battered, wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered Officer Todd Allen. Or battruct includes the use or threatened use of the visual to comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical to comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical to comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical to comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical to comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have a police officer performing his duties at the time. Third, that Officer Alen. A notice are actually the Alen. Third, that Officer Alen.	7	The defendant is charged with the following crimes:	150	To lawfully arrest a person, an officer must have
The defendant is charged with the crime of assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, opposing or endangering a police officer of the Grand Rapids 7 Police Department, Todd Allen, who was performing his dutters. To prove this charge, the prosecutor must prove 9 each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 10 First, that the defendant assaulted, battered, wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered Officer 17 Todd Allen. Obstruct includes the use or threatened use of 18 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 know that he said or did, but physical violence is not necessary. Second, that the defendant knows have a 19 police officer performing his duttes at the time. 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 know the person the defendant assaulted, battered, 19 wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered was a 19 police officer performing his duttes at the time. 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 19 physical interference or force or a knowing f			1	
5 assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, 5 person, or one of reasonable caution, in believing in the 5 circumstances shown, that the suspect has committed, is 6 circumstances shown, that the suspect has committed, is 7 committing, or is about to commit an offense of committen of fense of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 8 First, that the defendant assaulted, battered, wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered Officer 10 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical to comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered was a police officer performing his duties at the time. 21 Third, that Officer Allen. 22 An officer does not violate the law by merely 23 approaching an individual on the street or in another public place and asking him if he is willing to answer some questions. The person approached need not answer any 141 questions put to him; indeed, he may dedine to listen to 2 the questions and may go on his way. He may not be defanited as even momentarily without reasonable proston to make such an investigatory stop is determined on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the 15 facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether a reasonable suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the 15 facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether a reasonable suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the 15 facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense of investigating final site hereon norm. In officer a person have the person for the purpose of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 28 Reasonable cause to believe that the defendant to lead an o				[20] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [1
polosing or endangering a police officer of the Grand Rapids police Department, Todd Allen, who was performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: First, that the defendant assaulted, battered, wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered Officer Todd Allen. Obstruct includes the use or threatened use of physical interference or force or a knowing failure to comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical violence is not necessary. Second, that the defendant knew or had reason to know that the person the defendant assaulted, battered, wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered was a police office performing his duties at the time. Third, that Officer Allen's actions were lawful. An officer does not violate the law by merely approaching an individual on the street or in another public place and asking him if he is willing to answer some questions. The person approached need not answer any stop by approached, he may decline to listen to the questions and may go on his way. He may not be detained even momentarily without reasonable, objective grounds for doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, without more, furnish those grounds. A nofficer may lawfully conduct an investigatory stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for the purpose of investigating possible criminal at care under the propose of investigating possible criminal and proposed in the surplement of the purpose of investigating possible criminal and proposed in the part of the purpose of investigating possible criminal and proposed in the surplement of the purpose of investigating possible criminal proposed in the part of the purpose of investigating possible criminal proposed in the part of the purpose of investigating possible criminal proposed in the part of the purpose of investigating possible criminal proposed in the part of the purpose of investigating poss	5		1 -	
7 committing, or is about to commit an offense. 8 duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 9 First, that the defendant assaulted, battered, wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered Officer 17 Todd Allen. Obstruct includes the use or threatened use of 18 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have 18 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have 19 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have 19 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have 19 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have 19 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have 19 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have 19 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have 19 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have 19 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have 19 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have 19 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have 19 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have 19 comply with a lawful command of the comply with a lawful command of the comply with a lawful command of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 19 charge, the proseaupron of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 19 charge, the proseaupron of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 19 charge, the proseaupron of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 19 charge, the proseaupron of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 19 charge, the proseaupron of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 19 charge, the proseaupron of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 19 charge the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 19 charge the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 19 charge the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 19 charge the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 19 charge the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 19 charge the following elements	6	아니어 그 아니는 하다면 되었다. 그런 사이 아버지 바퀴 내려면 되고 있다면 나를 보다 하나 되었다.	100	
be duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: First, that the defendant assaulted, battered, the word of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: First, that the defendant assaulted, battered, the word of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: Todd Allen, Obstruct Includes the use or threatened use of physical interference or force or a knowing failure to the defendant must have actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical of violence is not necessary. Second, that the defendant knew or had reason to know that the person the defendant assaulted, battered, powered was a police office performing his duties at the time. Third, that Officer Allen's actions were lawful. An officer does not violate the law by merely approaching an individual on the street or in another public approaching and the propose of investigating possible criminal behavior, we even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. A brief defendant committed the assault with a dangerous weapon. If an object that is used in a way the defendant was a dangerous weapon. If an object that is used or investigating possible criminal behavior, we even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. A brief defendant on some sort of the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, we even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. A brief defendant is charged with the crime of the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, we even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. A brief defendant on the surface of the officer has a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense of investigating possible criminal behavior, and cause a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense of investigating possible criminal behavior, and cause a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense to face the violence and propose of investigating possible criminal behavior, are a	7			
section of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: First, that the defendant assaulted, battered, wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered officer doubly injury requiring medical attention or medical care to bodily injury requiring medical attention or inscall attention or inscall attention or inscand. In count 2: In count 2: First, that the defendant the circle of sassualt. To prove this charge, the prosecutor must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable qualt. First, that	8		8	
bodily injury requiring medical attention or medical care to bodily injury requiring medical attention or medical care to to wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered Officer 13 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical sold comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical sold comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical sold comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical sold comply with a lawful command. The defendant is charged with the crime of assault. To prove this charge, the prosecutor must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 15 charge, the prosecutor must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 16 charge, the prosecutor must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 17 First, that the defendant is that the cause the prosecutor must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable bedoubt: 18 Charge, the prosecutor must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable bedoubt: 19 Einst, that the defendant is charged with the crime of assault. To prove this charge, with a dangerous weapon, handcalt: 10 Count 2: 11 The defendant is charged with the crime of assault. To prove this charge, the prosecutor must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable bedoubt: 10 Count 2: 11 The defendant is charged with the crime of assault. To prove this charge, the prosecutor must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 12 Leave the prosecution must prove act of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: 13 Leave the prosecution must prove the defendant in the crime of assault. To prove this charge with the defendant intended either to the custom of the following	9	each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:	9	
12 Todd Allen. Obstruct includes the use or threatened use of 13 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 14 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical 15 oclones is not necessary. 15 Second, that the defendant knew or had reason to 18 know that the person the defendant knew or had reason to 18 know that the person the defendant assaulted, battered, 19 wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered was a 19 police office performing his duties at the time. 21 Third, that Officer Allen's actions were lawful. 22 An officer does not violate the law by merely 23 approaching an individual on the street or in another public place and asking him if he is willing to answer some 24 questions. The person approached need not answer any 141 141 142 questions put to him; indeed, he may decline to listen to 2 the questions and may go on his way. He may not be detained 3 even momentarily without reasonable, objective grounds for 4 doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, without more, furnish those grounds. 25 An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory 50 by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for 8 the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, 9 even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. 10 A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer 18 who has reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense 1 judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer shall be person for whom an arrest werrant has been issued may approach and temporarily detain that person for the upurpose of investigating gold detain that person for the upurpose of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 24 In Count 2: 25 The defendant is charged with the crime of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt.* 26 Time defendant kinch defendant is charged, the prosecutor must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable element beyond a residual coun	10	First, that the defendant assaulted, battered,	10	- ()() ()
13 physical interference or force or a knowing failure to 14 comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have 15 actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical 16 violence is not necessary. 17 Second, that the defendant knew or had reason to 18 know that the person the defendant knew or had reason to 19 wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered was a 20 police officer performing his duties at the time. 21 Third, that Officer Allen's actions were lawful. 22 An officer personning his duties at the time. 23 approaching an individual on the street or in another public 24 place and asking him if he is willing to answer some 25 questions. The person approached need not answer any 26 uses on a proached need not answer any 27 stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for 28 the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, 29 even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. 20 A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer 21 has a reasonable ausuel to law in the supplied that is therefore a reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a person for who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a person for who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose of investigating for the purpose of investiga	11	wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered Officer	11	Officer Allen.
tomply with a lawful command. The defendant must have to comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have to comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have to comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have to comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have to comply with a lawful command. The defendant is a comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have to comply with a lawful command. The defendant is charge, the prosecutor must prove each of the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt: First, that the defendant either attempted to commit a battery on Todd Allen or did an act that would commit a battery on Todd Allen or did an act that would commit a battery on Todd Allen or did an act that would commit a battery on Todd Allen or of did an act that would commit a battery on Todd Allen or of did an act that would commit a battery on Todd Allen or did an act that would commit a battery on Todd Allen or of did an act that would commit a battery on Todd Allen or of did an act that would commit a battery on Todd Allen or to make Todd Allen reasonably fear an immediate battery. Second, that the defendant intended either to injune Todd Allen or to make Todd Allen reasonably fear an immediate battery. 143 Third, that at the time, the defendant had the ability, or though the had the ability. 154 Third, that at the time, the defendant had the ability, or though the had the ability. 155 Third, that at the time, the defendant had the ability. 156 Third, that at the time, the defendant had the ability. 157 Third, that at the time, the defendant had the ability. 158 Third, that at the time, the defendant had the ability. 159 Third, that at the time, the defendant had the ability. 150 Third, that at the time, the defendant had the ability. 150 Third, that at the time, the defendant committed the assault with a dangerous weapon is any object that is used in a way any object that is used in a massual to the ability. 150 Third, that at the time, the defendant committed the assaul	12	Todd Allen. Obstruct includes the use or threatened use of	12	In Count 2:
actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical violence is not necessary. Second, that the defendant knew or had reason to know that the person the defendant assaulted, battered, wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered was a police officer performing his duties at the time. Third, that Officer Allen's actions were lawful. An officer does not violate the law by merely approaching an individual on the street or in another public place and asking him if he is willing to answer some questions. The person approached need not answer any 141 questions put to him; indeed, he may decline to listen to the questions put to him; indeed, he may decline to listen to 2 the questions and may go on his way. He may not be detained 3 even momentarily without reasonable, objective grounds for doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, without more, furnish those grounds. An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory stop by approaching and themporarily detaining a person for the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer 1 as as easonable suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer law ho has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. Reasonable cause mans having enough information to lead an ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was the person named in the warrant. Third, that officer are assonable areas on the feed and the assault with a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon. She was	13	physical interference or force or a knowing failure to	13	The defendant is charged with the crime of assault
16 violence is not necessary. 17 Second, that the defendant knew or had reason to 18 know that the person the defendant assaulted, battered, 19 wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered was a 20 police officer performing his duties at the time. 21 Third, that Officer Allen's actions were lawful. 22 An officer does not violate the law by merely 23 approaching an individual on the street or in another public 24 place and asking him if he is willing to answer some 25 questions. The person approached need not answer any 26 questions put to him; indeed, he may decline to listen to 27 the questions put to him; indeed, he may decline to listen to 28 the questions and may go on his way. He may not be detained 38 even momentarily without reasonable, objective grounds for 4 doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, 39 without more, furnish those grounds. 40 An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory 47 stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for 48 the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, 49 even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. 40 A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer 40 an a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the 51 facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether 52 a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense to judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer 40 an a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense to judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer 40 a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense to facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether is a reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a disaperous weapon. If an object is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used or intended to be used in an assault determines whether or not it it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used or intended to be used in an assault determ	14	comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have	14	with a dangerous weapon, felonious assault. To prove this
17 Second, that the defendant knew or had reason to 18 know that the person the defendant assaulted, battered, 19 wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered was a 20 police officer performing his duties at the time. 21 Third, that Officer Allen's actions were lawful. 22 Approaching an individual on the street or in another public 23 approaching an individual on the street or in another public 24 place and asking him if he is willing to answer some 25 questions. The person approached need not answer any 141 1 1 questions put to him; indeed, he may decline to listen to 2 the questions and may go on his way. He may not be detained a even momentarily without reasonable, objective grounds for 4 doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, 5 without more, furnish those grounds. 4 A price flexibility to commit a battery. A battery is a forceful or violent touching of the 2 person or something closely connected with the person. Second, that the defendant intended either to 2 injure Todd Allen or to make Todd Allen reasonably fear an 141 1 1 questions put to him; indeed, he may decline to listen to 2 the questions and may go on his way. He may not be detained a even momentarily without reasonable, objective grounds for 4 doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, 5 without more, furnish those grounds. 4 A price flexibility or commit a battery. A battery is a forceful or violent teaching to injure Todd Allen or to make Todd Allen reasonably fear an 143 1 Third, that at the time, the defendant intended either to 2 ability to commit a battery. A battery is forceful to wiolent the ability, or thought he had the ability or commit a battery, appeared to have the ability, or thought he had the ability or commit a battery. Some objects, such as a guine to be dangerous weapon, handcuffs. In used to be dangerous w	15	actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical	15	charge, the prosecutor must prove each of the following
know that the person the defendant assaulted, battered, wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered was a police officer performing his duties at the time. Third, that Officer Allen's actions were lawful. An officer does not violate the law by merely approaching an individual on the street or in another public place and asking him if he is willing to answer some questions. The person approached need not answer any 141 questions put to him; indeed, he may decline to listen to the questions and may go on his way. He may not be detained even momentarily without reasonable, objective grounds for doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, without more, furnish those grounds. An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory 57 stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest, a reasonably activation by a procedular probable cause to support an arrest, facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether a reasonably raticulable suspicion that criminal activation exists must be based on common sense judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. Reasonable acrouse has actions were lawful. An officer does not violate the law by merely approached need not answer any 141 Third, that of the defendant intended either to injure Todd Allen or to make Todd Allen reasonably fear an injure Todd Allen or to make Todd Allen reasonably fear an lingure Todd Allen or to make Todd Allen reasonably fear an lingure Todd Allen or to make Todd A	16	violence is not necessary.	16	elements beyond a reasonable doubt:
19 wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered was a police officer performing his duties at the time. 20 police officer performing his duties at the time. 21 Third, that Officer Allen's actions were lawful. 22 An officer does not violate the law by merely approaching an individual on the street or in another public approaching an individual on the street or in another public approaching an individual on the street or in another public approaching and individual on the street or in another public approaching and individual on the street or in another public approaching and individual on the street or in another public approaching and individual on the street or in another public approaching and individual on the street or in another public approaching and the preson approached need not answer any approaching and the preson approached need not answer any approaching and the part of doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, without more, furnish those grounds. 25 An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, a very though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. 26 A dangerous weapon is any object that is used in a way that is likely to counse serious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon is any object that is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon is any object that is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon is any object that is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon. 27 In Count 3: 28 Count, that the time, the defendant had the ability, or thought he had the ability, or thought he had the ability, or thought he had the ability. 39 Fourth, that the defendant committed the assault with a dangerous weapon is any object that is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical in	17	Second, that the defendant knew or had reason to	17	First, that the defendant either attempted to
police officer performing his duties at the time. Third, that Officer Allen's actions were lawful. An officer does not violate the law by merely approaching an individual on the street or in another public place and asking him if he is willing to answer some questions. The person approached need not answer any 141 questions put to him; indeed, he may decline to listen to the questions and may go on his way. He may not be detained wern momentarily without reasonable, objective grounds for doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, without more, furnish those grounds. An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. Abrief detention does not violate the law if the officer a activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether a reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose of investigating fit that is the person named in the warrant. battery. A battery is a forceful or violent touching of the person or something closely connected with the person. Second, that the defendant in injured dallen or to make Todd Allen reasonably fear an immediate battery. The dad Allen or to make Todd Allen reasonably fear an immediate battery. Third, that at the time, the defendant the ability, or thought he had the ability. Third, that at the time, the defendant the ability, or thought he had the ability. Thought the had the ability. Thought the defendant committed the assault with a dangerous weapon is any object that is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used or investig	18	know that the person the defendant assaulted, battered,	18	commit a battery on Todd Allen or did an act that would
Third, that Officer Allen's actions were lawful. An officer does not violate the law by merely approaching an individual on the street or in another public place and asking him if he is willing to answer some questions. The person approached need not answer any 141 questions put to him; indeed, he may decline to listen to the questions and may go on his way. He may not be detained over momentarily without reasonable, objective grounds for doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, without more, furnish those grounds. An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer thas a reasonably articulable suspicion that criminal activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable subjection to make Todd Allen or to make Todd Allen or to make Todd Allen or to make Todd Allen reasonably fear an immediate battery. 143 Third, that at the time, the defendant had the ability to commit a battery, appeared to have the ability, or thought he had the ability. Fourth, that the defendant committed the assault with a dangerous weapon, handcuffs. A dangerous weapon is any object that is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death. Some objects, such as guns or bombs, are dangerous because they are specifically designed to be dangerous. Other objects are designed for peaceful purposes, but may be used as dangerous weapon. The way an object is used in a vay that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon. Other objects are designed for peaceful purposes, but may be used as dangerous weapon. The defendant is charged with the crime of assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was performing his dutes. To prov	19	wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered was a	19	cause a reasonable person to fear or apprehend an immediate
22 An officer does not violate the law by merely 23 approaching an individual on the street or in another public 24 place and asking him if he is willing to answer some 25 questions. The person approached need not answer any 141 1 questions put to him; indeed, he may decline to listen to 2 the questions and may go on his way. He may not be detained 3 even momentarily without reasonable, objective grounds for 4 doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, 5 without more, furnish those grounds. 6 An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory 7 stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for 8 the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, 9 even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. 10 A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer 11 has a reasonably articulable suspicion that criminal 12 activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable 13 suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined 14 on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the 15 facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether 16 a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common senses 17 judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer 18 who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a 19 person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may 20 approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose 21 of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 22 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an 23 ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 24 the person named in the warrant. 25 Hall the defendant intended either to injure Todd Allen or to make such an individual on inswering the defendant and the ability. 11 Third, that at the time, the defendant had the 2 ability to commit a bettery, appeared to have the ability, 3 or thought he ability. 4 Fourth, that the defendant committed the assault with a dangerous weapon is any object that is used in a way that is likely to cause ser	20	police officer performing his duties at the time.	20	battery. A battery is a forceful or violent touching of the
23 approaching an individual on the street or in another public place and asking him if he is willing to answer some 24 questions. The person approached need not answer any 141 1 questions put to him; indeed, he may decline to listen to 2 the questions and may go on his way. He may not be detained 3 even momentarily without reasonable, objective grounds for 4 doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, 5 without more, furnish those grounds. 6 An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory 7 stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for 8 the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, 9 even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. 10 A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer 11 has a reasonably articulable suspicion that criminal 12 activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable 13 activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable suspicion to make such an investigatory 14 immediate battery. 143 1 Third, that at the time, the defendant had the 14 ability to commit a battery, appeared to have the ability, 15 or thought he had the ability. 16 A dangerous weapon, handcuffs. 17 way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or 18 death. 19 Some objects, such as guns or bombs, are dangerous 10 because they are specifically designed to be dangerous. 11 other objects are designed for peaceful purposes, but may be 12 used as dangerous weapon. If an object is used or 13 intended to be used in an assault determined to reparding whether 14 it is a dangerous weapon. 15 it is a dangerous weapon. 16 it is a dangerous weapon. 17 You must decide from all the facts and 18 circumstances whether the evidence shows that the pair of 18 handcuffs in question here was a dangerous weapon. 19 person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may 20 approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose 21 of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 22 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an 23 ordinarily ca	21	Third, that Officer Allen's actions were lawful.	21	person or something closely connected with the person.
place and asking him if he is willing to answer some questions. The person approached need not answer any 141 1 questions put to him; indeed, he may decline to listen to the questions and may go on his way. He may not be detained even momentarily without reasonable, objective grounds for doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, without more, furnish those grounds. An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. has a reasonably activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may on a porpoach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was the person named in the warrant. The defendant is charged to have the ability, or though the ability, to commit a battery, appeared to have the ability, or though the ability, to commit a battery, appeared to have the ability, or the ability, to commit a battery, appeared to have the ability, or the ability, to commit a battery, appeared to have the ability, or the ability, to commit a battery, appeared to have the ability. Fourth, that at the time, the defendant tom with a dangerous weapon, handcuffs. A dangerous weapon, handcuffs. Some objects, such as guns or bombs, are dangerous used or intended to be used in an assault determines whether or not it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way that it is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon. You must decide from	22	An officer does not violate the law by merely	22	Second, that the defendant intended either to
141 1 questions put to him; indeed, he may decline to listen to 2 the questions and may go on his way. He may not be detained 3 even momentarily without reasonable, objective grounds for 4 doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, 5 without more, furnish those grounds. 6 An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory 7 stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for 8 the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, 9 even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. 10 A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer 11 has a reasonably articulable suspicion that criminal 12 suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined 13 suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined 14 on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the 15 facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether 16 a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sensee 17 judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer 18 who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a 19 person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may 20 approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose 21 of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 22 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an 23 ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 24 the person named in the warrant. 25 142 143 11 Third, that at the time, the defendant had the 2 ability to commit a batten, that at the time, the defendant had the 2 ability to commit a batten, that at the time, the defendant had the 2 ability to commit a batten, ability, or thought he had the ability, 3 or thought he had the ability, 4 Fourth, that the defendant had the 2 ability to commit a batten, the ability, or thought he had the ability, 5 or thought he had the ability, 6 or thought he had the ability. 6 A dangerous weapon, landcuffs. 7 Vour oa asserious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way 15 it is a	23	approaching an individual on the street or in another public	23	injure Todd Allen or to make Todd Allen reasonably fear an
1 questions put to him; indeed, he may decline to listen to 1 the questions and may go on his way. He may not be detained 3 even momentarily without reasonable, objective grounds for 4 doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, 5 without more, furnish those grounds. 6 An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory 7 stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for 8 the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, 9 even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. 10 A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer 11 has a reasonably articulable suspicion that criminal 12 activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable 13 suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined 14 on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the 15 facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether 16 a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense 17 judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer 18 who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a 19 person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may 20 approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose 21 of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 22 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an 23 ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 24 the person named in the warrant. 25 The defendant is charged with the crime of 26 ability to commit a battery, appeared to have the ability, 27 or thought he ability. 28 ability to commit a battery, appeared to have the ability, 3 or thought he abil the ability. 4 Fourth, that the defendant committed the assault with a dangerous weapon is any object that is used in a 28 way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or 3 death. 4 Cother objects are designed for peaceful purposes, but may be 3 used as dangerous weapon. If an object is used or 3 intended to be used in an assault determines whether or not 3 it is a dangerous weapon. 4 You must decide from all the	24	place and asking him if he is willing to answer some	24	immediate battery.
the questions put to him; indeed, he may decline to listen to the questions and may go on his way. He may not be detained even momentarily without reasonable, objective grounds for doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, without more, furnish those grounds. An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer as suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was the person named in the warrant. Third, that at the time, the defendant had the ability, or thought he had the ability. Fourth, that the defendant committed the assault with a dangerous weapon is any object that is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death. Some objects, such as guns or bombs, are dangerous because they are specifically designed to be dangerous. Other objects are designed for peaceful purposes, but may be used as dangerous weapons. The way an object is used or intended to be used in an assault determines whether or not it it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon. You must decide from all the facts and circumstances whether the evidence shows that the pair of handcuffs in question here was a dangerous weapon. In Count 3: The defendant is charged with the crime of assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Dougl	25	questions. The person approached need not answer any	25	
2 the questions and may go on his way. He may not be detained 3 even momentarily without reasonable, objective grounds for 4 doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, 5 without more, furnish those grounds. 6 An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory 7 stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for 8 the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, 9 even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. 10 A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer 11 has a reasonably articulable suspicion that criminal 12 activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable 13 suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined 14 on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the 15 facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether 16 a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense 17 judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer 18 who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a 19 person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may 20 approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose 21 of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 22 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an 23 ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 24 the person named in the warrant. 25 Performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor		141		143
or thought he had the ability. To thought he had the ability. To thought he had the ability. To stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for the purpose of investigating possible criminal has a reasonable suspicion that criminal activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. To doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, without more, furnish those grounds. An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory sorp is detaining a person for the purpose of investigating possible criminal a person for the purpose of investigating obside criminal behavior, even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. To death. Some objects, such as guns or bombs, are dangerous because they are specifically designed to be dangerous. Other objects are designed for peaceful purposes, but may be used as dangerous weapon. If an object is used or intended to be used in an assault determines whether or not it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon. You must decide from all the facts and circumstances whether the evidence shows that the pair of handcuffs in question here was a dangerous weapon. To count 3: The defendant is charged with the crime of assaulting hattering wounding, resisting, obstructing, opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor	1		1	Third, that at the time, the defendant had the
doing so, and his refusal to listen or answer does not, without more, furnish those grounds. An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether is a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was the person named in the warrant. 14 Fourth, that the defendant committed the assault with a dangerous weapon, handcuffs. A dangerous weapon is any object that is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death. 9 Some objects, such as guns or bombs, are dangerous because they are specifically designed to be dangerous. 10 Other objects are designed for peaceful purposes, but may be used as dangerous weapon. If an object is used or intended to be used in an assault determines whether or not it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death. 12 this is likely to cause serious physical injury or death. 13 that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death. 14 tis is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death. 15 this is likely to cause serious physical injury or death. 16 it is a dangerous	7		133	
 without more, furnish those grounds. An officer may lawfully conduct an investigatory stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer has a reasonably articulable suspicion that criminal activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. The defendant is charged with the crime of assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, opposing or endangerous weapon, handcuffs. A dangerous weapon is any object that is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death. Some objects, such as guns or bombs, are dangerous death. 10 because they are specifically designed to be dangerous. 11 Other objects are designed for peaceful purposes, but may be used as dangerous weapons. The way an object is used or it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it it is a dangerous weapon. You must decide from all the facts and circumstances whether the evidence shows that the pair of handcuffs in question here was a dangerous weapon.		나가 없고 하게 하다 맛있다. 하면 보다면 그래요요요요요요요요요요요요요. 하나가 되는데요 그네!	100	
A dangerous weapon is any object that is used in a vay that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death. A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer has a reasonably articulable suspicion that criminal cativity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. A dangerous weapon is any object that is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death. 5 Other objects are designed for peaceful purposes, but may be used as dangerous weapons. The way an object is used or intended to be used in an assault determines whether or not it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death. 10 Other objects are designed for peaceful purposes, but may be used as dangerous weapons. The way an object is used or it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death. 11 Other objects are designed for peaceful purposes, but may be used as dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death. 12 It is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death. 13 It is a dan	10.50	나이어 그를 가게하고 있습니다. 그리고 있는데 그리고 있는데 그리고 있는데 그리고 있다면 그리고 있다면 그리고 있다.		
7 stop by approaching and temporarily detaining a person for 8 the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, 9 even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. 10 A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer 11 has a reasonably articulable suspicion that criminal 12 activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable 13 suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined 14 on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the 15 facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether 16 a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense 17 judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer 18 who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a 19 person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may 10 approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 142 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an 142 144 144	1		1 5	
8 the purpose of investigating possible criminal behavior, 9 even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. 10 A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer 11 has a reasonably articulable suspicion that criminal 12 activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable 13 suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined 14 on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the 15 facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether 16 a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense 17 judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer 18 who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a 19 person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may 20 approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose 21 of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 22 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an 23 ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 24 the person named in the warrant. 25 Lack and circumstances of the purpose of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 26 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 26 the person named in the warrant. 27 Some objects, such as guns or bombs, are dangerous 28 death. 28 death. 29 Some objects, such as guns or bombs, are dangerous 20 Other objects are designed for peaceful purposes, but may be 21 used as dangerous weapons. The way an object is used or 21 intended to be used in an assault determines whether or not 29 it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way 20 that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it 21 is a dangerous weapon. 21 You must decide from all the facts and 22 circumstances whether the evidence shows that the pair of 23 handcuffs in question here was a dangerous weapon. 24 It is a dangerous weapon. 25 The defendant is charged with the crime of 26 assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, 27 opposing or			100	가는 것이 그렇게 되었다. 이 생각을 되는 것이 하면 되었다면 하고 있는 것이 되었다면 하는데
9 even though there is no probable cause to support an arrest. 10 A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer 11 has a reasonably articulable suspicion that criminal 12 activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable 13 suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined 14 on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the 15 facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether 16 a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense 17 judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer 18 who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a 19 person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may 20 approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose 21 of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 22 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an 23 ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 24 the person named in the warrant. 25 Some objects, such as guns or bombs, are dangerous 26 because they are specifically designed to be dangerous. 26 Unit of the totality of the used as dangerous weapons. The way an object is used or 27 intended to be used in an assault determines whether or not 28 it is a dangerous weapon. 29 You must decide from all the facts and 30 circumstances whether the evidence shows that the pair of 31 has a reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 32 the person named in the warrant. 33 ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 34 the person named in the warrant. 35 Each of the redship designed to be dangerous. 36 Unit of peaceful purposes, but may be 37 used as dangerous weapons. The way an object is used or 38 intended to be used in an assault determines whether or not 39 that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it 30 is a dangerous weapon. 30 The defendant is charged with the crime of 30 assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, opposing or endangering			1	
10 A brief detention does not violate the law if the officer 11 has a reasonably articulable suspicion that criminal 12 activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable 13 suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined 14 on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the 15 facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether 16 a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense 17 judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer 18 who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a 19 person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may 20 approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose 21 of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 22 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an 23 ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 24 the person named in the warrant. 25 10 because they are specifically designed to be dangerous. 11 Other objects are designed for peaceful purposes, but may be 12 used as dangerous weapons. The way an object is used or 13 intended to be used in an assault determines whether or not 14 it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way 15 that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it 16 is a dangerous weapon. 17 You must decide from all the facts and 28 circumstances whether the evidence shows that the pair of 19 handcuffs in question here was a dangerous weapon. 20 In Count 3: 21 The defendant is charged with the crime of 22 assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, 23 opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal 24 Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was 25 performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor	1.75	마음에 하면 내용을 하면 하는 이 보고 하는 사람들이 얼마나 아내는 사람이 되었다. 나를 하는데 살아 없다.	7.0	
11 has a reasonably articulable suspicion that criminal 12 activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable 13 suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined 14 on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the 15 facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether 16 a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense 17 judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer 18 who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a 19 person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may 20 approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose 21 of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 22 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an 23 ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 24 the person named in the warrant. 25 142 144 144 16 Other objects are designed for peaceful purposes, but may be 18 used as dangerous weapons. The way an object is used or 18 intended to be used in an assault determines whether or not 19 it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way 10 that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it 10 is a dangerous weapon. 11 You must decide from all the facts and 12 circumstances whether the evidence shows that the pair of 13 intended to be used in an assault determines whether or not 14 it is a dangerous weapon. 15 That is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it 16 is a dangerous weapon. 17 You must decide from all the facts and 18 circumstances whether the evidence shows that the pair of 19 handcuffs in question here was a dangerous weapon. 20 In Count 3: 21 The defendant is charged with the crime of 22 assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, 23 opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal 24 Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was 25 performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor	100	그런 그렇게 되어 하는데 이번 하는데 하는데 하는데 그렇게 되어 있다면 그렇게 되는데 그렇게 되었다. 이번	1	그는 그 그 그 아이들이 있으면 하나 있었다. 이 없었다면 하나 없는 것이 없는 것이라고 있는 것이 뭐 하나 하다.
12 activity is afoot. Whether an officer has a reasonable 13 suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined 14 on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the 15 facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether 16 a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense 17 judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer 18 who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a 19 person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may 20 approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose 21 of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 22 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an 23 ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 24 the person named in the warrant. 25 used as dangerous weapons. The way an object is used or 18 it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used or 19 intended to be used in an assault determines whether or not 10 it it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used or 11 it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used or 12 it is a dangerous weapon. 13 To dangerous weapon. If an object is used or 14 it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used or 14 it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used or 15 that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it 16 is a dangerous weapon. 17 You must decide from all the facts and 18 circumstances whether the evidence shows that the pair of 19 handcuffs in question here was a dangerous weapon. 20 In Count 3: 21 The defendant is charged with the crime of 22 assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, 23 opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal 24 Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was 25 performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor	100		650	
13 suspicion to make such an investigatory stop is determined 14 on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the 15 facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether 16 a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense 17 judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer 18 who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a 19 person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may 20 approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose 21 of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 22 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an 23 ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 24 the person named in the warrant. 25 In count 3: 26 Defendant is charged with the crime of 27 assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, 28 opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal 29 Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was 20 performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor	WE'S		100	하고 하는데 이 항집하다. 이번에 보면 보고 하고 있는데 없는데 하나에 없어 모든 그 것이 되었다.
on a case by case basis, in light of the totality of the facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was the person named in the warrant. 14 it is a dangerous weapon. If an object is used in a way that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon. 15 You must decide from all the facts and circumstances whether the evidence shows that the pair of handcuffs in question here was a dangerous weapon. 18 In Count 3: 19 The defendant is charged with the crime of assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor	1.50	- 보통 프로젝트 (1982년 1일 1일) "인터 전환하다 이번 1일 (1982년 1일	100	- '이 아마리아이 (- '') - '' - '' - '' - '' - '' - '' -
15 facts and circumstances. A determination regarding whether 16 a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense 17 judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer 18 who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a 19 person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may 20 approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose 21 of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 22 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an 23 ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 24 the person named in the warrant. 25 that is likely to cause serious physical injury or death, it is a dangerous weapon. 16 is a dangerous weapon. 17 You must decide from all the facts and circumstances whether the evidence shows that the pair of handcuffs in question here was a dangerous weapon. 20 In Count 3: 21 The defendant is charged with the crime of assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor			100	
16 a reasonable suspicion exists must be based on common sense 17 judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer 18 who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a 19 person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may 20 approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose 21 of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 22 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an 23 ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 24 the person named in the warrant. 25 us a dangerous weapon. 16 is a dangerous weapon. 17 You must decide from all the facts and 26 circumstances whether the evidence shows that the pair of 28 handcuffs in question here was a dangerous weapon. 29 In Count 3: 20 The defendant is charged with the crime of 20 assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, 21 opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal 22 Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was 23 performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor 20 In Count 3: 21 The defendant is charged with the crime of 22 assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, 23 opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal 24 Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was 25 performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor	The State of	요하다 이 경우를 위한 경우 이 이번 상태를 가입니다. 이 하는 모양 이름이 이 경우를 다 먹는 것이 되었다면 모양	100	" 이렇게 하는 하면서 "귀나는 하는 사람들이 보고 있다면 하는 가능하는 것 같아 하는 것이다면 되었다"고
17 judgments and inferences about human behavior. An officer 18 who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a 19 person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may 20 approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose 21 of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 22 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an 23 ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 24 the person named in the warrant. 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 In Count 3: 21 22 The defendant is charged with the crime of 22 assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, 23 opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal 24 Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 21 performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor	100	그의 생님이 모든데 그리다면 이 경기를 하고 하는데 하는데 이 아이를 하는데 하는데 하는데 되었다.	10.5	
who has reasonable cause to believe that the defendant is a person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was the person named in the warrant. Bureau of Investigation, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor	1000		1	
person for whom an arrest warrant has been issued may approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was the person named in the warrant. Bureau of Investigation, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor	779	. [1] 10 [1] 12 [1] 12 [1] 14 [1] 14 [1] 14 [1] 14 [1] 15 [1] 15 [1] 16 [1] 17 [17] 17 [17] 17 [17]	100.5	circumstances whether the evidence shows that the pair of
20 approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose 21 of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. 22 Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 23 the person named in the warrant. 24 the person named in the warrant. 25 In Count 3: 26 The defendant is charged with the crime of 27 assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing, 28 opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal 29 Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was 29 performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor	15.50		19	handcuffs in question here was a dangerous weapon.
of investigating if that is the person named in the warrant. Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was the person named in the warrant. Bureau of Investigating, wounding, resisting, obstructing, opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor	20	approach and temporarily detain that person for the purpose	20	In Count 3:
23 ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was 24 the person named in the warrant. 25 Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was 26 performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor 27 performing his duties.	21	그리즘 아이들 마음 아이를 가장하는 것이 하는 사람들이 되었다.	21	The defendant is charged with the crime of
24 the person named in the warrant. 25 Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was 25 performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor 142	22	Reasonable cause means having enough information to lead an	22	assaulting, battering, wounding, resisting, obstructing,
25 performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor 142	23	ordinarily careful person to believe that the defendant was	23	opposing or endangering a peace officer of the Federal
142	24	the person named in the warrant.	24	Bureau of Investigation, Douglas Brownback, who was
	25		25	performing his duties. To prove this charge, the prosecutor

must prove each of the following elements beyond a 1 Possible penalty should not influence your 2 reasonable doubt: 2 decision. It is the duty of the judge to fix the penalty 3 3 First, that the defendant assaulted, battered, within the limits provided by law. 4 wounded, resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered 4 If you want to communicate with me while you are 5 Agent Brownback. Obstruct includes the use or threatened 5 in the jury room, please have your foreperson write a note 6 use of physical interference or force or a knowing failure 6 and give it to my clerk. It is not proper for you to talk 7 7 to comply with a lawful command. The defendant must have directly with the judge, lawyers, court officers, or other 8 actually resisted by what he said or did, but physical 8 people involved in the case. 9 9 violence is not necessary. As you discuss the case, you must not let anyone, 10 Second, that the defendant knew or had reason to 10 even me, know how your voting stands. Therefore, until you 11 know that the person the defendant assaulted, battered, have returned with a unanimous verdict, do not reveal this 11 12 resisted, obstructed, opposed or endangered was a peace 12 to anyone outside the jury room. 13 officer of the Federal Bureau of Investigation performing 13 When you go to the jury room to deliberate, you 14 his duties at the time. 14 may take your notes and full instructions. If you want to 15 Third, that Agent Brownback's actions were lawful. 15 look at any or all of the exhibits that have been admitted, Lawful actions are defined in Count 1 above. 16 16 just ask for them. 17 So, in considering Count 3, you can refer to the 17 When you go to the jury room, you will have your 18 definition of lawful in Count 1. 18 written copy of the instructions you have just heard. As 19 When you go to the jury room, you will have your 19 you discuss the case, you should think about all my instructions together as the law you are to follow. 20 copies of the final jury instructions. You should first 20 21 choose a foreperson. The foreperson should see to it that 21 The defendant is charged with three counts, that 22 your discussions are carried on in a businesslike way and 22 is, with the crimes of assaulting, resisting, or obstructing 23 that everyone has a fair chance to be heard. 23 a police officer causing injury; assault with a dangerous 24 During your deliberations, please turn off your 24 weapon; and assaulting, resisting, or obstructing a police 25 cell phones or other communications equipment until we 25 officer. These are separate crimes, and the prosecutor is 1 charging that the defendant committed all of them. You must recess. 1 2 2 A verdict in a criminal case must be unanimous. consider each crime separately in light of all the evidence 3 In order to return a verdict, it is necessary that each of 3 in the case. 4 you agrees on that verdict. In the jury room, you will 4 You may find the defendant guilty of all or any 5 5 one or any combination of these crimes, or not guilty of discuss the case among yourselves, but ultimately each of 6 you will have to make up your own mind. Any verdict must 6 all. 7 7 represents the individual considered judgment of each juror. I have prepared a verdict form listing the It is your duty as jurors to talk to each other 8 possible verdicts. I'll read through that with you now, and 8 9 this will go to the jury room with you. 9 and make every reasonable effort to reach agreement. 10 10 Express your opinions and the reasons for them, but keep an It has the case caption: 11 The People of the State of Michigan versus open mind as you listen to your fellow jurors. Rethink your 11 12 12 opinions and do not hesitate to change your mind if you James Lee King. 13 decide you were wrong. Try your best to work out your 13 We, the jury, find the defendant in Count 1 14 either: Not guilty or guilty of assaulting, resisting, or 14 differences. obstructing a peace officer causing injury. Of course, 15 15 However, although you should try to reach Count 1 involves Grand Rapids Police Officer Todd Allen. 16 16 agreement, none of you should give up your honest opinion 17 Count 2 either: Not guilty or guilty of assault about the case just because other jurors disagree with you 17 18 with a dangerous weapon, felonious assault. And that, 18 or just for the sake of reaching a verdict. In the end, your vote must be your own, and you must vote honestly and 19 again, involves Todd Allen. 19 Count 3 either: Not guilty or guilty of 20 20 in good conscience. 21 21 assaulting, resisting or obstructing a police officer, and If you have any questions about the jury 22 22 that involves FBI Agent Douglas Brownback. instructions before you begin deliberations, or questions 23 Then there's a place for the foreperson to sign 23 about the instructions that arise during deliberations, you 24 and date. 24 may submit them in writing in a sealed envelope to my clerk. 25 25 146 148